# DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY DoD 24.4 Small Business Innovation Research (SBIR) Annual Broad Agency Announcement (BAA) xTechScalable AI 2 Component-Specific Proposal Instructions Release 10

March 12, 2024: Topics issued for pre-release October 22, 2024: Army begins accepting proposals via DSIP November 5, 2024: DSIP Topic Q&A closes to new questions at 12:00 p.m. ET November 19, 2024: Deadline for receipt of proposals no later than 12:00 p.m. ET

<u>IMPORTANT</u>: A prize competition, xTechScalable AI 2, will be used to identify small business concerns that meet the criteria for award. Winners selected from the xTechScalable AI 2 prize competition will be the only firms eligible to submit a proposal under this topic. All other proposals will not be evaluated. See the full xTechScalable AI 2 prize competition RFI here: <a href="https://www.xtech.army.mil/competitions/">https://www.xtech.army.mil/competitions/</a>

#### **INTRODUCTION**

The future Army must be capable of conducting Multi-Domain Operations (MDO) as part of an integrated Joint Force across an array of situations in multiple theaters by 2035. The MDO concept describes how the Army will support the Joint Force in the rapid and continuous integration of all domains of warfare – land, sea, air, and cyberspace – to deter and prevail as we compete short of conflict, and fight and win if deterrence fails. The Army must provide game-changing capabilities to our Soldiers. To capitalize on small business innovation and reduce the time from solicitation to award, the Army has implemented an approach to advertise SBIR funding opportunities through the Department of Defense (DoD) Annual BAA process, outside of the three pre-determined BAA cycles.

Proposers are encouraged to thoroughly review the DoD Program BAA and register for the Defense SBIR/STTR Innovation Portal (DSIP) Listserv to remain apprised of important programmatic and contractual changes.

- The DoD Program BAA is located at: <u>https://www.defensesbirsttr.mil/SBIR-</u> <u>STTR/Opportunities/#announcements.</u> Be sure to select the tab for the appropriate BAA cycle.
- Register for the DSIP Listserv at: <u>https://www.dodsbirsttr.mil/submissions/login.</u>

# **CONTACT INFORMATION**

Direct specific questions pertaining to the administration of the Department of the Army SBIR Program and proposal preparation instructions to the Point of Contact identified in the Topic announcement. General questions can be directed to the following:

Email: <u>usarmy.pentagon.hqda-asa-alt.mbx.army-applied-sbir-program@army.mil</u> Website: <u>https://www.armysbir.army.mil/</u> How to Submit a Compliant and Responsive Proposal Webinar: <u>https://youtu.be/YyXMWUYo\_zo</u> Mailing Address: Army Applied SBIR Office 2530 Crystal Drive, Suite 11192 Arlington, Virginia 22202

### **RESPONSIVENESS AND TIMELINESS**

Proposals will only be evaluated in response to an active, corresponding Army topic. Proposals will be initially screened to determine responsiveness and timeliness. Proposals passing this initial screening will be technically evaluated by engineers or scientists, through a peer or scientific review process, to determine the most promising technical and scientific approaches. Assessment of responsiveness may continue during technical evaluation and after selection. If at any point the proposal is deemed untimely, unresponsive, ineligible, or non-responsible, the proposal will be disqualified/rejected, and a contract will not be awarded.

Interested firms shall follow the DoD Program BAA instructions as well as the Army's component-specific proposal instructions herein, when preparing and submitting proposals. The DoD 24.4 SBIR Program BAA can be found here: <u>https://www.defensesbirsttr.mil/SBIR-STTR/Opportunities/</u>.

The Government reserves the right to disqualify/reject proposals for failing to meet any of the requirements of the SBA SBIR/STTR Policy Directive, the DoD Program BAA instructions, the Army's component-specific proposal instructions herein, and/or in the topic itself. The following include, but are not limited to, the common reasons for which proposals are disqualified/rejected:

- System for Award Management (refer to section 'Representations through the System for Award Management (SAM) below for SAM specific requirements).
- The proposal is missing required number of signatures and/or content.
- Minimum Performance Percentage of Work is not allocated properly.
- Work as proposed does not meet the definition of Research and Development required for funding.
- Proposal submitted beyond deadline.
- Commercialization Plan is submitted in a format other than the prescribed template at Appendix D Commercialization Plan Template, enclosed herein.
- Price exceeds the stated award guideline limitation identified within the corresponding SBIR opportunity.
- Proposal exceeds the stated page count(s) or formatting requirements
- Firm is NOT an eligible small business.
- Firm does NOT meet the ownership and control requirements.
- Firm is 50% or more owned or managed by a corporate entity that is not a small business.
- Firm will NOT perform the prescribed percentage of the research and/or analytical work.
- Primary employment of the Principal Investigator for this project is NOT with the firm.
- Firm has been convicted of a fraud-related crime.
- Principal Investigator or Corporate Official has been convicted of a fraud-related crime.
- Firm and affiliates have employed, on average over the last 24 months, more than 500 employees.
- Firm has been awarded a contract from the US Government for essentially equivalent work.
- Claiming data rights assertions without including a Data Rights Assertions Table.
- Lack of proper documentation for research utilizing human/animal subjects or recombinant DNA.
- Lack of information or negative information concerning use of foreign nationals.
- Offeror requests to award to a different firm/entity after proposal submission.
- Failure or refusal to submit certified or other than certified cost data in accordance with Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement (DFARS) Clause 252.215-7010, Requirements for Certified Cost or Pricing Data and Data Other Than Certified Cost or Pricing Data.
- Proposal is for a topic other than that which is identified.

# REPRESENTATIONS THROUGH THE SYSTEM FOR AWARD MANAGEMENT (SAM)

The purpose of electronic Representations and Certifications (Reps/Certs) is to provide all Offerors with a portal in which to submit Reps/Certs in a publicly accessible format, nullifying the requirement to submit identical information in response to each and every Federal contract solicitation.

Interested firms are required to be registered and active in SAM (<u>www.sam.gov</u>) before submitting a proposal and shall continue to be registered until time of award, during performance, and through final payment of any contract. Firms are reminded to update SAM data as necessary, ensuring their Reps/Certs reflect the proper North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) code and Product and Service Code (PSC) supporting this effort:

NAICS: 541715, Research and Development in the Physical, Engineering, and Life Sciences (except Nanotechnology and Biotechnology)

PSC: AC12, National Defense R&D Services; Department of Defense - Military; Applied Research

A firm may NOT submit an offer on behalf of another entity. The proposed firm's Entity Information shall match the Entity Information (Commercial and Government Entity (CAGE) Code / DoD Activity Address Code (DoDAAC) / Unique Entity Identifier (UEI)) contained in the proposal to be eligible for award.

Proposing firms with no SAM registration, inactive SAM registration(s), or SAM registration(s) with improper representations and certifications will be disqualified and shall not be considered for award.

# ELIGIBILITY

The Army's SBIR Program is subject to small business size, affiliation rules, and ownership or investment disclosure and registration requirements referenced in 13 C.F.R. §§ 121.701-705, Size and Eligibility Requirements for the Small Business Innovation Research (SBIR) and Small Business Technology Transfer (STTR) Programs, and the Small Business Administration's SBIR/STTR Program Policy Directive (MAY 2023). These eligibility requirements are unique and do not correspond to those of other small business programs.

Proposing firms may refer to Section 4.2, Proposing Small Business Concern Eligibility and Performance Requirements, of BAA 24.4, to include any amendments, for full eligibility requirements.

#### Ownership in Part by Multiple Venture Capital, Hedge Fund, and Private Equity Firms

Proposing small business concerns that are owned in majority part by multiple venture capital operating companies (VCOCs), hedge funds, or private equity funds are eligible to submit applications or receive awards for this topic.

- Proposing small business concerns shall identify each foreign national, foreign entity, or foreign government holding or controlling greater than a 5% equity stake in the proposing small business concern, whether such equity stake is directly or indirectly held.
- The proposing small business concern shall also identify any and all of its ultimate parent owner(s) and any other entities and/or individuals owning more than a 5% equity stake in its chain of ownership.

VCOCs, hedge funds and private equity firms are allowed to hold minority shares of SBIR/STTR awardee so long as they do not have control of the awardee company and so long as their affiliation with the awardee, if any, does not put the awardee firm over the size limit.

If the VCOC is itself more than 50% directly owned and controlled by one or more individuals who are citizens or permanent resident aliens of the United States, the VCOC is allowed to have majority ownership and control of the awardee. In that case, the VCOC and the awardee, and all other affiliates, shall have a total of 500 employees or less.

# International Traffic in Arms Regulations (ITAR)

Statement of Work tasks shall neither require the use of export-controlled information/property nor result in the development of export-controlled data/hardware unless expressly stated in the topic. If a proposal is submitted under a topic that requires the use of export-controlled information/property or the development of export-controlled data/hardware, either International Traffic in Arms or Export Administration Regulations (ITAR/EAR), a complete and fully certified DD Form 2345, Militarily Critical Technical Data Agreement, or evidence of application submission, must be included with timely proposal delivery. Failure to provide such documentation will be grounds for disqualification and rejection of the proposal. The form, instructions, and FAQs may be found at the United States/Canada Joint Certification Program website, <a href="http://www.dla.mil/HQ/InformationOperations/Offers/Products/LogisticsApplications/JCP/DD2345Instructios.">http://www.dla.mil/HQ/InformationOperations/Offers/Products/LogisticsApplications/JCP/DD2345Instructios.</a> A contract award will not be made without a complete and fully certified DD Form 2345. Also reference DFARS252.225-7048, Export-Controlled Items.

#### **Anticipated Structure/Award Information**

For this topic, Department of the Army will accept Phase I proposals for the cost of up to \$250,000 for up to a 6-month period of performance and Direct to Phase II proposals for the cost of up to \$2,000,000 for an 18-month period of performance.

Proposals that do not comply with the requirements detailed in the DoD Program BAA, these Component Instructions, and the research objectives of the topic are considered non-conforming and therefore shall not be evaluated nor considered for award.

## PHASE I PROPOSAL INSTRUCTIONS

The DSIP is the official portal for DoD SBIR/STTR proposal submission. Proposers (also referred to herein as "offeror(s)") are required to submit proposals via DSIP; proposals submitted by any other means will be disregarded. Detailed instructions regarding registration and proposal submission via DSIP are provided in the DoD SBIR Program BAA.

Phase I proposal submissions under these Component Instructions shall include the following:

- Volume 1: Proposal Coversheet
- Volume 2: Technical Volume (breakdown below)
  - Technical Proposal (5 pages maximum)
  - Commercialization Plan (8 slides maximum saved as PDF Offerors shall utilize the template found at Appendix D Commercialization Plan Template)
- Volume 3: Cost Volume
- Volume 4: Company Commercialization Report (Auto generated for prior Federal SBIR or STTR awardees)
- Volume 5: Supporting Documents (Please see requirements outlined in the DoD Program BAA for more information)
  - Contractor Certification Regarding Provision of Prohibition on Contracting for Certain Telecommunications and Video Surveillance Services or Equipment
  - o Disclosures of Foreign Affiliations or Relationships to Foreign Countries
  - Disclosure of Funding Sources
- Volume 6: Fraud, Waste, and Abuse Training Certificate

#### **Volume 1 - Proposal Coversheet**

The proposal coversheet shall follow the instructions and requirements provided in the DoD SBIR Program BAA.

The offeror shall certify that to the best of its knowledge and belief, its eligibility information under the

SBIR Program is accurate, complete, and current as of the date of the offer.

#### **Volume 2 - Technical Volume**

These following instructions supersede those stated in section 5.3.c of the DoD Program BAA.

The technical volume shall not exceed five (5) pages and shall follow the formatting requirements provided in the DoD SBIR Program BAA. Proposing small business concerns shall also submit an eight (8) slide Commercialization Plan, utilizing the template found at Appendix D – Commercialization Plan Template attached hereto. The Commercialization Plan shall be converted to a pdf and attached to the end of the five (5) page technical volume, resulting in one pdf file to be uploaded to DSIP as Volume 2. The Commercialization Plan does not count towards the technical volume 5-page limit. Any proposals submitted without a Commercialization Plan, or in a format other than the template provided at Appendix D – Commercialization Plan Template, shall be deemed unresponsive, and will not be evaluated nor considered for award.

#### Volume 2a - Part One Technical Proposal

The technical proposal shall contain two (2) key sections – technical approach and team qualifications. The technical approach section shall contain details on how the proposer is going to solve the problem. It shall detail key elements of the firm's approach, any risks, relevant past work and how success was measured along with how success will be measured for this effort. The team qualifications section shall highlight the key personnel working on the project, and the resources that will be brought to bear on solving the problem. Further, if proposing the use of Foreign National personnel as defined at section 3 of the DoD Program BAA, offerors shall specify each Foreign National's country of origin, the type of visa or work permit under which they are performing, and provide an explanation of their anticipated level of involvement on this project - Offerors may be asked to provide additional information during negotiations in order to verify the foreign citizen's eligibility to participate in the SBIR. The Government may withdraw from negotiations at any time for any reason to include matters of national security (foreign persons, foreign influence or ownership, inability to clear the firm or personnel for security clearances, or other related issues).

#### Volume 2b - Part Two Commercialization Plan

Offerors shall refer to and utilize the eight (8) slide template found at Appendix D – Commercialization Plan Template, attached hereto, when preparing the commercialization plan.

The commercialization plan content requirements, as described at Appendix D, include:

- 1. <u>SBIR Project Title:</u> Opening slide that includes the SBIR project title, principal investigator name/title key (or other relevant) personnel, and subcontractors, firm name, topic number, and proposal number.
- 2. <u>Bottom Line Up Front (BLUF)</u>: Slide that outlines/summarizes key areas of the Commercialization Plan. See slide 2 of Appendix D.
- 3. <u>Company Information & Background</u>: Focused objectives/core competencies; Specialization area(s); Products with significant sales; Concise history of previous Federal and non-Federal funding, Regulatory experience (if applicable), Past commercialization successes; and Past failure and how your firm overcame
- 4. <u>Customer and Competition</u>: Clear description of key technology objectives; Current competition and/or alternative solutions; Advantages of company's solution compared to competing products or services; Description of hurdles to acceptance of the proposed innovation; and Description of possible areas where your technology may be utilized or is underutilized.
- 5. <u>Market</u>: Provide an analysis of market size, and estimated market share after first year sales and after 5 years; Explain milestones target dates of plan to obtain market share; Respond to

specific questions regarding your qualifications and approach to bring the product to market (See slide 5 of Appendix D)

- 6. <u>Intellectual Property</u>: Patent status, technology lead, trade secrets or other demonstration of a plan to achieve sufficient protection to realize the commercialization stage and attain at least a temporal competitive advantage; Describe how you will protect the intellectual property that enables commercialization of its products while keeping competitors at bay.
- 7. <u>Financing</u>: Plans for securing necessary non-SBIR funding; Describe your firm's revenue stream generation.
- 8. <u>Assistance and mentoring</u>: Plans for securing needed technical or business assistance through mentoring, partnering, or through arrangements with government sponsored (e.g., State assistance programs, Federally-funded research laboratories, Manufacturing Extension Partnership centers), not-for-profits (e.g., SBDC), commercial accelerators, DOD Prime Contractors, or other assistance provider.

#### Volume 3 - Cost Volume

The Cost Volume shall follow all instructions and requirements provided in the DoD SBIR Program BAA. The following instructions supersede those stated in section 5.3. d of the DoD Program BAA.

Unless otherwise noted in the topic, the Phase I award amount shall not exceed \$250,000 for a 6- month period of performance. Phase I Options are not anticipated at this time. If an option is identified in the topic posting, costs for the Base and Option shall be separated and clearly identified on the Proposal Cover Sheet (Volume 1) and in Volume 3.

For pricing purposes, offerors shall assume a contract or agreement start date of approximately 180 calendar days after the closing date of the solicitation (in accordance with SBIR/STTR Policy Directive paragraph 7(c)(1)(ii). Awards are executed as FAR-based firm-fixed-price contracts. Fixed price payments shall be tied to measurable milestones, as agreed to by the Government.

In the event that adequate price competition, as defined in FAR 15.403-1(1), is not realized, the Government will conduct additional proposal analysis, in accordance with the techniques identified at FAR 15.404-1. In accordance with FAR 15.402(a), Contracting officers shall purchase supplies and services from responsible sources at fair and reasonable prices. If the Contracting Officer is unable to deem the offeror as responsible (FAR 9.1), the offeror will be disqualified. Proposals lacking a fair and reasonable price will be eliminated.

#### Volume 3 - Content of the Cost Volume

ALL proposed costs shall be accompanied by documentation to substantiate how the cost was derived. For example, if you proposed travel costs to attend a project-related meeting or conference, and used a travel website to compare flight costs, include a screenshot of the comparison. Similarly, if you proposed to purchase materials or equipment, and used the internet to search for the best source, include your market research for those items. You do not necessarily have to propose the cheapest item or supplier, but you should explain your decision to choose one item or supplier over another. It's important to provide enough information to allow evaluators and contracting personnel to understand how the proposer plans to use the requested funds. Failure to include the documentation with your proposal may delay any potential contract award, as the proposer will be asked to submit the necessary documentation to the Contracting Officer to substantiate costs. It is important to respond as quickly as possible to the Contracting Officer's request for documentation.

Note: Some items in the cost breakdown may not apply to the proposed project. If that is the case, there is no need to provide information on each and every item.

Cost Breakdown Guidance:

### • DIRECT LABOR:

- List all key personnel by name as well as by number of hours dedicated to the project as direct labor.
- Provide a task-level, time-phased (e.g., annual) breakdown of labor hours, rates, and cost by appropriate Direct Labor category, and explain the basis of estimates. Include substantiating documentation to support the costs (e.g., payroll reports)

## • MATERIAL/TOOLING/EQUIPMENT:

- Provide a consolidated priced summary of individual raw materials, parts, components, assemblies, and services to be produced or performed by others. For all items proposed, include the item nomenclature, description, part number, quantity, unit price, extended amount, vendor name, basis of estimate, and whether the item is commercial in accordance with the definition in FAR 2.101, based on adequate price competition or non-competitive.
- The Offeror shall provide the basis for establishing the reasonableness of price through price analysis. Proposing firms shall provide substantiating documentation for the costs (e.g. vendor quotes, invoice prices, competitive bids, etc.). If your choice isn't the lowest cost available, explain the decision to choose one item or supplier over another.
- Ensure all materials are American made to the maximum extent practicable. Offerors who propose to use a foreign-made product in its technology may be required to find an American-made equivalent.
- While special tooling and test equipment and material cost may be included, it will be carefully reviewed relative to need and appropriateness for the work proposed. The purchase of special tooling and test equipment shall, in the opinion of the Procurement/Government Component Contracting Officer, be advantageous to the Government and should be related directly to the specific topic. These may include such items as innovative instrumentation or automatic test equipment. Title to property furnished by the Government or acquired with Government funds will be vested with the DoD Component, unless it is determined that transfer of title to the contractor would be more cost effective than recovery of the equipment by the DoD Component.
- SUBCONTRACTS: A subcontract is any contract as defined at FAR 2.101, other than one involving an employer-employee relationship, entered into by the prime contractor (awardee) calling for supplies or services for the performance of the contract.
  - Provide data showing the degree of Subcontractor competition and the basis for establishing the source and reasonableness of price through price analysis.
  - All subcontractor costs and consultant costs, such as labor, travel, equipment, materials, shall be detailed at the same level as prime contractor costs. Provide detailed substantiation of subcontractor costs in your cost proposal.
  - Percentage of Work Requirement: For Phase I, the offeror shall perform a minimum of two-thirds (66.66%) of the research and/or analytical effort. One third (33.33%) may be subcontracted to another firm or research organization/facility. The percentage of work is measured by both direct and indirect costs.

- Offerors shall not propose to subcontract to the issuing agency, to any other Federal Government agency, or to other units of the Federal Government, except Federal Laboratories in rare circumstances. As defined in 15 United States Code (U.S.C.) 3703, Federal Laboratory means any laboratory, any federally funded research and development center, or any center established under 15 U.S.C. 3705 and 3707 that is owned, leased, or otherwise used by a Federal Agency and funded by the Federal Government, whether operated by the Government or by a contractor. A waiver is no longer required for the use of federal laboratories and FFRDCs; however, Offerors must certify their use of such facilities on the Cover Sheet of the proposal. A list of eligible FFRDCs is available at: <a href="https://www.nsf.gov/statistics/ffrdclist/">https://www.nsf.gov/statistics/ffrdclist/</a>
- Offerors shall not propose to subcontract to any prohibited sources, as prescribed at FAR 25.7 – Prohibited Sources, and its supplements. Proposals identifying a subcontractor/vendor arrangement with a prohibited source may be rejected.
- Offerors shall ensure subcontracting arrangements are with United States Small Businesses to the maximum extent practicable. Offerors proposing a subcontractor arrangement with other than a United States Small Business (such as, a large business, foreign firm, foreign government, educational institution, unit of Federal Government, etc.) may be required to submit further explanation, and/or have the submitted proposal disqualified.
- TRAVEL:
  - Explain the basis of proposed travel, including to/from locations, number of trips, number of travelers per trip, and number of days/nights per trip. Include substantiating documentation for the costs (e.g. screenshots of flight cost comparison, rental car quotes, etc.). NOTE: Virtual meetings shall be utilized to the maximum extent practicable.
  - In accordance with FAR 31.205-46 Travel costs incurred shall not exceed the maximum per diem rates set forth in Federal Travel Regulation, Joint Travel Regulation, or standard regulations, unless the travel is special or considered unusual. Any special or unusual travel costs shall be supported with substantiating documentation for review and consideration. Per diem rate lookup can be located at https://www.gsa.gov/travel/plan-book/per-diem-rates?gsaredirect=perdiem.
- INDIRECT COSTS:
  - Indicate how you have computed and applied your indirect costs (e.g., overhead, general & administrative, material handling, fringe, etc.), including cost breakdowns. Indicate the rates used and provide an appropriate explanation.
  - If a Defense Contract Audit Agency (DCAA) Audit has been conducted within the last five (5) years, include the audit compliance documentation in the cost proposal documents. The documentation should also include the offeror's DCAA Point of Contact (if applicable). Further, if applicable Offerors shall provide any current Forward Pricing Rate Agreements (FPRA) in effect at time of proposal submission.

If selected for award, failure to include the documentation with your proposal may delay any potential contract award, as the proposer will be asked to submit the necessary documentation to the Contracting Officer to substantiate costs. It is important to respond as quickly as possible to the Contracting Officer's request for documentation. Failure or refusal to provide documentation may result in dissolution of the contract action.

## Volume 4 - Company Commercialization Report (CCR)

Completion of the CCR as Volume 4 of the proposal submission in DSIP is required for prior SBIR/STTR awardees. Please refer to the DoD SBIR Program BAA for full details on this requirement. Information contained in the CCR will be considered by the Department of the Army during proposal evaluations.

### **Volume 5 - Supporting Documents**

Volume 5 is provided for proposers to submit additional documentation to support the Cover Sheet (Volume 1) and the Technical Volume (Volume 2), and the Cost Volume (Volume 3).

All proposing small business concerns are REQUIRED to submit the following documents to Volume 5:

- 1. Contractor Certification Regarding Provision of Prohibition on Contracting for Certain Telecommunications and Video Surveillance Services or Equipment
- 2. Disclosures of Foreign Affiliations or Relationships to Foreign Countries
- 3. Disclosure of Funding Sources
- 4. SBIR Funding Agreement Certification

In addition to the Volume 5 requirements outlined in the DoD Program BAA, the Department of the Army may accept the following documents in Volume 5:

- Additional Cost Information
- Technical Data Rights (Assertions)
- Allocation of Rights
- Other (only as specified in the topic)

Please only submit documents that are identified immediately above and in the DoD Program BAA. All other documents submitted will be disregarded.

#### Volume 6 Fraud, Waste and Abuse Training

Follow instructions provided in the DoD Program BAA for completion of the Fraud, Waste and Abuse training in DSIP.

# DIRECT TO PHASE II (DP2) PROPOSAL INSTRUCTIONS

The DSIP is the official portal for DoD SBIR/STTR proposal submission. Proposers (also referred to herein as "offeror(s)") are required to submit proposals via DSIP; proposals submitted by any other means will be disregarded. Detailed instructions regarding registration and proposal submission via DSIP are provided in the DoD SBIR Program BAA.

Proposers interested in submitting a DP2 proposal in response to these topics shall provide documentation to substantiate that the scientific and technical merit and feasibility described in the Phase I section of the topic has been met and describes the potential commercial applications. Documentation should include all relevant information including, but not limited to: technical reports, test data, prototype designs/models, and performance goals/results. Work submitted within the feasibility documentation must have been substantially performed by the proposer and/or the Principal Investigator.

The Army will not evaluate the proposer's related Phase II proposal if it determines that the proposer has failed to demonstrate that technical merit and feasibility has been established or the proposer has failed to demonstrate that work submitted in the feasibility documentation was substantially performed by the proposer and/or the PI.

Feasibility documentation cannot be based upon any prior or ongoing federally funded SBIR or STTR work

and DP2 proposals MUST NOT logically extend from any prior or ongoing federally funded SBIR or STTR work.

For topics eligible for DP2 proposal submission under these Component Instructions, proposals shall include the following:

- Volume 1: Proposal Coversheet
- Volume 2: Technical Volume (breakdown below)
  - Feasibility Documentation Part One A (5 Pages maximum)
  - Technical Proposal Part One B (10 pages maximum)
  - Commercialization Plan Part Two (8 slides maximum saved as PDF Offerors shall utilize the template found at Appendix D – Commercialization Plan Template)
- Volume 3: Cost Volume
- Volume 4: Company Commercialization Report (Auto generated for prior Federal SBIR or STTR awardees)
- Volume 5: Supporting Documents (Please see requirements outlined in the DoD Program BAA for more information)
  - Contractor Certification Regarding Provision of Prohibition on Contracting for Certain Telecommunications and Video Surveillance Services or Equipment
  - o Disclosures of Foreign Affiliations or Relationships to Foreign Countries
  - Disclosure of Funding Sources
- Volume 6: Fraud, Waste, and Abuse Training Certificate

#### **Volume 1 - Proposal Coversheet**

The proposal coversheet shall follow the instructions and requirements provided in the DoD SBIR Program BAA.

The offeror shall certify that to the best of its knowledge and belief, its eligibility information under the SBIR Program is accurate, complete, and current as of the date of the offer.

#### **Volume 2 - Technical Volume**

These following instructions supersede those stated in section 5.3.c of the DoD Program BAA.

The Technical Volume shall include three (3) parts:

- Feasibility Documentation (Part One A);
- Technical Proposal (Part One B); and
- Commercialization Plan (Part Two).

The technical volume shall not exceed 15 pages, inclusive of the Feasibility Determination (Part One A), which is subject to a maximum of five (5) pages, and the Technical Proposal (Part One B), which is subject to a maximum of 10 pages. Proposing small business concerns shall also submit an eight (8) slide Commercialization Plan, utilizing the template found at Appendix D – Commercialization Plan Template attached hereto. The Commercialization Plan shall be converted to a pdf and attached to the end of the five (5) page technical volume, resulting in one pdf file to be uploaded to DSIP as Volume 2. The Commercialization Plan does not count towards the technical volume 5-page limit. Any proposals submitted without a Commercialization Plan, or in a format other than the template provided at Appendix D – Commercialization Plan Template, shall be deemed unresponsive, and will not be evaluated nor considered for award.

Offerors shall number all pages of their proposal consecutively. Font size should not be smaller than 10point on standard 8-1/2" x 11" paper with one-inch margins. The header on each page of the Technical Volume should contain your company name, topic number, and proposal number assigned by DSIP when the Cover Sheet was created. The header may be included in the one-inch margin. Except as stated herein, the Technical Volume shall follow the formatting requirements provided in the DoD SBIR Program BAA Any proposals submitted in a different format, or exceeding the page count limits shall not be reviewed.

# Volume 2 - PART ONE: Feasibility and Technical Proposal

Offerors are free to structure each section of Volume 2, PART ONE as they like, so long as it provides sufficient detail for evaluators to understand the proposed work, who will carry it out, and how the business plans to commercialize results. Volume 2, PART ONE shall include the following:

## Volume 2 - PART ONE A: Feasibility Documentation (5 pages):

- The offeror shall provide documentation in its proposal to substantiate that the scientific and technical merit and feasibility described in the Phase I section of the topic component-specific instructions has been met and describes the potential commercial applications. Documentation shall include all relevant information including, but not limited to: technical reports (summary and citation), test data, prototype designs/models, and performance goals/results from the Phase I effort.
- If references exist, the offeror shall include a reference list or works cited list as the last page of the feasibility documentation. This will count towards the total page limit.
- If technology in the feasibility documentation is subject to Intellectual Property (IP), the offeror must either own the IP, or must have obtained license rights to such technology prior to proposal submission, to enable it and its subcontractors to legally carry out the proposed work. Documentation of IP ownership or license rights shall be included in the Technical Volume of the proposal.

# Volume 2, PART ONE B: Technical Proposal (10 pages). At a minimum, the technical proposal shall address all of the following:

- What are you trying to do? Describe your firm's technical approach/solution. Articulate your firm's objectives without jargon.
- What is new in your firm's approach and why will your firm be successful?
- If you firm is successful, what difference will this technology make?
- What are the technical risks?
- What is the Period of Performance? In other words, how long will it take to complete the contract, including a milestone schedule to justify the requested period of performance.

#### Volume 2b - PART TWO - Commercialization Plan

Offerors shall refer to and utilize the eight (8) slide template found at Appendix D – Commercialization Plan Template, attached hereto, when preparing the commercialization plan.

The commercialization plan content requirements, as described at Appendix D, include:

- 1. <u>SBIR Project Title:</u> Opening slide that includes the SBIR project title, principal investigator name/title key (or other relevant) personnel, and subcontractors, firm name, topic number, and proposal number.
- 2. <u>Bottom Line Up Front (BLUF):</u> Slide that outlines/summarizes key areas of the Commercialization Plan. See slide 2 of Appendix D.
- 3. <u>Company Information & Background</u>: Focused objectives/core competencies; Specialization area(s); Products with significant sales; Concise history of previous Federal and non-Federal funding, Regulatory experience (if applicable), Past commercialization successes; and Past failure and how your firm overcame
- 4. <u>Customer and Competition</u>: Clear description of key technology objectives; Current

competition and/or alternative solutions; Advantages of company's solution compared to competing products or services; Description of hurdles to acceptance of the proposed innovation; and Description of possible areas where your technology may be utilized or is underutilized.

- 5. <u>Market</u>: Provide an analysis of market size, and estimated market share after first year sales and after 5 years; Explain milestones target dates of plan to obtain market share; Respond to specific questions regarding your qualifications and approach to bring the product to market (See slide 5 of Appendix D)
- 6. <u>Intellectual Property</u>: Patent status, technology lead, trade secrets or other demonstration of a plan to achieve sufficient protection to realize the commercialization stage and attain at least a temporal competitive advantage; Describe how you will protect the intellectual property that enables commercialization of its products while keeping competitors at bay.
- 7. <u>Financing</u>: Plans for securing necessary non-SBIR funding; Describe your firm's revenue stream generation.
- 8. <u>Assistance and mentoring</u>: Plans for securing needed technical or business assistance through mentoring, partnering, or through arrangements with government sponsored (e.g., State assistance programs, Federally-funded research laboratories, Manufacturing Extension Partnership centers), not-for-profits (e.g., SBDC), commercial accelerators, DOD Prime Contractors, or other assistance provider.

#### Volume 3 - Cost Volume

The Cost Volume shall follow all instructions and requirements provided in the DoD SBIR Program BAA. The following instructions supersede those stated in section 5.3. d of the DoD Program BAA.

Unless otherwise noted in the topic, the Army will accept DP2 proposals for a cost up to \$2,000,000 for an 18-month period of performance. Proposers are required to use the Cost Proposal method as provided on the DSIP submission site. The Cost Volume (and supporting documentation) DOES NOT count toward the page limit of the Technical Volume.

For pricing purposes, offerors shall assume a contract or agreement start date of approximately 180 calendar days after the closing date of the solicitation (in accordance with SBIR/STTR Policy Directive paragraph 7(c)(1)(ii). Awards are executed as FAR-based firm-fixed-price contracts. Fixed price payments shall be tied to measurable milestones, as agreed to by the Government.

In the event that adequate price competition, as defined in FAR 15.403-1(1), is not realized, the Government will conduct additional proposal analysis, in accordance with the techniques identified at FAR 15.404-1. In accordance with FAR 15.402(a), Contracting officers shall purchase supplies and services from responsible sources at fair and reasonable prices. If the Contracting Officer is unable to deem the offeror as responsible (FAR 9.1), the offeror will be disqualified. Proposals lacking a fair and reasonable price will be eliminated.

#### Volume 3 - Content of the Cost Volume

ALL proposed costs shall be accompanied by documentation to substantiate how the cost was derived. For example, if you proposed travel costs to attend a project-related meeting or conference, and used a travel website to compare flight costs, include a screenshot of the comparison. Similarly, if you proposed to purchase materials or equipment, and used the internet to search for the best source, include your market research for those items. You do not necessarily have to propose the cheapest item or supplier, but you should explain your decision to choose one item or supplier over another. It's important to provide enough information to allow evaluators and contracting personnel to understand how the proposer plans to use the requested funds. Some items in the cost breakdown may not apply to the proposed project. If that is the case, there is no need to provide information on each and every item. Cost Breakdown Guidance:

- DIRECT LABOR:
  - List all key personnel by name as well as by number of hours dedicated to the project as direct labor.
  - Provide a task-level, time-phased (e.g., annual) breakdown of labor hours, rates, and cost by appropriate Direct Labor category, and explain the basis of estimates. Include substantiating documentation to support the costs (e.g., payroll reports)

#### • MATERIAL/TOOLING/EQUIPMENT:

- Provide a consolidated priced summary of individual raw materials, parts, components, assemblies, and services to be produced or performed by others. For all items proposed, include the item nomenclature, description, part number, quantity, unit price, extended amount, vendor name, basis of estimate, and whether the item is commercial in accordance with the definition in FAR 2.101, based on adequate price competition or non-competitive.
- The Offeror shall provide the basis for establishing the reasonableness of price through price analysis. Proposing firms shall provide substantiating documentation for the costs (e.g. vendor quotes, invoice prices, competitive bids, etc.). If your choice isn't the lowest cost available, explain the decision to choose one item or supplier over another.
- Ensure all materials are American made to the maximum extent practicable. Offerors who propose to use a foreign-made product in its technology may be required to find an American-made equivalent.
- While special tooling and test equipment and material cost may be included, it will be carefully reviewed relative to need and appropriateness for the work proposed. The purchase of special tooling and test equipment shall, in the opinion of the Procurement/Government Component Contracting Officer, be advantageous to the Government and should be related directly to the specific topic. These may include such items as innovative instrumentation or automatic test equipment. Title to property furnished by the Government or acquired with Government funds will be vested with the DoD Component, unless it is determined that transfer of title to the contractor would be more cost effective than recovery of the equipment by the DoD Component.
- SUBCONTRACTS: A subcontract is any contract as defined at FAR 2.101, other than one involving an employer-employee relationship, entered into by the prime contractor (awardee) calling for supplies or services for the performance of the contract.
  - Provide data showing the degree of Subcontractor competition and the basis for establishing the source and reasonableness of price through price analysis.
  - All subcontractor costs and consultant costs, such as labor, travel, equipment, materials, shall be detailed at the same level as prime contractor costs. Provide detailed substantiation of subcontractor costs in your cost proposal.
  - Percentage of Work Requirement: For DP2, the offeror shall perform a minimum of one-half (50%) of the research and/or analytical effort. The percentage of work is measured by both direct and indirect costs.

- Offerors shall not propose to subcontract to the issuing agency, to any other Federal Government agency, or to other units of the Federal Government, except Federal Laboratories in rare circumstances. As defined in 15 United States Code (U.S.C.) 3703, Federal Laboratory means any laboratory, any federally funded research and development center, or any center established under 15 U.S.C. 3705 and 3707 that is owned, leased, or otherwise used by a Federal Agency and funded by the Federal Government, whether operated by the Government or by a contractor. A waiver is no longer required for the use of federal laboratories and FFRDCs; however, Offerors must certify their use of such facilities on the Cover Sheet of the proposal. A list of eligible FFRDCs is available at: <a href="https://www.nsf.gov/statistics/ffrdclist/">https://www.nsf.gov/statistics/ffrdclist/</a>
- Offerors shall not propose to subcontract to any prohibited sources, as prescribed at FAR 25.7 – Prohibited Sources, and its supplements. Proposals identifying a subcontractor/vendor arrangement with a prohibited source may be rejected.
- Offerors shall ensure subcontracting arrangements are with United States Small Businesses to the maximum extent practicable. Offerors proposing a subcontractor arrangement with other than a United States Small Business (such as, a large business, foreign firm, foreign government, educational institution, unit of Federal Government, etc.) may be required to submit further explanation, and/or have the submitted proposal disqualified.
- TRAVEL:
  - Explain the basis of proposed travel, including to/from locations, number of trips, number of travelers per trip, and number of days/nights per trip. Include substantiating documentation for the costs (e.g. screenshots of flight cost comparison, rental car quotes, etc.). NOTE: Virtual meetings shall be utilized to the maximum extent practicable.
  - In accordance with FAR 31.205-46 Travel costs incurred shall not exceed the maximum per diem rates set forth in Federal Travel Regulation, Joint Travel Regulation, or standard regulations, unless the travel is special or considered unusual. Any special or unusual travel costs shall be supported with substantiating documentation for review and consideration. Per diem rate lookup can be located at https://www.gsa.gov/travel/plan-book/per-diem-rates?gsaredirect=perdiem.
- INDIRECT COSTS:
  - Indicate how you have computed and applied your indirect costs (e.g., overhead, general & administrative, material handling, fringe, etc.), including cost breakdowns. Indicate the rates used and provide an appropriate explanation.
  - If a Defense Contract Audit Agency (DCAA) Audit has been conducted within the last five (5) years, include the audit compliance documentation in the cost proposal documents. The documentation should also include the offeror's DCAA Point of Contact (if applicable). Further, if applicable Offerors shall provide any current Forward Pricing Rate Agreements (FPRA) in effect at time of proposal submission.

If selected for award, failure to include the documentation with your proposal may delay any potential contract award, as the proposer will be asked to submit the necessary documentation to the Contracting Officer to substantiate costs. It is important to respond as quickly as possible to the Contracting Officer's request for documentation. Failure or refusal to provide documentation may result in dissolution of the contract action.

# Volume 4 - Company Commercialization Report (CCR)

Completion of the CCR as Volume 4 of the proposal submission in DSIP is required for prior SBIR/STTR awardees. Please refer to the DoD SBIR Program BAA for full details on this requirement. Information contained in the CCR will be considered by the Department of the Army during proposal evaluations.

#### **Volume 5 - Supporting Documents**

Volume 5 is provided for proposers to submit additional documentation to support the Cover Sheet (Volume 1) and the Technical Volume (Volume 2), and the Cost Volume (Volume 3).

All proposing small business concerns are REQUIRED to submit the following documents to Volume 5:

- 1. Contractor Certification Regarding Provision of Prohibition on Contracting for Certain Telecommunications and Video Surveillance Services or Equipment
- 2. Disclosures of Foreign Affiliations or Relationships to Foreign Countries
- 3. Disclosure of Funding Sources
- 4. SBIR Funding Agreement Certification

In addition to the Volume 5 requirements outlined in the DoD Program BAA, the Department of the Army may accept the following documents in Volume 5:

- Additional Cost Information
- Technical Data Rights (Assertions)
- Allocation of Rights
- Other (only as specified in the topic)

Please only submit documents that are identified immediately above and in the DoD Program BAA. All other documents submitted will be disregarded.

#### Volume 6 Fraud, Waste and Abuse Training

Follow instructions provided in the DoD Program BAA for completion of the Fraud, Waste and Abuse training in DSIP.

# DISCRETIONARY TECHNICAL AND BUSINESS ASSISTANCE

The Army, at its discretion, may provide Technical and Business Assistance (TABA). The Army will select a preferred vendor(s) for the Army SBIR TABA program through a competitive process. Alternately, a small business concern may, by subcontract or otherwise, select one or more vendors to assist the firm in meeting the TABA goals. The Applicant must request the authority to select its own TABA provider in its Army SBIR proposal and must demonstrate that the vendor is uniquely postured to provide the specific technical and business services required by providing documentation in Volume 5, Supporting Documentation. TABA funding will be denied if the offeror fails to include the cost and detailed explanation in its proposal. If you prefer to use the Army preferred vendor, you may opt for that support after selection if chosen to receive a contract award.

Participation in the Army SBIR TABA program is voluntary for each Army SBIR awardee. Services provided to Army SBIR firms under the auspices of the TABA program may include, but are not limited to:

1. Access to a network of scientists, engineers, and technologists focused on commercialization and transition considerations such as protected supply chain management, advanced manufacturing, process/product/production scaling, etc.;

- 2. Assistance with intellectual property protections, such as legal considerations, intellectual property rights, patent filing, patent fees, licensing considerations, etc.;
- 3. Commercialization and technology transition support such as market research, market validation, development of regulatory or manufacturing plans, brand development; and
- 4. Regulatory support such as product domain regulatory considerations, regulatory planning, and regulatory strategy development.

The Army SBIR program sponsors participation in the TABA program. The resource limitation for each firm is as follows:

- Phase I Firms:
  - Army-Preferred Vendor: If approved, the contractor may receive up to \$6,500 worth of assistance services per project (in addition to the base SBIR award amount).
  - Firm-Selected Vendor: If approved, the contractor may receive up to \$6,500 in contract obligation (in addition to the base SBIR award amount) per project.
- Phase II Firms:
  - Army-Preferred Vendor: If approved, the contractor may receive up to \$50,000 worth of assistance services per project (in addition to the base SBIR award amount).
  - Firm-Selected Vendor: If approved, the contractor may receive up to \$50,000 in contract obligation (included in the base SBIR award amount) per project.

# **EVALUATION AND SELECTION**

The Army shall conduct an evaluation of each responsive, timely, eligible proposal in accordance with the evaluation criteria listed in the DoD Program BAA, as supplemented herein. It is the policy of the Army to ensure equitable and comprehensive proposal evaluations based on the evaluation criteria and to select the source (or sources) whose offer meets the Government's technical, policy, and programmatic goals. Designated support contractors may review submissions for the purposes of technical evaluation. All support contractors are bound by appropriate non-disclosure agreements.

As previously stated herein, timeliness, responsiveness, and eligibility will be assessed upon initial screening, during evaluation, and after selection. Proposals that do not comply with the instructions and requirements detailed in this document, the DoD Program BAA, or the corresponding Topic posting (including the research objective(s)), will be considered ineligible, nonresponsive, untimely, or non-conforming and therefore will not be evaluated or considered for award.

Using the evaluation criteria, the Government will evaluate each responsive, timely, eligible proposal in its entirety. Proposals will not be evaluated against each other during the evaluation process, but rather evaluated on their own individual merit to determine how well the proposal meets the criteria stated in this BAA and the corresponding opportunity.

Consistent with the instructions and evaluation criteria specified in the DoD Program BAA (see Section 6.0 - Phase I Evaluation Criteria), as supplemented by the component-specific instructions herein (e.g. Appendix A, B & C, as applicable), and the corresponding Topic posting, selected proposals are those that, through a peer or scientific review, have been determined to be a best value to the Government as they have demonstrated the strongest understanding of the problem to be solved and offered the most capable solutions with the greatest overall benefit and potential to meet the Government's requirement and determined to be the most advantageous to the Government.

Proposing firms will be notified via email of selection or non-selection status for a Phase I or direct to Phase II within 90 days of the closing date of the Topic. The notification will be sent to the Corporate Official listed on the proposal cover sheet from the Army SBIR Program Office mailbox. The Army promotes transparency regarding the technical evaluation for all Army SBIR proposals. The Army will provide a technical evaluation narrative to the proposer in accordance with the SBA Policy Directive, Appendix I, paragraph 4. The selection decision notice contains instructions for retrieving the technical evaluation narrative.

Selected proposals are not guaranteed a contract award. Proposers shall not regard the notification email (selection decision notice) as an authorization to commit or expend funds. Upon selection, proposals are forwarded to a Government Contracting Officer for contract negotiation and further consideration. The Government Contracting Officer shall evaluate selected proposal(s) for price reasonableness utilizing the various proposal analysis techniques described at FAR 13.106-3, or 15.404-1, to ensure a fair and reasonable price is paid. A Government Contracting Officer may contact the proposer in order to discuss and request additional information required for award. This may include representations and certifications, certified or other than certified cost data, subcontracting plan for small businesses, and/or other information as applicable to the proposed award. Proposers shall not regard these communications as an authorization to commence work or commit or expend funds. In the event that an Offeror has not provided fair and reasonable pricing, the Offeror shall be eliminated from further consideration for award.

Upon an affirmative determination of price reasonableness and responsibility, the Contracting Officer may proceed with an award, subject to the availability of funds. Unless a Government Contracting Officer signs an award document (e.g., contract), no obligations to provide funding are made. The Government may reject the proposal or dissolve award of the contract action at any time.

If signed by the Government Contracting Officer, the award document is the official and authorizing instrument, thereafter, referred to as the "contract". The period of performance will begin upon award of the contract. The Contracting Officer will email the signed contract to the principal investigator (PI) and/or an authorized organization representative.

#### FEEDBACK

The Army promotes transparency regarding the technical evaluation for all Army SBIR proposals. The Army will provide feedback to applicants that are not selected for further consideration in accordance with the SBIR Policy Directive, Appendix I, Subsection 4, Paragraph (d). The selection decision notice contains instructions for obtaining feedback in the form of a ValidEval Report. The Army shall not provide any additional feedback beyond the ValidEval report. Offerors are entitled to no more than one feedback per proposal.

NOTE: Feedback is not the same as a FAR Part 15 debriefing. Acquisitions conducted under 15 U.S.C. § 638 are awarded via "other competitive procedures" in accordance with the SBIR Policy Directive and FAR 6.102(d)(2). These "other competitive procedures" are distinct from "competitive proposals" as identified at FAR 6.401(b). Therefore, offerors are neither entitled to, nor will they be provided FAR Part 15 debriefs.

#### PROTESTS

Refer to the DoD SBIR Program BAA for procedures to protest the Announcement. As further prescribed in FAR 33.106(b), FAR 52.233-3, Protests after Award shall be submitted to:

Email: <u>usarmy.pentagon.hqda-asa-alt.mbx.army-applied-sbir-program@mail.mil</u> Mailing Address:

Army Applied SBIR Office 2530 Crystal Drive; Suite 11192 Arlington, Virginia 22202

For protests filed with the Government Accountability Office (GAO), a copy of the protest shall be submitted

to the Component POC (identified above) within one day of filing with the GAO. Protests of small business status of a selected proposing small business concern may also be made to the Small Business Administration.

# Appendix A Phase I Evaluation Criteria

		DEFINITION	
INTRODUCTION	weight BN	Write a clear, concise description of what your innovation does or will do, and where you are in you evolution. Make clear its intended impact on the Army. Evaluators should 'get it' after reading this	
POTENTIAL FOR ARMY IMPACT	OPERATIONAL IMPACT	At the scale of a single Army end-user, argue that their jobs or lives will be significantly improved if your solution is adopted. What is the impact of your solution for a soldier/Army civilian vs. today's solutions?	
weight 25%	POTENTIAL SCALE OF IMPACT	Here, we're looking for an idea of how broad the impact you described above could be. Look into the future to a time when your solution is both technically mature and actively in use by Army personnel. Describe the scale and scope of your impact within the context of the Army.	
TECHNICAL FEASIBILITY	SCIENTIFIC FEASIBILITY	is the science behind the solution sound? Convince readers who don't have deep expertise in your field that your innovation is built atop sound scientific and engineering principles.	
	ENABLING TECHNOLOGIES	Point to the foundational technologies that you rely on to deliver your solution. Do the required enabling technologies introduce added risk? Using proven (and ideally Army-fielded) underlying technologies and techniques helps to lower technical risk.	
	ALTERNATIVE TECHNICAL APPROACHES	From a technologist's perspective, why is your proposed solution the best choice for the Army? Refute the alternative engineering approaches others are using. Why does your technology win?	
weight 25%	TECHNICAL RISK MITIGATION	No matter your current technology readiness level, technical risks remain, identify those risks. Present a credible plan to tackle those risks.	
TRANSITION	ARMY TRANSITION PATHWAY	Planning for success, what's next for you after this SBIR award? Describe the next type of deal yo aim to make with the Army, e.g. a CRADA, a different SBIR contract, a CSO, etc. Briefly outline you current plan to unlock that next opportunity and/or share the biggest risks you see post this SBIR award.	
weight 20%	SBIR MILESTONE SCHEDULE	Please share with us a thoughtful execution plan. Strike a balance between giving us a sense of the detailed thinking behind the scenes and the need for your contracting officer to manage a reasonably small number of milestones during your period of performance.	
FIRM CASH FLOW	FIRM SURVIVAL RISK	SBIR funds are meant to fuel growth rather than stave off a firm's impending financial failure. Demonstrate that your company will survive financially as a going concern through the early stage of a Phase III contract, sometimes referred to as "transitioning" into use by Army personnel.	
	OTHER PEOPLE'S MONEY	Make the case that non-Army and/or non-DoD dolars will continue to fund improvements to your solution from which the Army will benefit in the future. Companies who cannot demonstrate non- Army and/or non-DoD funding sources for future solution enhancements are less attractive to the Applied SBIR program.	
wegte 10%	FINANCIAL PROFIT POTENTIAL	Through the Applied SBIR program, the Army wants to take advantage of the speed and scalability of dual-use companies. Make your best case that your product is or will be profitable. If you have more than one product, please focus your argument on the product / solution presented for this SBIR program.	
TEAM ABILITY	anghr 10%	Prove your team has executed well as a group. Please draw clear distinctions between private sector, DoD and civilian government work. What milestones have you accomplished as a group in this company?	
SUBMISSION QUALITY	QUALITY OF PROSE	Prove you write clearly. Prove you argue convincingly.	
weight Di	DATA QUALITY & ATTRIBUTION	Support your arguments with relevant, properly attributed data to enhance your credibility	
	ATTRIBUTION		

Applied SBIR D2P2 P	roposal Review v2-	0-4 Evaluation Criteria Defined	SBIR
		DEFINITION	
INTRODUCTION	weight 2%	Write a clear, concise description of what your innovation doe evolution. Make clear its intended impact on the Army. Evaluate	
POTENTIAL FOR ARMY IMPACT	OPERATIONAL IMPACT	At the scale of a single Army end-user, argue that their jobs o your solution is adopted. What is the impact of your solution f solutions?	
weight 20%	POTENTIAL SCALE OF IMPACT	Here, we're tooking for an idea of how broad the impact you de the future to a time when your solution is both technically mail personnel. Describe the scale and scope of your impact within	ture and actively in use by Army
TECHNICAL FEASIBILITY	SCIENTIFIC FEASIBILITY	Is the science behind the solution sound? Convince readers w field that your innovation is built atop sound scientific and eng	
	ENABLING TECHNOLOGIES	Point to the foundational technologies that you rely on to delive enabling technologies introduce added risk? Using proven (and technologies and techniques helps to lower technical risk	
	ALTERNATIVE TECHNICAL APPROACHES	From a technologist's perspective, why is your proposed solut Refute the alternative engineering approaches others are usin	
weght 30%	TECHNICAL RISK MITIGATION	No matter your current technology readiness level, technical r Present a credible plan to tackle those risks	isks remain. Identify those risks
TRANSITION	ARMY TRANSITION PATHWAY	Planning for success, what's next for you after this SBIR awar aim to make with the Army, e.g. a CRADA, a different SBIR co current plan to unlock that next opportunity and/or share the award.	ntract, a CSO, etc. Briefly outline your
weight 20%	SBIR MILESTONE SCHEDULE	Please share with us a thoughtful execution plan. Strike a bala the detailed thinking behind the scenes and the need for your reasonably small number of milestones during your period of plants.	contracting officer to manage a
FIRM CASH FLOW	FIRM SURVIVAL RISK	SBIR funds are meant to fuel growth rather than stave off a f Demonstrate that your company will survive financially as a go of a Phase III contract, sometimes referred to as "transitionin	ing concern through the early stages
	OTHER PEOPLE'S MONEY	Make the case that non-Army and/or non-DoD dolars will cont solution from which the Army will benefit in the future. Compa Army and/or non-DoD funding sources for future solution enhy Applied SBIR program.	nies who cannot demonstrate non-
weger 12%	FINANCIAL PROFIT POTENTIAL	Through the Appled SBIR program, the Army wants to take a of dual-use companies. Make your best case that your produc more than one product, please focus your argument on the pr SBIR program.	ct is or will be profitable. If you have
TEAM ABILITY	weight 30%	Prove your team has executed well as a group. Please draw or sector, DoB and civilian government work. What milestones has this company?	
SUBMISSION QUALITY	QUALITY OF PROSE	Prove you write clearly: Prove you argue convincingly.	
suggist 3%	DATA QUALITY & ATTRIBUTION	Support your arguments with relevant, properly attributed data	a to enhance your credibility.
🕰 Valid Eval		Page 1 of 2 @ 2011 - 2	022 Valid Evaluation, Inc. All rights reserved

# Appendix B Direct to Phase II Evaluation Criteria

# Appendix C Phase II Evaluation Criteria

TIONAL IMPACT TIONAL IMPACT T TIAL SCALE OF T TIFIC FEASIBILITY ING IOLOGIES NATIVE IICAL IICAL RISK TION	DEFINITION           Write a clear, concise description of what your innovation does or will do, and where you are in you evolution. Make clear its intended impact on the Army. Evaluators should 'get it' after reading the Army evolution. Make clear its intended impact on the Army. Evaluators should 'get it' after reading the your solution is adopted. What is the impact of your solution for a soldier/Army civilan vs. today's solutions?           Here, we're looking for an idea of how broad the impact you described above could be. Look into the future to a time when your solution is both technically improved your solutions?           Here, we're looking for an idea of how broad the impact you described above could be. Look into the future to a time when your solution is both technically improved your solutions?           Is the science behind the solution sound? Convice readers who don't have deep expertise in you field that your innovation is built atop sound scientific and engineering principles.           Point to the foundational technologies that you raily on to deliver your solution. Do the required enabling technologies introduce added risk? Using proven (and shally Army-fielded) underlying technologies and lechniques helps to lower technical risk.           From a technologist's perspective, why is your proposed solution the best choice for the Army? Refute the alternative engineering approaches others are using. Why does your technology win?	
TIONAL IMPACT	<ul> <li>evolution. Make clear its intended impact on the Army. Evaluators should "get it" after reading the Art the scale of a single Army end-user, argue that their jobs or lives will be significantly improved your solution is adopted. What is the impact of your solution for a soldier/Army civilian vs. today's solutions?</li> <li>Here, we're looking for an idea of how broad the impact you described above could be. Look into the future to a time when your solution is both technically improved your solutions?</li> <li>Here, we're looking for an idea of how broad the impact you described above could be. Look into the future to a time when your solution is both technically improved within the context of the Army.</li> <li>Is the science behind the solution sound? Convince readers who don't have deep expertise in you field that your innovation is built atop acund acientific and engineering principles.</li> <li>Point to the foundational technologies that you rely on to deriver your solution. Do the required enabling technologies introduce added rais? Using proven (and ideally Army-fielded) underlying technologies and technologies to lower technical risk.</li> <li>From a technologist's perspective, why is your proposed solution the best choice for the Army?</li> </ul>	
TIAL SCALE OF T TIFIC FEASIBILITY ING IOLOGIES NATIVE IICAL IICAL RISK	your solution is adopted. What is the impact of your solution for a soldier/Army civilan vs. today's solutions? Here, we're looking for an idea of how broad the impact you described above could be. Look into the future to a time when your solution is both technically insture and actively in use by Army personnel. Describe the scale and scope of your impact within the context of the Army. Is the science behind the solution sound? Convince readers who don't have deep expertise in you field that your innovation is built atop sound scientific and engineering principles. Point to the foundational technologies that you rely on to deliver your solution. Do the required enabling technologies introduce added risk? Using proven (and shealy Army-fielded) underlying technologies and techniques helps to lower technical risk. From a technologial's perspective, why is your proposed solution the best choice for the Army?	
T TIFIC FEASIBILITY ING IOLOGIES NATIVE IICAL IICAL RISK	the future to a time when your solution is both technically mature and actively in use by Army personnel. Describe the scale and acope of your impact within the context of the Army. Is the science behind the solution sound? Convince readers who don't have deep expertise in you field that your innovation is built atop sound scientific and engineering principles. Point to the foundational technologies that you rely on to deliver your solution. Do the required enabling technologies introduce added risk? Using proven (and ideally Army-fielded) underlying technologies and technologies helps to lower technical risk. From a technologies's perspective, why is your proposed solution the best choice for the Army?	
ING IOLOGIES NATIVE IICAL IICAL RISK	Field that your innovation is built atop sound scientific and engineering principles. Point to the foundational technologies that you rely on to deliver your solution. Do the required enabling technologies introduce added risk? Using proven (and ideally Army-fielded) underlying technologies and technologies helps to lower technical risk. From a technologist's perspective, why is your proposed solution the best choice for the Army?	
IOLOGIES NATIVE IICAL IACHES IICAL RISK	enabling technologies introduce added risk? Using proven (and ideally Army-fielded) underlying technologies and techniques helps to lower technical risk. From a technologist's perspective, why is your proposed solution the best choice for the Army?	
IICAL ACHES IICAL RISK		
	No matter your current technology readiness level, technical risks remain, identify those risks. Present a credible plan to tackle those risks.	
TRANSITION VAY	Planning for success, what's next for you after this SBIR award? Describe the next type of deal y aim to make with the Army, e.g. a CRADA, a different SBIR contract, a CSO, etc. Briefly outline you current plan to unlock that next opportunity and/or share the biggest risks you see post this SBIR award.	
	Please share with us a thoughtful execution plan. Strike a balance between giving us a sense of the detailed thinking behind the scenes and the need for your contracting officer to manage a reasonably small number of milestones during your period of performance.	
URVIVAL RISK	SBIR funds are meant to fuel growth rather than stave off a firm's impending financial failure. Demonstrate that your company will survive financially as a going concern through the early stage of a Phase III contract, sometimes referred to as 'transitioning' into use by Army personnel.	
PEOPLE'S MONEY	Make the case that non-Army and/or non-DoD dollars will continue to fund improvements to your solution from which the Army will benefit in the future. Companies who cannot demonstrate non- Army and/or non-DoD funding sources for future solution enhancements are less attractive to th Applied SBIR program.	
	Through the Applied SBIR program, the Army wants to take advantage of the speed and scalabili of dual-use companies. Make your best case that your product is or will be profitable. If you have more than one product, please focus your argument on the product / solution presented for this SBIR program.	
15%	Prove your team has executed well as a group. Please draw clear distinctions between private sector, DoD and civilian government work. What milestones have you accomplished as a group in this company?	
Y OF PROSE	Prove you write clearly. Prove you argue convincingly.	
	Support your arguments with relevant, properly attributed data to enhance your credibility.	
	NAY ILLESTONE DULE IURVIVAL RISK R PEOPLE'S MONEY CIAL PROFIT ITIAL I 53 TY OF PROSE QUALITY & BUTION	

# Appendix D Commercialization Plan Template

## **General Instructions/Guidance:**

- 1. As stated above, small business firms shall prepare an eight (8) slide commercialization plan, utilizing the template and format below. The commercialization plan shall be converted to a pdf and attached to the end of the end of Volume 2 Technical Volume (see page limitations in the instructions above), resulting in one pdf file to be uploaded to DSIP as Volume 2.
- 2. Font size shall be no smaller than 10-point font.
- 3. Slides should display the slide number in bottom right corner.
- 4. All text (including tables, charts, plots, axis labels, legends, captions) shall be readable without zooming and understandable without voice-over.
- 5. For plots and charts:
  - a. Include title/bullet describing importance of plot/chart, and/or data (be specific).
  - b. Axis shall be meaningfully labeled (to be understandable by non-experts) and include scale.
- 6. Avoid jargon; define technical terms.
- 7. To insert images, capture a screenshot of the image and paste it into the slide. Please do not dragdrop a file into the presentation or use the Insert Pictures menu function.
- 8. Use PowerPoint's "Compress Pictures" feature to reduce file size.
  - a. Select 96ppi resolution
  - b. Uncheck "For this picture only"
- 9. Replace the boilerplate footer below with distribution markings as appropriate, i.e. sensitive, proprietary, intellectual property.

# To be considered valid proposals, Commercialization Plan submissions shall follow the number and content of each slide as contained in the attached template.

# **Firm Name**

# **SBIR Project Title**

Principal Investigator Name / Title Key (or other relevant) Personnel, and

Subcontractors

# BLUF: Bottom Line Up Front

• BLUF:

- **1. Company information and background** : Core competencies, significant sales, previous funding, commercialization successes.
- 2. Customer and Competition : Clear description of key technology objectives, current competition, and advantages.
- 3. Market: Plan to obtain market share.
- **4. Intellectual Property**: Patent status, technology lead, trade secrets or other demonstration of a plan to protect the company's technical advantage.
- 5. Financing/Revenue: Plans for securing necessary non -SBIR funding.
- 6. Assistance and mentoring : Plans for securing needed technical or business assistance.

# Company Information and Background

- Core competencies and areas of specialization.
- Products with significant sales.
- Concise history of previous Federal and non -Federal funding/investments.
- Regulatory experience (if applicable).
- Past commercialization successes.
- Past failure and how you overcame.

Distribution markings as appropriate for your organization

# **Customer & Competition**

- Description of key technology objectives.
- Current competition and/or alternative solutions.
- Advantages of company's offer compared to competing products or services.
- Hurdles to acceptance of the proposed innovation.
- Description of possible areas where your technology may be utilized or is under utilized.

## Market

- Analysis of market size and 1 and 5 year forecasted market share.
- Explanation of milestones and target dates of plan to obtain that market share.
- What experience do you have with marketing to this target market?
- What commercialization strategy appears to be the best for bringing this product to the target market?
- What experience do you have with bringing products to market either through this company or though other companies with which you have worked.
- Does the company currently market, manufacture, or license technology? Describe what you do.

Distribution markings as appropriate for your organization

# Intellectual Property

- Patent status, technology lead, trade secrets or other demonstration of a plan to achieve sufficient protection to realize the commercialization stage and attain at least a temporary competitive advantage.
- Describe how you will protect the intellectual property that enables commercialization of its products while keeping competitors at bay. Note any actions you may consider to attain at least a temporary competitive advantage. Also consider your company's prior record in this area. Comment on your company's strategy to build a sustainable business through protection of intellectual property.

# Financing

- Plan for securing non -SBIR, private or government funding necessary to enter low rate of production of anticipated technical solution.
- Describe your revenue stream generation to include but not limited to:
  - Manufacture and direct sales
  - Sales through value added resellers or other distributors
  - Joint venture

Distribution markings as appropriate for your organization

# Assistance & Mentoring

 Plans for securing needed technical or business assistance through mentoring, partnering, or arrangements with government sponsored (e.g., SBIR funded Discretionary Technical and Business Assistance (TABA), State assistance programs, Federally-funded research laboratories, Manufacturing Extension Partnership centers), not -for-profits (e.g., Small Business Development Center (SBDC) or Small Business Technical Development Center (SBTDC)), commercial accelerators, DOD Prime Contractors, SBA Mentor - Protégé program, Procurement Technical Assistance Center (PTAC) or other assistance provider.

# Army SBIR 24.4 Topic Index Release 10

A244-P017 xTechScalable AI 2

## A244-P017 TITLE: xTechScalable AI 2

OUSD (R&E) CRITICAL TECHNOLOGY AREA(S): Artificial Intelligence/ Machine Learning; Trusted AI and Autonomy; Advanced Computing and Software; Human-Machine Interfaces

#### **OBJECTIVE:**

## Topic 1: Scalable Tools for Automated AI Risk Management and Algorithmic Analysis

As the Army deploys Artificial Intelligence (AI) systems, there is an inherent risk that the AI model could fail to perform as expected. AI algorithms are complex and have many factors that can affect their performance; some of which include Malware, Data Poisoning, Model Evasions, Mode Inversions, and Deepfake attacks. These factors could lead the AI model to make incorrect inferences which could have significant mission impacts. The Army seeks to develop automated tools to evaluate AI system risk. Specifically, the army is looking for new methods to evaluate, quantify, and mitigate risk against an AI Risk Management Framework (RMF) to ensure deployed AI models are trusted and validated. Tools also need to be automated to reduce the cognitive workload required from the war fighter to validate AI model factors against an AI RMF. This need extends across multiple modalities and model types, to include imagery, synthetic aperture radar, large language models and radio frequency data. There are multiple challenges for quantifying AI risk in the DoD domain, this effort is meant to begin addressing some of those challenges - build a baseline characterization of risk-related performance of pre-trained models, develop preliminary DoD-specific benchmarks for a set of DoD-related tasks/prompts, and document the divergence that occurs with fine-tuning steps by factors such as model type, data modality, and inference engine. The Army is aware of existing open-source commercial tools related to cybersecurity and AI risk management. However, an automated tool that adapts commercial experiences and open-source methodologies for military use is needed as testing and evaluation for the resulting tools will be derived from Army use cases.

The Army will accept proposals on any AI RMF challenge requiring the application of scalable AI techniques. However, the Army will prioritize submissions addressing the following core need areas for award to maximize impact and scalability across Army AI model development and deployment:

- Automated tools that can identify multiple dimensions of AI Risk, classify AI risk, quantify AI risk, and propose mitigation options that reduce overall risk to the Government deployment of AI systems (including open-source data sets or "black box" models).
- Automated tools that can accept risk-related inputs from multiple data sources (e.g. model design, model outputs, source code, and data infrastructure) and modalities (e.g. imagery, text, and radio frequency).
- Automated tools with standardized evaluation methods and mitigation strategies to enable full scalability across the army enterprise.
- Automated tools that can be used across multiple Army units from the Program Office to end users.

#### Topic 2: Scalable Techniques for Robust Testing and Evaluation (T&E) of AI Operations Pipelines

As the Army moves towards maximizing industry advancement for delivery of AI products, solutions, and services, a robust and automated Test & Evaluation (T&E) approach is needed across AI Operations Pipelines. The ability to assess industry AI products, open-source solutions, and Government-built solutions generated to support AI Operations is critical to keep pace with innovation. However, there are multiple factors that make building AI operations pipelines in the DoD domain uniquely challenging.

The DoD must operate with data and systems at varying classification levels and network configurations. Any resulting products or solutions must also comply with stringent rules for obtaining and maintaining an Authority to Operate. Key metrics may include speed (e.g. task, workflow, efficiency, model latency), accuracy, model size (e.g. number of parameters, processing need, storage), authority of the source, model sensitivity to prompts, the creativity setting allowed for the LLM outputs (e.g. "full factual" to "full imaginative"), effectiveness of Retrieval-Augmented Generation, and other configuration factors that impact performance.

The Army will accept proposals on any T&E challenge requiring the application of scalable AI techniques. However, the Army will prioritize submissions addressing the following 3 need areas for award to maximize impact and scalability across Army AI model development and deployment.

- **Data Integrity:** It's essential to carefully curate and maintain training datasets to ensure robust and reliable machine learning models in real-world applications. However, over time, the operational environment can change significantly, making old training data less representative of the current situation and potentially leading to inaccurate model performance. Data drift can manifest in various ways, such as: change in distribution, change in feature relevance, and presence of new classes or outliers. To address this, the army is interested in:
  - Automated tools to identify and evaluate data integrity inside government training data repositories.
- **Data Labeling:** Accurate, reliable, and automated data labeling methodologies are critical components of building machine learning models that are capable of performance in real-world scenarios. To facilitate this capability, the army is interested in:
  - Automated tools to assess the quality, consistency, and accuracy of labels applied to training datasets.
- **Model Training:** Evaluating model performance is a critical part of the Army's strategy to deliver trusted AI. The Army is interested in innovative T&E research related to model training for the following areas:
  - **Resource consumption:** Compute, storage, and energy resources required for deploying, operating, and maintaining an AI system over its entire lifecycle.
  - **Robustness:** Tools to assess how well the model performs under various conditions, such as extreme inputs or when data is noisy.
  - **Scalability:** Tools to evaluate how well the model performs when dealing with large datasets, multiple input/output features, and various data sources.
  - **Privacy and Security:** Tools to ensure that the AI system adheres to strict privacy regulations and does not leak sensitive information from training or test data.

## **Topic 3: Scalable Techniques for Center-of-Mass and Course-of-Action Analytics for Intelligence Preparation of the Battlefield**

Visualization of enemy equipment and unit entities on a map is critical for efficient military decision making. Unfortunately, sensors often acquire high volumes of data that bury maps in a "sea of red", making the display of individual entities burdensome and not easily understandable. The Army technical problem can be broken down into several areas as it relates to Multi-Domain Operations (MDO). First, current collection plan generation is performed in a silo approach based on mission objectives. Often, it

is completed through spreadsheets and PowerPoint. Second, these collection plans are not visible or sharable to entities outside of the unit organizations that create them. This leads to inefficiencies and decreased timeliness of critical information. Lastly, collection plans are mostly generated manually. This requires multiple human generated steps to develop an optimized collection plan and often has no relationship to other collection plans that may have similar objectives.

The purpose of this topic is to demonstrate how novel approaches and techniques can address these challenge areas and to develop AI algorithms and prototypes to simplify data visualization. The army is interested in a Center-of-Mass algorithm that can group organizationally related entities together for display purposes. This algorithm must also be easily transitioned into Program Manager Intelligence Systems and Analytics (PM IS&A) products. This technology is important for Intel and validating Course of Action. The Center-of-Mass algorithm must understand entity relationships, what units and equipment can be grouped together (tanks and BMPs versus tanks and re-supply vehicles), terrain and hydrology limitations (the center of mass cannot be in the middle of a lake), and what constitutes a certain echelon (three-four tanks is an armor platoon, a tank and three BMPs is a motorized rifle platoon, etc.). The Center-of-Mass algorithm will be used to determine echelon, composition type (armor versus artillery), strength and direction over time. This can then be compared to a situation template (SITEMP) with time phase lines to perform enemy Course-of-Action (COA) validation. COA validation can include whether expected avenues of approach and enemy force composition and strength are valid, if NAIs are appropriately placed, and actual versus planned enemy movement rates.

A prize competition, xTechScalable AI 2, will be used to identify small business concerns that meet the criteria for award. Winners selected from the xTechScalable AI 2 prize competition will be the only firms eligible to submit a proposal under this topic. All other proposals will not be evaluated. See the full xTechScalable AI 2 prize competition RFI here: https://www.xtech.army.mil/competitions/

DESCRIPTION: The U.S. Army would like to invite interested entities to participate in the xTechScalable AI 2 competition, a forum for eligible small businesses across the U.S. to engage with the Department of Defense (DOD), earn prize money, participate in an accelerator program and submit a Phase I or Direct to Phase II Army Small Business Innovation Research (SBIR) proposal. The Assistant Secretary of the Army for Acquisition, Logistics and Technology (ASA(ALT)) is partnering with Program Executive Office Intelligence, Electronic Warfare & Sensors (PEO IEW&S) to deliver the xTechScalable AI 2 competition. The Army recognizes that the DOD must enhance engagements with small businesses by (1) understanding the spectrum of world-class technologies being developed commercially that may benefit the DOD in the artificial intelligence space; (2) integrating the sector of non-traditional innovators into the DOD Science and Technology (S&T) ecosystem; and (3) providing expertise and feedback to accelerate, mature, and transition technologies of interest to the DOD.

PHASE I: This topic is for Phase I or Direct to Phase II submission. Department of the Army will accept Phase I proposals for the cost of up to \$250,000 for up to a 6-month period of performance and Direct to Phase II proposals for the cost of up to \$2,000,000 for an 18-month period of performance.

During Phase I, companies will complete a feasibility study that demonstrates the firm's competitive technical advantage relative to other commercial products (if other products exist) and develop concept plans for how the company's technology can be applied to Army modernization priority areas. Studies should clearly detail and identify a firm's technology at both the individual component and system levels, provide supporting literature for technical feasibility, highlight existing performance data, showcase the technology's application opportunities to a broad base of customers outside the defense space, a market strategy for the commercial space, how the technology directly addresses the Army's modernization area as well as include a technology development roadmap to demonstrate scientific and engineering viability.

At the end of Phase I, the company will be required to provide a concept demonstration of their technology to demonstrate a high probability that continued design and development will result in a Phase II mature product.

In order for proposers to submit a Direct to Phase II (DP2) proposal, they must provide the justification documentation to substantiate that the scientific and technical merit and feasibility described above has been met and describes the potential military and/or commercial applications. Documentation should include all relevant information including, but not limited to: technical reports, test data, prototype designs/models, and performance goals/results.

PHASE II: Produce prototype solutions that will be easy to operate by a Soldier. These products will be provided to select Army units for further evaluation by the soldiers. In addition, companies will provide a technology transition and commercialization plan for DOD and commercial markets.

PHASE III DUAL USE APPLICATIONS: Complete the maturation of the company's technology developed in Phase II to TRL 6/7 and produce prototypes to support further development and commercialization. The Army will evaluate each product in a realistic field environment and provide small solutions to stakeholders for further evaluation. Based on soldier evaluations in the field, companies will be requested to update the previously delivered prototypes to meet final design configuration.

#### **REFERENCES:**

1. https://www.xtech.army.mil/competitions/

KEYWORDS: xTech; xTechScalable AI; Artificial Intelligence; Machine Learning; Adversarial AI; Data Collection

TPOC-1: Brandi Szczesny Email: brandi.c.szczesny.civ@army.mil