

Naval Facilities Engineering Command Southwest BRAC PMO West San Diego, CA

### AIR MONITORING SUMMARY REPORT

### NOVEMBER 24 TO DECEMBER 7, 2018

Remedial Action/Non-Time-Critical Removal Action Installation Restoration Site 12

FORMER NAVAL STATION TREASURE ISLAND, SAN FRANCISCO, CA

January 2019

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited

DCN: GLBN-0005-F4239-018



Naval Facilities Engineering Command Southwest BRAC PMO West San Diego, CA

### AIR MONITORING SUMMARY REPORT

### **NOVEMBER 24 TO DECEMBER 7, 2018**

Remedial Action/Non-Time-Critical Removal Action Installation Restoration Site 12

FORMER NAVAL STATION TREASURE ISLAND, SAN FRANCISCO, CA

Prepared for:



Department of the Navy Naval Facilities Engineering Command Southwest BRAC PMO West 33000 Nixie Way, Bldg 50 San Diego, CA 92147

Prepared by:



Gilbane Federal 1655 Grant Street Suite 1200 Concord, California 94520

Contract Number: N62473-17-D-0005; Task Order No. F4239 DCN: GLBN-0005-F4239-018

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

List of Figures	i
List of Tables	i
List of Attachments	i
List of Abbreviations and Acronyms	
1.0 Introduction	
2.0 Monitoring Site Locations	
2.1 Dust Monitoring	
2.2 Air Monitoring	
2.3 Radiological Air Monitoring	3
3.0 Sampling and Analytical Methods	3
3.1 Air Samples	
3.2 Radiological Air Samples	
4.0 Air Monitoring Data	4
5.0 Air Monitoring Results	
6.0 References	

#### **LIST OF FIGURES**

Figure 1 Air Monitoring Locations

#### LIST OF TABLES

 Table 1
 Air Monitoring Project Screening Criteria

#### LIST OF ATTACHMENTS

Attachment 1	Summary of Air Monitoring and Air Sampling Results
Attachment 2	Radiological Air Monitoring Results

#### LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

4,4'-DDD	4,4-dichlorodiphenyldichloroethane
AMP	Air Monitoring Plan
BAAQMD	Bay Area Air Quality Management District
BAP	benzo(a)pyrene
cfm	cubic feet per minute
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
DAC	derived air concentration
Gilbane	Gilbane Federal
DCP	Dust Control Plan
DTSC	Department of Toxic Substances Control
HERO	Human and Ecological Risk Office
IR	Installation Restoration
mg/m <sup>3</sup>	milligram per cubic meter
Navy	U.S. Department of the Navy
PAH	polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon
PCB	polychlorinated biphenyl
PDR	personal data-logging real-time aerosol monitor
PM10	particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter
PUF	polyurethane foam
Ra-226	radium-226
TCDD	2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin
TLV	threshold limit value
TSP	total suspended particulates
$\mu g/m^3$	microgram per cubic meter
USEPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency
Work Plan	Final Work Plan, Remedial Action/Non-Time Critical Removal Action, Installation
	Restoration Site 12, Former Naval Station Treasure Island, San Francisco, California

#### **1.0 INTRODUCTION**

This Air Monitoring Report was prepared by Gilbane Federal (Gilbane) as requested by the United States Department of the Navy (Navy) under the Radiological Multiple Award Contract (RADMAC II) N62473-12-D-D005, Contract Task Order F4239. Gilbane is performing dust and air monitoring at Former Naval Station Treasure Island in accordance with the Final Dust Control Plan (DCP) and Air Monitoring Plan (AMP), included as appendices to *Remedial Action/Non-Time Critical Removal Action Work Plan, Installation Restoration Site 12, Former Naval Station Treasure Island, San Francisco, California* (Work Plan; Gilbane, 2018).

The DCP describes best management practices and procedures to be implemented to minimize dust generation during work activities. Dust monitoring is conducted to ensure that these procedures are effective. Dust monitoring is also conducted to verify that the working environment meets occupational health and safety standards and that workers are safe. The AMP outlines the requirements for prevention of exposure for construction workers to dust and potential airborne chemicals of concern from the work area. The AMP also establishes the conservative project action levels for dust at the work area boundary to protect residents.

This summary report describes the following:

- Dust and air monitoring sampling locations Section 2.0;
- Dust and air monitoring sample collection and analytical methods Section 3.0; and
- Dust and air monitoring data evaluation Section 4.0.

This summary report presents the dust and air monitoring test results at Installation Restoration (IR) Site 32 from November 24<sup>th</sup>, 2018 through December 7<sup>th</sup>, 2018, and compares the results with the established action levels included in the Work Plan (Gilbane, 2018).

IR Site 32, located 600 yards to the east of IR Site 12, is being used as a radiological screening yard and staging yard for the IR Site 12 project activities. The screening criteria established for IR Site 12 will be applied to the air monitoring at IR Site 32.

During the reporting period, personal data-logging real-time aerosol monitoring (PDR) dust data was not

collected because there was no earthmoving activity performed at the site. Air samples were collected and analyzed for lead, chromium, polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), dioxin [2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (TCDD)], total suspended particulates (TSP), and particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter (PM10). In addition, air samples were analyzed for radiological gross alpha and beta levels.

#### 2.0 MONITORING SITE LOCATIONS

#### **2.1 Dust Monitoring**

During earthmoving activities, multiple PDR stations are set up to monitor real-time airborne dust concentrations. The purpose of the PDR stations is to act as a first line of defense in protecting workers' health, and ultimately the public's health, during field activities. Dust levels are monitored at, and immediately adjacent to, the work area at the locations that will most likely contain the greatest volume of airborne dust. The objective of this dust monitoring approach is to demonstrate that dust levels are below action levels.

As discussed in Section 1.0, dust monitoring was not performed because no earthmoving activities took place during the reporting period. Weather forecasts including wind direction are checked daily with a weather station located at Building 572.

#### 2.2 Air Monitoring

Air monitoring samples were collected at the upwind Perimeter Road location and at the downwind location at the gate to Site 32. Air monitoring samples collected using high volume samplers are collected to identify and quantify airborne contaminants and to confirm the results recorded during dust (PDR) monitoring, if any. Air monitoring stations are mobilized to collect air monitoring samples upwind and downwind of work areas. General locations of air monitoring stations are shown on Figure 1. The locations of the air monitoring stations are determined based on the prevailing wind direction (typically from the northwest) and are modified as needed. A weather station is erected to monitor the wind direction.

High volume air monitoring stations remain stationary while sampling is being conducted; however, locations may be adjusted when the wind direction changes and when overall excavation work areas change from one site to another. Each upwind and downwind high volume monitoring station includes separate monitoring systems for the following:

2

- TSP- collected daily
- PM10- collected daily
- Lead and chromium- collected daily
- PAHs, PCBs, and Dioxins- collected on alternating days

#### 2.3 Radiological Air Monitoring

Radiological air samplers are positioned adjacent to excavation work activities for radiologically impacted soil at one upwind and one downwind locations during earthmoving activities associated with radiologically impacted soil. The radiological air samplers may be co-located with PDRs or the high volume samplers.

#### 3.0 SAMPLING AND ANALYTICAL METHODS

During precipitation events, the air monitoring units may not be operable. An attempt will be made to collect samples regardless of the weather. The Site Health and Safety Officer is responsible for monitoring the dust and air monitoring sampling equipment. In rare cases, due to ancillary equipment malfunction such as generator failure during the night, a sample may be collected that represents a period of less than 24 hours. If this situation occurs, a note is added to the sample result data tables indicating why the full sampling period was not achieved.

#### 3.1 Air Samples

Air samples were sampled in accordance with the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) reference sampling method for PM10, described in 40 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) 50, Subpart J. An attempt will be made to collect samples and readings regardless of the weather. If dust or air monitors are found to be malfunctioning or nonfunctional, earthmoving activities will stop until monitors can be repaired or replaced. The Site Health and Safety Officer is responsible for monitoring the air monitoring sampling equipment. Each sample was collected on a filter over an approximately 24-hour period; the filter was then weighted to determine the amount of PM10 collected.

TSP samples were collected with a high-volume (39 to 60 cubic feet per minute [cfm]) air sampler in accordance with USEPA's reference sampling method for TSP, described in Title 40 CFR, Part 50, Subpart B. Each sample was collected on a filter over an approximately 24-hour period; the filter was then weighted to determine the amount of TSP collected. Once the filter weight was determined, the

sample was analyzed for lead and chromium in in accordance with USEPA Method 6020 using inductively coupled mass spectrometry.

Air samples for PCBs, PAHs, and dioxins are collected and analyzed in accordance with USEPA Methods TO-4A, TO-13, TO-9A, respectively, using TISH polyurethane foam (PUF) samplers. The filter media collected from the air samplers is submitted to the analytical laboratory for appropriate analysis.

PCB, PAH, and dioxin samples are collected on alternating days at the downwind and upwind stations during earthmoving activities.

#### 3.2 Radiological Air Samples

Radiological air monitoring is also conducted upwind and downwind on days of earthmoving activities. Radiological samples are collected with a LV-1 low volume air sampler. Air filters are counted on site following a decay period and are compared with public air concentration limits published in 10 CFR Part 20. Radiological air sampling methods and procedures are detailed in Gilbane Radiological Procedure PR-RP-150 *Radiological Survey and Sampling*.

The radiological air sample is counted on a Low Background Protean WPC-9950 and analyzed for gross alpha and beta activity. The calculated airborne concentration in microcuries is then compared to the effluent concentration (often but incorrectly refer to as a derived air concentration [DAC]which applies only to occupational exposures) limit specified in Table 2 of Appendix B to 10 CFR 20. The effluent concentration is the concentration of a given radionuclide in air which, if inhaled continuously over the course of a year, results in an exposure equal to the annual regulatory limit specified in 10 CFR 20.1302. The threshold for radiological effluent air monitoring samples is 10 percent of the effluent concentration, which ensures work practices are evaluated and modified as necessary to ensure the limit is not reached.

#### 4.0 AIR MONITORING DATA

The Human and Ecological Risk Office (HERO) at the request of the California Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC) developed dust action levels for community air monitoring for IR Site 12. Subchronic and chronic dust action levels as PM10 were calculated for lead, chromium, dioxin, benzo(a)pyrene (BAP), 4,4-dichlorodiphenyldichloroethane (4,4-DDD) and PCBs. As presented in the

document *Dust Action Levels for Installation Restoration Site 12, Former Naval Station Treasure Island, San Francisco, California* (HERO, 2018), the action levels were calculated using the maximum chemicals of concern soil concentrations at IR Site 12. As noted in Section 1.0, IR Site 12 action levels will be implemented for project work at IR Site 32.

Based on HERO's recommendations, a PM10 dust action level of 50 microgram per cubic meter (ug/m<sup>3</sup>) will be implemented for all excavations areas at IR Site 12 except at the area surrounding sampling location KCH-1217-1 which will have a limit of 8 ug/m<sup>3</sup> due to the elevated level of contaminants historically measured at this location. TSP is expected to be further controlled based on the limit employed for PM10, in accordance with guidance provided by the San Francisco Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD), which estimates that PM10 makes up approximately 55 percent of TSP. If it is apparent that project activities are the cause of exceedances, additional control measures will be considered and implemented.

Analytical results from air monitoring samples are compared with the project screening criteria (threshold limit values [TLV]) listed in Table 1. Air monitoring results are included in Attachment 1. Radiological monitoring results are included in Attachment 2.

Chemicals of Concern	Project Screening Criteria (Threshold Limit Value) µg/m <sup>3</sup>	Basis						
Lead	242	TI Site 12 Dust Action Level						
Chromium	929	TI Site 12 Dust Action Level						
TSP	50	TI Site 12 Dust Action Level						
PM10	50	BAAQMD ambient air quality						
BAP	50 (8) <sup>b</sup>	TI Site 12 Dust Action Level						
PCBs <sup>a</sup>	NA	TI Site 12 Dust Action Level						
4,4'-DDD	200	TI Site 12 Dust Action Level						
Dioxin <sup>a</sup>	1E+07	TI Site 12 Dust Action Level						
Radiological (Ra-226)	10% of DAC <sup>ε</sup>	Occupational and public air concentration limits for Ra-226 published in 10 Code of Federal Regulations Part 20						

### Table 1Air Monitoring Project Screening Criteria

Notes:

*a* The dust action level was increased by a factor of 10 to account for the short-term duration of the project relative to the lifetime assumptions incorporated into the toxicity criteria and exposure assumption.

*b* BAP action levels will be  $50 \mu g/m^3$  for all excavations except for the area surrounding sample locations KCH-1217-1 at which it will be  $8 \mu g/m^3$ 

*c Public air concentration limits are commonly referred to as DAC, but are in actuality Effluent Concentrations from Table 2 for 10 CFR Part 20.* 

%	percent
4,4'-DDD	dichlorodiphenyldichloroethane
$\mu g/m^3$	microgram per cubic meter
BAAQMD	Bay Area Air Quality Management District
BAP	benzo(a)pyrene
DAC	derived air concentration
PCBs	polychlorinated biphenyls
PM10	particulate matter smaller than 10 microns in diameter
Ra-226	radium-226
TSP	total suspended particulates
μg/m <sup>3</sup>	microgram per cubic meter

#### 5.0 AIR MONITORING RESULTS

Weather information (including ambient pressure and temperature data) and high volume air monitoring sample results are presented in Attachment 1. Weather information was collected from the weather station at Building 572, Avenue M, Treasure Island, San Francisco, California. Radiological air monitoring results are presented in Attachment 2.

PM10 analytical results from November 24, 2018 to December 6, 2018 did not exceed the project-specific screening criteria presented in Table 1. PM10 analytical results from December 7, 2018, are unavailable due to damage to the filters which occurred during collection and resulted in the rejection of the PM10 analytical results in the validation process. However, as discussed in Section 4.0, PM10 makes up approximately 55 percent of TSP, and the corresponding TSP results were less than the action level.

TSP analytical results from November 24, 2018 to December 7, 2018 did not exceed the project-specific screening criteria presented in Table 1.

Metals (chromium and lead), PAHs, total PCBs, and dioxin analytical results from November 24, 2018 to December 7, 2018 did not exceed the project-specific screening criteria presented in Table 1.

No earth moving tasks occurred during this reporting period, therefore there were no dust results.

Radiological air monitoring action levels were not exceeded during the reporting period.

#### 6.0 **REFERENCES**

Gilbane, 2016. Radiological Procedure PR-RP-150 Radiological Survey and Sampling. January.

Gilbane, 2018. *Remedial Action/Non-Time Critical Removal Action Work Plan, Installation Restoration Site 12, Former Naval Station Treasure Island, San Francisco, California.* September.

Gilbane, 2018. Remedial Action/Non-Time Critical Removal Action Work Plan, Air Monitoring Report, Installation Restoration Site 12, Former Naval Station Treasure Island, San Francisco, California. September.

Gilbane, 2018. Remedial Action/Non-Time Critical Removal Action Work Plan, Dust Control Plan, Installation Restoration Site 12, Former Naval Station Treasure Island, San Francisco, California. September.

HERO, 2018. Dust Action Levels for Installation Restoration Site 12, Former Naval Station Treasure Island, San Francisco, California. September.

FIGURES



G:\ArcGIS\Navy\Treasure\_Island\PROJECTS\Site12\_SWDA\_RA\TI\_Site32\_Monitoring\_Locs\_Air.mxd 11/7/2018 [11:07 AM] EANDERSON, Gilbane

ATTACHMENTS

#### ATTACHMENT 1 SUMMARY OF AIR MONITORING AND AIR SAMPLING RESULTS

# Table 1-1Ambient Pressure and Temperature Monitoring ResultsRemedial Action/NTCRA IR Site 12Former Naval Station Treasure Island, San Francisco, California



Sample Date	Ambient Pressure (inches of Hg)	Ambient Temperature (°F)	Ambient Temperature (°K)
12/4/2018	30.29	52.08	284.31
12/5/2018	30.13	52.29	284.42
12/6/2018	30.07	52.99	284.81
12/7/2018	30.17	53.60	285.15

Notes:

Weather data collected from weather station at Building 572, Avenue M, Treasure Island, San Francisco, CA

°F = Degrees Fahrenheit

Hg = mercury

°K = Degrees Kelvin

## Table 1-2Particulate Matter Smaller than Ten Microns (PM10)Remedial Action/NTCRA IR Site 12Former Naval Station Treasure Island, San Francisco, California



Location ID	Sampling Period (Hours)	Sample Date	Particulate Matter Less Than 10 Microns in Diameter (ug/m³)	Delta between Upwind and Downwind Stations (ug/m <sup>3</sup> )	PM10 Exceedance? (Yes/No)
Screening Criteria					50
AMS01	23.83	12/4/2018	13	NA	NA
	23.69	12/5/2018	21	NA	NA
	23.79	12/6/2018	6.0	NA	NA
	23.61	12/7/2018	R	NA	NA
AMS02	23.74	12/4/2018	9.8	3.2	No
	23.43	12/5/2018	19	2.0	No
	23.67	12/6/2018	10	4.0	No
	23.37	12/7/2018	R	NA	NA

Notes:

R = Data rejected due to damaged filter

NA = not applicable

PM10 = particulate matter less then 10 microns in diameter

ug/m<sup>3</sup> = microgram per cubic meter

## Table 1-3Total Suspended Particulates Monitoring ResultsRemedial Action/NTCRA IR Site 12Former Naval Station Treasure Island, San Francisco, California



Notes:

J - estimated value

NA = not applicable

TSP = total suspended particulate

**bold** = results above screening criteria



# Table 1-4Metals by EPA 6020 Monitoring ResultsRemedial Action/NTCRA IR Site 12Former Naval Station Treasure Island, San Francisco, California



Location ID	Sampling Period (Hours)	Sample Date	Lead (ug/m <sup>3</sup> )	Lead Exceedance? (Yes/No)	Chromium (ug/m³)	Chromium Exceedance? (Yes/No)		
Screening Criteria				242		929		
AMS01	23.83	12/4/2018	0.0023	NA	0.0018	NA		
	23.69	12/5/2018	0.014	NA	0.0021	NA		
	23.79	12/6/2018	0.0013	NA	0.0019	NA		
	23.61	12/7/2018	0.0028	NA	0.0019	NA		
AMS02	23.74	12/4/2018	0.0029	No	0.0036	No		
	23.43	12/5/2018	0.0029	No	0.002	No		
	23.67	12/6/2018	0.0023	No	0.002	No		
	23.37	12/7/2018	0.0022	No	0.002	No		

Notes:

ug/m<sup>3</sup> = microgram per cubic meter

NA = not available

### Table 1-5Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons by TO-13 Monitoring ResultsRemedial Action/NTCRA IR Site 12Former Naval Station Treasure Island, San Francisco, California

Location ID	Sampling Period (Hours)	Sample Date	BAP(Eq) Exceedance? (Yes/No)	BAP(Eq)	2-Methyl- naphthalene (ug/m <sup>3</sup> )	Acenaph- thene (ug/m <sup>3</sup> )	Acenaph- thylene (ug/m <sup>3</sup> )	Anthracene (ug/m <sup>3</sup> )	Benzo(a) anthracene (ug/m <sup>3</sup> )	Benzo(a) pyrene (ug/m³)	Benzo(b) fluoranthene (ug/m <sup>3</sup> )	Benzo(g,h,i) perylene (ug/m³)	Benzo(k) fluoranthene (ug/m³)	Chrysene (ug/m³)	Dibenz(a,h) anthracene (ug/m <sup>3</sup> )	Fluoranthene (ug/m3)	Fluorene (ug/m3)	Indeno(1,2,3- c,d)pyrene (ug/m3)	Naphthalene (ug/m3)	Phenan- threne (ug/m3)	Pyrene (ug/m3)
Screening Criteria <sup>1</sup>			50	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	
AMS01	23.85	12/4/2018	NA	ND	0.0021	0.00025 J	< 0.00039	< 0.00039	< 0.00039	< 0.00039	< 0.00039	< 0.00039	< 0.00039	< 0.00039	< 0.00039	< 0.00039	0.00043	< 0.00039	0.0051	0.00057	< 0.00039
	5.67	12/7/2018	NA	ND	0.0016 J	< 0.0016	< 0.0016	< 0.0016	< 0.0016	< 0.0016	< 0.0016	< 0.0016	< 0.0016	< 0.0016	< 0.0016	< 0.0016	< 0.0016	< 0.0016	0.0049	< 0.0016	< 0.0016
AMS02	23.53	12/4/2018	No	ND	0.0035	0.00055	< 0.00037	< 0.00037	< 0.00037	< 0.00037	< 0.00037	< 0.00037	< 0.00037	< 0.00037	< 0.00037	0.00019 J	0.00073	< 0.00037	0.0081	0.001	0.00016 J
	23.42	12/7/2018	No	ND	0.0034	0.00062	< 0.00037	< 0.00037	< 0.00037	< 0.00037	< 0.00037	< 0.00037	< 0.00037	< 0.00037	< 0.00037	0.00024 J	0.00072	< 0.00037	0.0083	0.0012	0.0002 J

Notes:

<sup>1</sup> The screening criteria for BAP(Eq) is 50 ug/m<sup>3</sup> except for the area

surrounding excavation KCH-1217-1 at which it will be 8 ug/m<sup>3</sup>.

NA = Not available

ND = Not detected

NE = Not established

BAP(Eq) = Benzo(a) pyrene equivalency

J = estimated value

< = nondetected less than associated reporting limit



# Table 1-6Polychlorinated Biphenyls by TO-4A Monitoring ResultsRemedial Action/NTCRA IR Site 12Former Naval Station Treasure Island, San Francisco, California



Location ID	Sampling Period (Hours)	Sample Date	Total PCB Exceedance? (Yes/No)	Total PCB	PCB-1016 (Arochlor 1016) (ug/m <sup>3</sup> )	PCB-1221 (Arochlor 1221) (ug/m <sup>3</sup> )	PCB-1232 (Arochlor 1232) (ug/m <sup>3</sup> )	PCB-1242 (Arochlor 1242) (ug/m <sup>3</sup> )	PCB-1248 (Arochlor 1248) (ug/m <sup>3</sup> )	PCB-1254 (Arochlor 1254) (ug/m <sup>3</sup> )	PCB-1260 (Arochlor 1260) (ug/m <sup>3</sup> )
Screening Criteria				NE							
AMS01	23.79	12/6/2018	NA	ND	< 0.00057	< 0.00057	< 0.00057	< 0.00057	< 0.00057	< 0.00057	< 0.00057
AMS02	23.55	12/6/2018	No	ND	< 0.00053	< 0.00053	< 0.00053	< 0.00053	< 0.00053	< 0.00053	< 0.00053

Notes:

J = Estimated value

NA = Not applicable

NE = None established

ND = Not detected

PCB = polychlorinated biphenyl

< = nondetected less than associated reporting limit

# Table 1-7Dioxin as 2,3,4,7,8-TCDD by TO-9A Monitoring ResultsRemedial Action/NTCRA IR Site 12Former Naval Station Treasure Island, San Francisco, California



Location ID	Sampling Period (Hours)	Sample Date	2,3,7,8-Tetrachlorodibenzo-p- dioxin (ug/m <sup>3</sup> )	Dioxin Exceedance? (Yes/No)
Screening Criteria				1000000
AMS01	23.6	12/5/2018	<000000015	NA
AMS02	23.40	12/5/2018	<0.00000014	No

Notes:

J = Estimated value

ug/m<sup>3</sup> = microgram per cubic meter

< = nondetected less than associated reporting limit

NA = not available

#### ATTACHMENT 2 RADIOLOGICAL AIR MONITORING RESULTS

G	ane														AIR S/	AMPLE	RESU	LTS - P	VBLIC	EXPO	SURE	MONIT	ORING	
[				Р	Project Inform	ation					Effluent	t Air Con	centration		Sa	mpling Per	iod		Color Codes					
Contract /	Task Order N	umber: Pi	roject Titl	e / Locati	on:		Gilbane Project N	lumber:					Alpha	Beta	Air sa	amples colle	ected	V	/alue < MD(	)	Value < 0.1 x Effluent Conc			
N6	N62473-17-D-0005 IR Site 12 RD/RA, Treasure Island, SF, CA J310000300								Rad	ionuclide	Ra-226	Sr-90	between	November	24, 2018	< 72	2 hr decay t	ime	Value >	0.1 x Efflu	ent Conc			
			Info	rmation et	ffective as of:	1/16/2019	-			Ef	fluent Conc	: (µCi/ml)	9.E-13	6.E-12	and	December	7, 2018	D	ata reviewe	d	Valu	e > Effluent	Conc	
	Sample Collection											Count I	nformatio	n				Sample	Results		Ini	tials		
Sample	Sample	Samp	ole	Equip	Ave Flow	Start	End	Elapsed	Volume	Inst	Count	Time	Counting	Gross	Activity	Net dpm		Activity	(µCi/ml)	Effluent	Conc (%)	Count	Data	
Number	Туре	Locatio	ion	No	Rate (lpm)	Day Time	Date Time	Time (min)	(ml)	No	Date	(min)	Units	Alpha	Beta	Alpha	Beta	Alpha	Beta	Alpha	Beta	Tech	Reviewer	
AS-043	Perimeter	AMS-0	01	PE01	60	12/3/18 7:35	12/3/18 16:25	530	3.2E+07	А	12/10/18	20	cpm	0.000	4.750	0.0	10.4	0.0E+00	1.5E-13	0.0%	2.5%	BS	СВ	
AS-044	Perimeter	AMS-0	02	PE02	60	12/3/18 7:41	12/3/18 16:20	519	3.1E+07	А	12/10/18	20	cpm	0.150	3.650	0.4	7.5	6.3E-15	1.1E-13	0.7%	1.8%	BS	СВ	
AS-045	Perimeter	AMS-0	01	PE01	60	12/4/18 7:30	12/4/18 16:00	510	3.1E+07	А	12/10/18	20	cpm	0.100	4.400	0.3	9.5	4.2E-15	1.4E-13	0.5%	2.3%	BS	СВ	
AS-046	Perimeter	AMS-0	02	PE02	50	12/4/18 7:40	12/4/18 16:10	510	2.5E+07	А	12/10/18	20	cpm	0.150	4.900	0.4	10.8	7.6E-15	1.9E-13	0.8%	3.2%	BS	СВ	
AS-047	Perimeter	AMS-0	01	PE01	60	12/5/18 7:15	12/5/18 16:30	555	3.3E+07	А	12/10/18	20	cpm	0.850	2.350	2.4	3.9	3.3E-14	5.3E-14	3.7%	0.9%	BS	СВ	
AS-048	Perimeter	AMS-0	02	PE02	60	12/5/18 7:30	12/5/18 16:35	545	3.3E+07	А	12/10/18	20	cpm	0.550	2.400	1.6	4.1	2.2E-14	5.6E-14	2.4%	0.9%	BS	СВ	
AS-049	Perimeter	AMS-0	01	PE01	60	12/6/18 7:30	12/6/18 16:00	510	3.1E+07	А	12/10/18	20	cpm	0.100	4.250	0.3	9.1	4.2E-15	1.3E-13	0.5%	2.2%	BS	СВ	
AS-050	Perimeter	AMS-0	02	PE02	60	12/6/18 7:40	12/6/18 16:10	510	3.1E+07	A	12/10/18	20	cpm	0.150	3.850	0.4	8.0	6.4E-15	1.2E-13	0.7%	2.0%	BS	СВ	