

Naval Facilities Engineering Command Southwest BRAC PMO West San Diego, CA

## AIR MONITORING SUMMARY REPORT

## MAY 11 TO MAY 24, 2019

Remedial Action/Non-Time-Critical Removal Action Installation Restoration Site 12

FORMER NAVAL STATION TREASURE ISLAND, SAN FRANCISCO, CA

June 2019

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited

DCN: GLBN-0005-4239-030



Naval Facilities Engineering Command Southwest BRAC PMO West San Diego, CA

## **AIR MONITORING SUMMARY REPORT**

## MAY 11 TO MAY 24, 2019

Remedial Action/Non-Time-Critical Removal Action Installation Restoration Site 12

FORMER NAVAL STATION TREASURE ISLAND, SAN FRANCISCO, CA

Prepared for:



Department of the Navy Naval Facilities Engineering Command Southwest BRAC PMO West 33000 Nixie Way, Bldg 50 San Diego, CA 92147

Prepared by:

Gilbane Federal 1655 Grant Street Suite 1200 Concord, California 94520

Contract Number: N62473-17-D-0005; Task Order No. N6247317F4239 DCN: GLBN-0005-4239-030

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

List of Figures	i
List of Tables	i
List of Attachments	i
List of Abbreviations and Acronyms	ii
1.0 Introduction	
2.0 Monitoring Site Locations	2
2.1 Dust Monitoring	
2.2 Air Monitoring	2
2.3 Radiological Air Monitoring	3
3.0 Sampling and Analytical Methods	3
3.1 Dust Samples	3
3.2 Air Samples	4
3.3 Radiological Air Samples	4
4.0 Dust and Air Monitoring Data	5
5.0 Air Monitoring Results	8
6.0 References	9

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1	PDR Monitoring Locations IR Site 32
Figure 2	PDR Monitoring Locations IR Site 12
Figure 3	Air Monitoring Locations IR Site 12

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 1	Dust Monitoring Project Action Levels
Table 2	Air Monitoring Project Screening Criteria

## LIST OF ATTACHMENTS

Attachment 1	PDR Summary Table and Field Forms
Attachment 2	Summary of Air Monitoring and Air Sampling Results
Attachment 3	Radiological Air Monitoring Results

### LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

4,4'-DDD	4,4-dichlorodiphenyldichloroethane
AMP	Air Monitoring Plan
BAAQMD	Bay Area Air Quality Management District
BAP	benzo(a)pyrene
cfm	cubic feet per minute
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
DAC	derived air concentration
DTSC	Department of Toxic Substances Control
HERO	Human and Ecological Risk Office
Gilbane	Gilbane Federal
DCP	Dust Control Plan
IR	Installation Restoration
mg/m <sup>3</sup>	milligram per cubic meter
Navy	U.S. Department of the Navy
PAH	polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon
PCB	polychlorinated biphenyl
PDR	personal data-logging real-time aerosol monitor
PM10	particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter
PUF	polyurethane foam
Ra-226	radium-226
TCDD	2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin
TLV	threshold limit value
TSP	total suspended particulates
$\mu g/m^3$	microgram per cubic meter
USEPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency
Work Plan	Final Work Plan, Remedial Action/Non-Time Critical Removal Action, Installation
	Restoration Site 12, Former Naval Station Treasure Island, San Francisco, California

### **1.0 INTRODUCTION**

This Air Monitoring Report was prepared by Gilbane Federal (Gilbane) as requested by the United States Department of the Navy (Navy) under the Radiological Multiple Award Contract (RADMAC II) N62473-12-D-D005, Contract Task Order F4239. Gilbane is performing dust and air monitoring at Former Naval Station Treasure Island in accordance with the Final Dust Control Plan (DCP) and Air Monitoring Plan (AMP), included as appendices to *Remedial Action/Non-Time Critical Removal Action Work Plan, Installation Restoration Site 12, Former Naval Station Treasure Island, San Francisco, California* (Work Plan; Gilbane, 2018).

The DCP describes best management practices and procedures to be implemented to minimize dust generation during work activities. Dust monitoring is conducted to ensure that these procedures are effective. Dust monitoring is also conducted to verify that the working environment meets occupational health and safety standards and that workers are safe. The AMP outlines the requirements for prevention of exposure for construction workers to dust and potential airborne chemicals of concern from the work area. The AMP also establishes the conservative project action levels for dust at the work area boundary to protect residents.

This summary report describes the following:

- Dust and air monitoring sampling locations Section 2.0;
- Dust and air monitoring sample collection and analytical methods Section 3.0;
- Dust and air monitoring data Section 4.0; and
- Dust and air monitoring results Section 5.0.

This summary report presents the dust and air monitoring test results at Installation Restoration (IR) Site 12 and/or IR Site 32 from May 11<sup>th</sup>, 2019 through May 24<sup>th</sup>, 2019 and compares the results with the established action levels included in the Work Plan (Gilbane, 2018).

IR Site 32, located 600 yards to the east of IR Site 12, is being used as a radiological screening yard and staging yard for the IR Site 12 project activities. The screening criteria established for IR Site 12 will be applied to the air monitoring at IR Site 32.

During the reporting period, personal data-logging real-time aerosol monitoring (PDR) dust data was collected. Air samples were collected and analyzed for lead, chromium, polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), dioxin [2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (TCDD)], total suspended particulates (TSP), and particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter (PM10). In addition, air samples were analyzed for radiological gross alpha and beta levels.

#### 2.0 MONITORING SITE LOCATIONS

#### **2.1 Dust Monitoring**

During earthmoving activities, multiple PDR stations are set up to monitor real-time airborne dust concentrations. The purpose of the PDR stations is to act as a first line of defense in protecting workers' health, and ultimately the public's health, during field activities. Dust levels are monitored at, and immediately adjacent to, the work area at the locations that will most likely contain the greatest volume of airborne dust. The objective of this dust monitoring approach is to demonstrate that dust levels are below action levels.

The general locations for dust monitors in IR Site 32 are shown on Figure 1, and the general locations for dust monitors for IR Site 12 are shown on Figure 2. Specific locations of each PDR are described in the individual PDR daily data files. Field forms from each location are presented in Attachment 1 of this report. During earth moving activities (i.e. transporting soil to radiological screening yard pads, managing radiological screening yard pads, etc.) at IR Site 32, one PDR serves as the upwind (background) location and two PDRs are placed in downwind perimeter locations. Correspondingly, during earth moving activities at IR Site 12 (i.e., transportation of excavated soil to the radiological screening yard, excavation, and backfilling), one PDR serves as the upwind (background) location and two PDRs are placed in downwind perimeter forecasts including wind direction are checked daily with a weather station located at Building 572.

#### **2.2 Air Monitoring**

Air monitoring samples were collected at the upwind Perimeter Road location and at the downwind location at the gate to Site 32. Air monitoring samples collected using high volume samplers are collected to identify and quantify airborne contaminants and to confirm the results recorded during dust (PDR) monitoring. Air monitoring stations are mobilized to collect air monitoring samples upwind and downwind of work areas. General locations of air monitoring stations are shown on Figure 3. The locations of the air monitoring stations are determined based on the prevailing wind direction (typically

from the northwest) and are modified as needed. A weather station is erected to monitor the wind direction.

High volume air monitoring stations remain stationary while sampling is being conducted; however, locations may be adjusted when the wind direction changes and when overall excavation work areas change from one site to another. Each upwind and downwind high-volume monitoring station includes separate monitoring systems for the following:

- TSP collected daily
- PM10 collected daily
- Lead and chromium collected daily
- PAHs, PCBs, and Dioxins collected on alternating days

### 2.3 Radiological Air Monitoring

Radiological air samplers are positioned adjacent to excavation work activities for radiologically impacted soil at one upwind and one downwind location during earthmoving activities associated with radiologically impacted soil. The radiological air samplers may be co-located with PDRs or the high-volume samplers.

### 3.0 SAMPLING AND ANALYTICAL METHODS

Dust and air samples are collected during earthmoving activities. However, during precipitation events, the dust and air monitoring units may not be operable. An attempt will be made to collect samples and readings regardless of the weather. If dust or air monitors are found to be malfunctioning or nonfunctional, earthmoving activities will stop until monitors can be repaired or replaced. The Site Health and Safety Officer is responsible for monitoring the air and dust monitoring sampling equipment. In rare cases, due to ancillary equipment malfunction such as generator failure during the night, a sample may be collected that represents a period of less than 24 hours. If this situation occurs, a note is added to the sample result data tables indicating why the full sampling period was not achieved.

#### 3.1 Dust Samples

The PDR is a high sensitivity photometric monitor with a light-scattering sensing configuration that has been optimized for the measurement of the respirable fraction of airborne dust, smoke, fumes, and mists.

PDRs are used to evaluate real-time monitoring of airborne dust concentrations, to determine if there is a need for additional dust control or personal protection.

#### 3.2 Air Samples

Air samples were sampled in accordance with the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) reference sampling method for PM10, described in 40 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) 50, Subpart J. Each sample was collected on a filter over an approximately 24-hour period; the filter was then weighted to determine the amount of PM10 collected.

TSP samples were collected with a high-volume (39 to 60 cubic feet per minute [cfm]) air sampler in accordance with USEPA's reference sampling method for TSP, described in Title 40 CFR, Part 50, Subpart B. Each sample was collected on a filter over an approximately 24-hour period; the filter was then weighed to determine the amount of TSP collected. Once the filter weight was determined, the sample was analyzed for lead and chromium in in accordance with USEPA Method 6020 using inductively coupled mass spectrometry.

Air samples for PCBs, PAHs, and dioxins are collected and analyzed in accordance with USEPA Methods TO-4A, TO-13, TO-9A, respectively, using TISH polyurethane (PUF) samplers. The filter media collected from the air samplers is submitted to the analytical laboratory for appropriate analysis.

PCB, PAH, and dioxin samples are collected on alternating days at the downwind and upwind stations during earthmoving activities.

#### 3.3 Radiological Air Samples

Radiological air monitoring is also conducted upwind and downwind on days of earthmoving activities. Radiological samples are collected with a LV-1 low volume air sampler. Air filters are counted on site following a decay period and are compared with public air concentration limits published in 10 CFR Part 20. Radiological air sampling methods and procedures are detailed in Gilbane Radiological Procedure PR-RP-150 *Radiological Survey and Sampling* (Gilbane, 2016).

The radiological air sample is counted on a Low Background Protean WPC-9950 and analyzed for gross alpha and beta activity. The calculated airborne concentration in microcuries is then compared to the effluent concentration (often but incorrectly refer to as a derived air concentration [DAC] which applies only to occupational exposures) limit specified in Table 2 of Appendix B to 10 CFR 20. The effluent

concentration is the concentration of a given radionuclide in air which, if inhaled continuously over the course of a year, results in an exposure equal to the annual regulatory limit specified in 10 CFR 20.1302. The threshold for radiological effluent air monitoring samples is 10 percent of the effluent concentration, which ensures work practices are evaluated and modified as necessary to ensure the limit is not reached.

### 4.0 DUST AND AIR MONITORING DATA

The Human and Ecological Risk Office (HERO) at the request of the California Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC) developed dust action levels for community air monitoring for IR Site 12. Subchronic and chronic dust action levels as PM10 were calculated for lead, chromium, dioxin, benzo(a)pyrene (BAP), 4,4-dichlorodiphenyldichloroethane (4,4'-DDD) and PCBs. As presented in the document *Dust Action Levels for Installation Restoration Site 12, Former Naval Station Treasure Island, San Francisco, California* (HERO, 2018), the action levels were calculated using the maximum chemicals of concern soil concentrations at IR Site 12. As noted in **Section 1.0**, IR Site 12 action levels will be implemented for project work at IR Site 32.

Based on HERO's recommendations, a PM10 dust action level of 50 microgram per cubic meter (ug/m<sup>3</sup>) will be implemented for all excavations areas at IR Site 12 except at the area surrounding sampling location KCH-1217-1 which will have a limit of 8 ug/m<sup>3</sup> due to the elevated level of contaminants historically measured at this location. TSP is expected to be further controlled based on the limit employed for PM10, in accordance with guidance provided by the San Francisco Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD), which estimates that PM10 makes up approximately 55 percent of TSP. If it is apparent that project activities are the cause of exceedances, additional control measures will be considered and implemented.

Dust monitoring action levels that are implemented on a real-time basis are listed in Table 1. PDR data are collected and reviewed each day by the Site Health and Safety Manager. PDR data are included in Attachment 1.

Analytical results from air monitoring samples are compared with the project screening criteria (threshold limit values [TLV]) listed in Table 2. Air monitoring results are included in Attachment 2. Radiological monitoring results are included in Attachment 3.

Method	Monitoring Location	Monitoring Frequency <sup>a</sup>	Action Level <sup>b</sup>	Action
PDR	Near Workers' Breathing Zones (typically on equipment)	Periodically <sup>c</sup>	$>2.5 \text{ mg/m}^3$	Continue work. Use Level D and increase dust control (i.e., apply water or other suppression method). Optionally upgrade to Level C until concentrations are reduced.
	Job Site Perimeter	Continuously	<1.0 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	Continue work. Increase dust control and re- evaluate. Stop work if levels do not decrease.

# Table 1Dust Monitoring Project Action Levels

Notes:

Only the Health and Safety Manager is authorized to downgrade levels of personal protective equipment.

*a* Frequency of air monitoring may be adjusted by the project Certified Industrial Hygienist after sufficient characterization of site contaminants has been completed, tasks have been modified, or site controls have proven effective.

*b* Five readings exceeding the action level in any 15-minute period or a sustained reading exceeding the action level for five minutes will trigger a response. Action levels represent airborne particulate concentrations in excess of background particulate concentrations.

c *PDR* will be monitored a minimum of three times a day.

< less than

> greater than

*mg/m<sup>3</sup> milligram per cubic meter* 

PDR personal data-logging real-time aerosol monitor

Chemicals of Concern	Project Screening Criteria (Threshold Limit Value) µg/m <sup>3</sup>	Basis		
Lead	242	TI Site 12 Dust Action Level		
Chromium	929	TI Site 12 Dust Action Level		
TSP	50	TI Site 12 Dust Action Level		
PM10	50	BAAQMD ambient air quality		
BAP	50 (8) <sup>b</sup>	TI Site 12 Dust Action Level		
PCBs <sup>a</sup>	NA	TI Site 12 Dust Action Level		
4,4'-DDD	200	TI Site 12 Dust Action Level		
Dioxin <sup>a</sup>	1E+07	TI Site 12 Dust Action Level		
Radiological (Ra-226)	10% of DAC <sup>c</sup>	Occupational and public air concentration limits for Ra-226 published in 10 Code of Federal Regulations Part 20.		

# Table 2Air Monitoring Project Screening Criteria

Notes:

*a* The dust action level was increased by a factor of 10 to account for the short-term duration of the project relative to the lifetime assumptions incorporated into the toxicity criteria and exposure assumption.

*b* BAP action levels will be  $50 \mu g/m^3$  for all excavations except for the area surrounding sample locations KCH-1217-1 at which it will be  $8 \mu g/m^3$ 

*c* Public air concentration limits are commonly referred to as DAC, but are in actuality Effluent Concentrations from Table 2 for 10 CFR Part 20.

%	percent
4,4'-DDD	dichlorodiphenyldichloroethane
BAAQMD	Bay Area Air Quality Management District
BAP	benzo(a)pyrene
DAC	derived air concentration
PCBs	polychlorinated biphenyls
PM10	particulate matter smaller than 10 microns in diameter
Ra-226	radium-226
TSP	total suspended particulates
$\mu g/m^3$	microgram per cubic meter

### 5.0 AIR MONITORING RESULTS

If dust (PDR) monitoring equipment alarm, the source of exceedance will be determined by evaluating both upwind and downwind dust (PDR) sample locations. If the difference between upwind and downwind concentrations is greater than the action level for a sustained period of 15 minutes, then earthmoving activities will be halted until dust control measures are implemented. These may include, but are not limited to adding water to the work area during earth moving tasks, evaluation of alternate work procedures or equipment, and/or cessation of the activity that is creating the dust until the PDR readings are below the screening criteria.

PDR summary results are presented in Attachment 1. Weather information (including ambient pressure and temperature data) and high-volume air monitoring sample results are presented in Attachment 2. Weather information was collected from the weather station at Building 572, Avenue M, Treasure Island, San Francisco, California. Radiological air monitoring results are presented in Attachment 3.

PM10 analytical results from May 11, 2019 to May 24, 2019 did not exceed the project-specific screening criteria presented in Table 2.

TSP analytical results from May 11, 2019 to May 24, 2019 did not exceed the project-specific screening criteria presented in Table 2, with the exception of the result from May 14, 2019 at AMS02, which was reported at a delta between the downwind and upwind stations of 67.98 ug/m<sup>3</sup>. The highest PDR reading for the corresponding day (May 13, 2019) was 0.015 mg/m3 at DM2, which would seem to indicate site activities were not the source of the exceedance.

Metals (chromium and lead), PAHs, total PCBs, and dioxin analytical results from May 11, 2019 to May 24, 2019 did not exceed the project-specific screening criteria presented in Table 2.

Dust (PDR) delta action levels did not exceed during the reporting period. The data sheets are found in Attachment 1.

Radiological air monitoring action levels were not exceeded during the reporting period.

### 6.0 **REFERENCES**

Gilbane, 2016. Radiological Procedure PR-RP-150 Radiological Survey and Sampling. January.

Gilbane, 2018. Remedial Action/Non-Time Critical Removal Action Work Plan, Installation Restoration Site 12, Former Naval Station Treasure Island, San Francisco, California. September.

Gilbane, 2018. Remedial Action/Non-Time Critical Removal Action Work Plan, Air Monitoring Report, Installation Restoration Site 12, Former Naval Station Treasure Island, San Francisco, California. September.


Gilbane, 2018. Remedial Action/Non-Time Critical Removal Action Work Plan, Dust Control Plan, Installation Restoration Site 12, Former Naval Station Treasure Island, San Francisco, California. September.

HERO, 2018. Dust Action Levels for Installation Restoration Site 12, Former Naval Station Treasure Island, San Francisco, California. September.

FIGURES



G:\arcGIS\Navy\Treasure\_Island\PROJECTS\Rad\_s12\_Confrm\_Excav\Site32\_Dust\_Samples.mxd 3/7/2019 [13:51 PM] EANDERSON, Gilbane



G:\arcGIS\Navy\Treasure\_Island\PROJECTS\Site12\_SWDA\_RA\AMR\_16\TI\_Site12\_AMR\_16.mxd 6/10/2019 [13:54 PM] EANDERSON, Gilbane



ATTACHMENTS

## ATTACHMENT 1 PDR SUMMARY TABLE AND FIELD FORMS

# Table 1-1 Personal Data-logging Real-time (PDR) Aerosol Monitoring Results Remedial Action/NTCRA IR Site 12



Former Naval Station Treasure Island, San Francisco, California

DustTrak Unit	IR Site	Date	Maximum (mg/m³)	Average (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Delta Between Upwind and Downwind stations (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Below action level? (0.050 mg/m <sup>3</sup> ) (Yes/No)
DM1	Site 32		0.008	0.005	NA	NA
DM2	Site 32	5/13/2019	0.015	0.010	0.005	Yes
DM3	Site 32		0.013	0.011	0.006	Yes
DM1	Site 32		0.012	0.009	NA	NA
DM2	Site 32	1 1	0.015	0.011	0.002	Yes
DM3	Site 32	5/14/2019	0.011	0.010	0.001	Yes
DM126	Site 12	5/14/2019	0.007	0.006	NA	NA
DM127	Site 12	1	0.007	0.006	0.000	Yes
DM128	Site 12		0.008	0.008	0.002	Yes
DM1	Site 32		0.008	0.006	NA	NA
DM2	Site 32		0.018	0.010	0.005	Yes
DM3	Site 32	E/1E/0040	0.015	0.011	0.005	Yes
DM129	Site 12	5/15/2019	0.007	0.006	NA	NA
DM130	Site 12	1 1	0.003	0.003	-0.003	Yes
DM131	Site 12		0.006	0.005	-0.001	Yes
DM1	Site 32		0.018	0.014	NA	NA
DM2	Site 32	5/16/2019	0.019	0.018	0.003	Yes
DM3	Site 32	1 1	0.021	0.017	0.003	Yes
DM1	Site 32		0.012	0.006	NA	NA
DM2	Site 32	1 1	0.013	0.009	0.003	Yes
DM3	Site 32	5/00/0040	0.012	0.008	0.002	Yes
DM132	Site 12	5/20/2019	0.018	0.014	NA	NA
DM133	Site 12	1 1	0.016	0.011	-0.003	Yes
DM134	Site 12	1 1	0.011	0.009	-0.004	Yes
DM1	Site 32		0.015	0.013	NA	NA
DM2	Site 32	1 1	0.015	0.014	0.001	Yes
DM3	Site 32	E/04/0040	0.017	0.013	0.000	Yes
DM135	Site 12	5/21/2019	0.017	0.013	NA	NA
DM136	Site 12		0.015	0.013	0.000	Yes
DM137	Site 12	1 1	0.017	0.013	0.001	Yes
DM1	Site 32		0.021	0.018	NA	NA
DM2	Site 32	5/22/2019	0.007	0.004	-0.014	Yes
DM3	Site 32		0.024	0.016	-0.002	Yes
DM1	Site 32		0.027	0.021	NA	NA
DM2	Site 32		0.041	0.030	0.009	Yes
DM3	Site 32		0.035	0.024	0.003	Yes
DM138	Site 12	5/23/2019	0.031	0.025	NA	NA
DM139	Site 12	1 1	0.035	0.028	0.003	Yes
DM140	Site 12	1 1	0.024	0.020	-0.005	Yes

Notes:

**bold** = results above screening criteria mg/m<sup>3</sup> = milligram per cubic meter NA = not applicable

And States	0.05	1.34	Con March	- Aller and a	ALC: NOTE OF	To an and the second
Contract Contract	1.23	- 37	PR02-	1000	1000-000	Service .
A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACT OF	1993	1.22		and the second second	SI 1914	and the second
AUTOMACCO DE LES	1.50	1250	<b>199</b>	ST 53	1.23 (3.3)	Concession of the local division of the loca
	192	60	100	1000000	131 532	ALC: NO.
Production of the local division of the loca		1	Participa Partic	The second	4	and a start of the

## AIR MONITORING LOG

1 1 X 2 1 1 1 1 2 2 1 1 3 1 4 2 4	VITORING L	00		$\sim$	1210
	me <u>NAVFAC</u>		[	Date <u>5</u>	/13/19
Project N	o <u>. J31000030</u>	0	Pa	age <u>\</u>	_of1
Logged b	yM	Ve lox			
	S				
	nt Type: <u>Dust</u>				
Calibratio	The second se	Jsed Factory Calibrate	d T		P
Time	Dust Monitoring Station Number	Location	Instrument Reading (mg/m3)	Unit Number	Activities, Remarks
7:20	DMI	ULU site 32	0.008	2368	No earth moving
	DMZ	DW site 32	0.007	2724	
1 1	DM3	DW sile 32	0.009	3703	4
10:50	DMI		0.004		Wark answins
	DMZ		0.007		
	DM3		0.012		$\checkmark$
4100	DMI		0.003		
	DMZ		0.015		
	DM3		0.013		
	South failed and				
				. ©	

ALL A

# Giloane AIR MONITORING LOG

1

.

a start to the start the water	IITORING LO	JG		¢	lula
Client Name <u>NAVFAC</u>			D	ate <u>5</u> ige <u>1</u>	119/19
	. <u>J31000030</u>		Pa	ige1	_of
		ike Cex			
A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACT OF		Over cast			
	t Type: <u>Dust</u>	Jsed Factory Calibrated			
Calibration	Dust				
Time	Monitoring Station Number	Location	Instrument Reading (mg/m3)	Unit Number	Activities, Remarks
7:30	DMI	ULU Site 32	0.007	3703	No earth moving
	DM2	DW site 32	0.010	2368	
$\checkmark$	DM3	DW Site 32	0,010	2724	*
8:00	PM126	UW Discrete exeantion 1222	0.005	2714	Recepción
ſ	OMIZT	DW Discrete excountion	0.006	1649	1
×	DM128	DW Discrek execution	6.008	0943	1
11:00	DMIZE	•••	0.006		Excavetor 1222
1	DM127		0.007		
	1JM128		0.008		
	DMI		0.012		
-	DMZ		0.011		
4	DM3	ı	0.008		
2:00	DMI		0.011		
	DMZ		0.015		
$\checkmark$	DM3		0.011		
2:45	DM126	-	0.007		
	DM127		6.005		
$\checkmark$	DM128		0.008		
4:15	DMI		0,007		
	DM2		0.007		
V	DM3		0.001		
				•	

itter.

# Cilbane

## AIR MONITORING LOG

Client Nar	ne NAVFAC		D	ate <u>5/1</u>	5/19
Project No	. J31000030			ge1	of
Logged by		1			
Weather_		iny 58°			
	t Type: <u>Dust</u>				
Calibration	2011 M	Ised Factory Calibrated			
Time	Dust Monitoring Station Number	Location	Instrument Reading (mg/m3)	Unit Number	Activities, Remarks
7:30	DMI	UWsite 32	0.007		NO earth noung
	DM2	DW site32	0.018	2368	
	DM3	DW site 32	0,015	3703	Crusher from other Contractor angeing on
11:00	DMIZY	UW Discrete sample	0.005	0943	Avenue I for byilding
	DM130	DW Discrete sample RAD7-05	0.003	1649	Discrete sande nealling
$\downarrow$	DM131	Des Discrete scaple.	0.005	2724	nealling
12:00	DMI		0,002		
1	DMZ		0.004		
$\downarrow$	DM3		0.004		
2:30	DMIZQ		0.006		
	DM130	La	0.002		
V	DM131		0.005		
3:45	DM129		0.007		
	DM T30		0.003		
X	DM131		0.006		
4:30	DMI		800,0		
	DM2		0.009		
$\downarrow$	DM3		0.013		
				6	

ALL A



## AIR MONITORING LOG

1

Client Nar	me NAVFAC		C	Date <u>5</u> , age <u>1</u>	16/19
	o <u>. J31000030</u>			age <u>1</u>	_of
		e Cox			
	Re	•			
	it Type: <u>Dust</u>				
Calibratio	AND	Ised Factory Calibrate		1	T
Time	Dust Monitoring Station Number	Location	Instrument Reading (mg/m3)	Unit Number	Activities, Remarks
7:30	DMI	Ulw site 32	0,017	2368	cruster ongoing on sucree I from other contractor
	DMZ		0.019		NO earth moving echuring
	DM3		0.021	3703	
11:30	DMI		0.008	5	Workmsite 32
1	DMZ	••••	0.018		ongoing.
+	DM3		0.012		
4: 15	pmi		0.018		
	DMZ		0.016		
T	DM3		0,019		
			1		
			and the second		
					42
				۵	

ille.A

## AIR MONITORING LOG

The second

A	IR MON	<b>ITORING LO</b>	G		-1	1
	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	ne NAVFAC		D	ate <u>5/20</u>	0/19
		<u>. J310000300</u>		Pa	ige <u> </u>	_of
L	ogged by	Mike	Cox			
		Sun				
		Type: <u>Dust</u>	sed Factory Calibrated			
Г	anoration	Dust				
	Time	Monitoring Station Number	Location	Instrument Reading (mg/m3)	Unit Number	Activities, Remarks
-	7:20	DMI	UW site 32	0.012	1649	Nowork ongoing in site 32
		DM2	DW site 32	0.013	2724	other contractor crusher ongoing assurell as building domo are I
	$\downarrow$	DM3	DU site 32	0.012	0943	
9	3:20	DM13Z	·· • •	0,016	3703	Pre execution reading
	i	DM133		0.016	2368	
	à	DM134		0.009	2714	
(	7:30	DM132	UW Discrete excaudor 1202-E	0.012		Excountion onsaing
	1	DM133	DW Discrete excaveture 1202-F	0.013		
	$\checkmark$	DM134	DW Discrete excavation 1202-F	0,011		
	10:30	DM132	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.010		
		DM 133		0.009		
	1-	DM 134		0.011		
	21:45	DM132		0.018		
	-	DM133		0.010		
	$\downarrow$	DM 134		0.008		
	2'.00	DM132		0.012		Backfill w/clean Material
		DM 133		0.005		
	$\checkmark$	DM 134		0.007		-
	DMI €	72:36		0.003		
		DMZ		0.006	_	
	$\checkmark$	DM3		0.007		
	4:30	DMI		0.003		
	1	DMZ		0,009		
	$\downarrow$	DM3		0.666	٢	

We h

## AIR MONITORING LOG

A TA

The state of the property and	me_NAVFAC	06	г	Date 5	121/19
	o. J31000030	00	P	Date <u>5</u> , age <u>1</u>	of
Logged b	y Mike	Cox			
Weather_	Reinl	overcast			
	nt Type: <u>Dust</u>				
Calibratic	The second s	Jsed Factory Calibrated			-
Time	Dust Monitoring Station Number	• Location	Instrument Reading (mg/m3)	Unit Number	Activities, Remarks
7:30	DMI	Uld Site 32	0.011	0943	No earth moving activities crusher from other cantractor ongoing
	DM2	DW site 32	0.012	1649	on Avenue M
$\checkmark$	DM3	DW site 32	0.008	2714	
8:30	DM135	UW Discrete execution RiA-55	0,008	2724	ongoing excavation reading
	DM136	DW Discrete execution RA-\$5	8.008	2368	
+	DM137	DW Diserek excavitor RA-55	0.007	3703	-
1:00	DM135		0.013		Backfill w/ clean material
1	DM 136		0.015		
$\checkmark$	DM137		0.016		
4:00	DM135		0.017	1.	
1	DM 136		0.615		
	DM 137		0.017		
	DMI		0.015		
	DMZ		0.015		
*	DM3		0,017		
	50. 2.5.5. U				
			2 <sup>98</sup> )	+	
			and the second s		
					λ.
	1		- de la	<b>O</b>	

Ser.

an y

## AIR MONITORING LOG

No. of Street, Street,

Client Na	me NAVFAC			Date <u>5/</u>	272/19
Project No	o <u>. J31000030</u>	0	Pa	age	of
Logged b	yM	ike Cx			
		SUNNY			
	nt Type: <u>Dust</u> n Standards I	Jsed_Factory Calibrated			
	Dust				
Time	Monitoring Station Number	Location	Instrument Reading (mg/m3)	Unit Number	Activities, Remarks
7:30	DMI	UW site 32	0.014	2724	No earth nouling activities. Cryster
	DMZ	DW site 32	0.007	2714	No earth nowing notionties. Crusher engoing from other contractor on the M
	DM3	DW site 32	0.004	0943	
1:00	DMI		0.018		
	DMZ		0.003		
	DM3		0,820		
4:00	DMI	•••	0.021		
	DMZ		0.001		
	DM3	-	0.024		
				0	

Star. h

## AIR MONITORING LOG

and the second

Client Nan	ne NAVFAC			ate $5/2$	
Project No	J310000300	0	Pa	ge\	of
Logged by	<u> </u>	Ke Cox			
	S				
	t Type: <u>Dust</u>				
Calibration	Dust	sed Factory Calibrated	T	1	
Time	Monitoring Station Number	Location	Instrument Reading (mg/m3)	Unit Number	Activities, Remarks
7:00	DMI	llw site 32	0.027	1649	No earth meeting activities. Crusher from other
	DMZ		0,041	0943	contractor ungoing
$\checkmark$	DM33	DW site 32	0,035	2724	on Ave M
7:45	DM138	UW Discrete excountion BA-58	0,027	3703	3703
(	DM 139	DW DISCRETEX COURSON	0.035	<del>8943</del>	0943
	DM 1.40	DW Discricte execution RA-58	0.024	2714	
11:00	DMI		0.022	1649	
	DMZ		0.028	2368	
	DM3		0.012	2724	
	DM138		0,031	3703	
	DM 139		0,019	0943	
¥	DM140		0.016	2714	
1600	DMI		0.015		
1	Dm2		0.022		
J	Dm3		0.026		
	DM 138		0,018		
	DM139		0,030		
	DMIYO	D	0.020		
				۵	

BLA

## ATTACHMENT 2 SUMMARY OF AIR MONITORING AND AIR SAMPLING RESULTS

DCN: GLBN-4239-030

# Table 2-1Ambient Pressure and Temperature Monitoring ResultsRemedial Action/NTCRA IR Site 12Former Naval Station Treasure Island, San Francisco, California



Sample Date	Ambient Pressure (inches of Hg)	Ambient Temperature (°F)	Ambient Temperature (K)
5/14/2019	29.99	55.23	286.06
5/15/2019	29.94	58.01	287.60
5/16/2019	29.73	58.19	287.70
5/17/2019	29.95	54.99	285.92
5/21/2019	29.94	56.14	286.56
5/22/2019	29.84	55.98	286.47
5/23/2019	29.76	58.83	288.06
5/24/2019	29.88	58.48	287.86

Notes:

Weather data collected from weather station at Building 572, Avenue M, Treasure Island, San Francisco, CA

°F = Degrees Fahrenheit

Hg = mercury

K = Kelvin

# Table 2-2Particulate Matter Smaller than Ten Microns (PM10)Remedial Action/NTCRA IR Site 12Former Naval Station Treasure Island, San Francisco, California



Location ID	Sampling Period (Hours)	Sample Date	Particulate Matter Less Than 10 Microns in Diameter (ug/m <sup>3</sup> )	Delta between Downwind and Upwind Stations (ug/m <sup>3</sup> )	PM10 Exceedance? (Yes/No)
				Screening Criteria	50
AMS01	25.27	5/14/2019	13	NA	NA
	24.78	5/15/2019	14	NA	NA
	12.64*	5/16/2019	17	NA	NA
	25.29	5/17/2019	26	NA	NA
	25.00	5/21/2019	22	NA	NA
	24.53	5/22/2019	20	NA	NA
	24.83	5/23/2019	37	NA	NA
	24.93	5/24/2019	25	NA	NA
AMS02	24.03	5/14/2019	32	19	No
	23.73	5/15/2019	18	4	No
	23.23	5/16/2019	20	3	No
	24.29	5/17/2019	33	7	No
	24.03	5/21/2019	29	7	No
	23.51	5/22/2019	21	1	No
	23.19	5/23/2019	49	12	No
	23.83	5/24/2019	35	10	No
AMS07	23.19	5/14/2019	14	NA	NA
	23.90	5/15/2019	13	NA	NA
	23.63	5/16/2019	16	NA	NA
	24.32	5/17/2019	29	NA	NA
	23.43	5/21/2019	24	NA	NA
	23.88	5/22/2019	25	NA	NA
	24.01	5/23/2019	34	NA	NA
	24.21	5/24/2019	21	NA	NA
AMS12	23.95	5/14/2019	13	-1	No
	23.77	5/15/2019	10	-3	No
	8.69*	5/16/2019	14	-2	No
	24.35	5/17/2019	26	-3	No
	24.01	5/21/2019	24	0	No
	23.66	5/22/2019	19	-6	No
	23.88	5/23/2019	36	2	No
	24.02	5/24/2019	18	-3	No

#### Notes:

ug/m3 = microgram per cubic meter

NA = not applicable

PM10 = particulate matter less then 10 microns in diameter

\* = PM10 sampler malfunctioned



Location ID	Sampling Period (Hours)	Sample Date	Total Suspended Particulate (ug/m <sup>3</sup> )	Delta Between Downwind and Upwind Stations (ug/m <sup>3</sup> )	TSP Exceedance? (Yes/No)
				Screening Criteria	50
AMS01	25.25	5/14/2019	19.26	NA	NA
	24.77	5/15/2019	14.95	NA	NA
	12.65 *	5/16/2019	24.50	NA	NA
	25.29	5/17/2019	34.34	NA	NA
	25.01	5/21/2019	20.87	NA	NA
	24.55	5/22/2019	23.07	NA	NA
	24.83	5/23/2019	47.25	NA	NA
	24.97	5/24/2019	33.68	NA	NA
AMS02	24.11	5/14/2019	87.25	67.98	Yes
	23.69	5/15/2019	40.94	25.99	No
	23.23	5/16/2019	46.91	22.41	No
	24.24	5/17/2019	42.41	8.07	No
	24.06	5/21/2019	31.91	11.04	No
	23.53	5/22/2019	33.31	10.24	No
	23.73	5/23/2019	76.01	28.76	No
	24.16	5/24/2019	66.93	33.25	No
AMS07	23.19	5/14/2019	17.36	NA	NA
	23.85	5/15/2019	12.69	NA	NA
	23.63	5/16/2019	23.37	NA	NA
	24.41	5/17/2019	38.26	NA	NA
	23.42	5/21/2019	27.02	NA	NA
	23.87	5/22/2019	31.95	NA	NA
	24.00	5/23/2019	29.13	NA	NA
	22.72	5/24/2019	10.59	NA	NA
AMS12	23.96	5/14/2019	17.67	0.31	No
	23.88	5/15/2019	15.15	2.45	No
	8.69*	5/16/2019	27.04	3.67	No
	24.38	5/17/2019	31.38	-6.88	No
	24.04	5/21/2019	26.83	-0.19	No
	23.57	5/22/2019	20.57	-11.38	No
	23.98	5/23/2019	37.46	8.33	No
	24.07	5/24/2019	21.12	10.53	No

Notes:

NA = not applicable

TSP = total suspended particulate

**bold** = results above screening criteria

\* = TSP sampler malfunctioned

# Table 2-4Metals by EPA 6020 Monitoring ResultsRemedial Action/NTCRA IR Site 12Former Naval Station Treasure Island, San Francisco, California



Location ID	Sampling Period (Hours)	Sample Date	Lead (ug/m³)	Lead Exceedance? (Yes/No)	Chromium (ug/m³)	Chromium Exceedance? (Yes/No)
Screening Criteria				242		929
AMS01	25.27	5/14/2019	0.00061 J	No	0.0038	No
	24.78	5/15/2019	0.00087	No	0.0041	No
	12.64*	5/16/2019	0.0014 J	No	0.0065	No
	25.29	5/17/2019	0.001 J+	No	0.0047	No
	25.00	5/21/2019	< 0.00084	No	0.0043	No
	24.53	5/22/2019	< 0.00086	No	0.0044	No
	24.83	5/23/2019	< 0.0085	No	0.042	No
	24.93	5/24/2019	0.011 J+	No	0.04	No
AMS02	24.03	5/14/2019	0.0039	No	0.0054	No
	23.73	5/15/2019	0.0014	No	0.0044	No
	23.23	5/16/2019	0.0014	No	0.0045	No
	24.29	5/17/2019	< 0.00088	No	0.0051	No
	24.03	5/21/2019	< 0.00088	No	0.0048	No
	23.51	5/22/2019	0.001 J+	No	0.0046	No
	23.19	5/23/2019	0.016 J+	No	0.058	No
	23.83	5/24/2019	0.018	No	0.05	No
AMS07	23.19	5/14/2019	0.00082 J	No	0.0045	No
	23.90	5/15/2019	0.00087 J	No	0.004	No
	23.63	5/16/2019	0.001	No	0.0041	No
	24.32	5/17/2019	0.00096 J+	No	0.0047	No
	23.43	5/21/2019	< 0.0009	No	0.0049	No
	23.88	5/22/2019	< 0.00089	No	0.0046	No
	24.01	5/23/2019	< 0.0088	No	0.045	No
	24.21	5/24/2019	0.015 J+	No	0.042	No
AMS12	23.95	5/14/2019	0.00081 J	No	0.0043	No
	23.77	5/15/2019	0.001	No	0.0043	No
	8.69*	5/16/2019	0.0033	No	0.011	No
	24.35	5/17/2019	0.00091 J+	No	0.0044	No
	24.01	5/21/2019	< 0.00088	No	0.0043	No
	23.66	5/22/2019	0.00091 J+	No	0.0045	No
	23.88	5/23/2019	< 0.0088	No	0.05	No
	24.02	5/24/2019	0.016 J+	No	0.047	No

#### Notes:

J = indicates an estimated value

J+ = qualified as estimated with a high bias

NA = not applicable

ug/m<sup>3</sup> = microgram per cubic meter

\* = sampler malfuntioned

#### Table 2-5

#### Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons by TO-13 Monitoring Results Remedial Action/NTCRA IR Site 12 Former Naval Station Treasure Island, San Francisco, California

Location ID	Sampling Period (Hours)	Sample Date	BAP(Eq) Exceed- ance? (Yes/No)	BAP(Eq)	2-Methyl- naph- thalene (ug/m <sup>3</sup> )	Acenaph- thene (ug/m³)	Acenaph- thylene (ug/m³)	Anthra-cene (ug/m <sup>3</sup> )	Benzo(a) anthra-cene (ug/m³)	Benzo(a) pyrene (ug/m³)	Benzo(b) fluoran- thene (ug/m <sup>3</sup> )	Benzo(g,h,i) perylene (ug/m³)	Benzo(k) fluoran- thene (ug/m <sup>3</sup> )	Chrysene (ug/m³)	Dibenz(a,h) anthra-cene (ug/m <sup>3</sup> )	Fluoran- thene (ug/m3)	Fluorene (ug/m3)	Indeno (1,2,3- c,d) pyrene (ug/m3)	Naph- thalene (ug/m3)	Phenan- threne (ug/m3)	Pyrene (ug/m3)
	Screenin	ng Criteria <sup>1</sup>		50	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE
AMS01	24.68	5/15/2019	No	0	0.0058	0.00026 J	< 0.00048	< 0.00048	< 0.00048	< 0.00048	< 0.00048	< 0.00048	< 0.00048	< 0.00048	< 0.00048	< 0.00048	0.00045 J	< 0.00048	0.0036	0.00069	< 0.00048
	24.97	5/21/2019	No	0	0.0055	< 0.00046	< 0.00046	< 0.00046	< 0.00046	< 0.00046	< 0.00046	< 0.00046	< 0.00046	< 0.00046	< 0.00046	< 0.00046	0.00042 J	< 0.00046	0.0029	0.00067	< 0.00046
	24.95	5/24/2019	No	0	0.0096	0.00044 J	< 0.00048	< 0.00048	< 0.00048	< 0.00048	< 0.00048	< 0.00048	< 0.00048	< 0.00048	< 0.00048	< 0.00048	0.00062	< 0.00048	0.0065	0.0011	< 0.00048
AMS02	23.68	5/15/2019	No	0	0.013	0.00074	0.00029 J	< 0.00048	< 0.00048	< 0.00048	< 0.00048	< 0.00048	< 0.00048	< 0.00048	< 0.00048	0.00023 J	0.00072	< 0.00048	0.013	0.0016	0.00084
	24.02	5/21/2019	No	0	0.0058	0.00045 J	0.00028 J	< 0.00048	< 0.00048	< 0.00048	< 0.00048	< 0.00048	< 0.00048	< 0.00048	< 0.00048	0.00024 J	0.00072	< 0.00048	0.0065	0.0017	0.00073
	23.80	5/24/2019	No	0	0.0059	0.00064	< 0.00049	< 0.00049	< 0.00049	< 0.00049	< 0.00049	< 0.00049	< 0.00049	< 0.00049	< 0.00049	0.00036 J	0.00083	< 0.00049	0.0096	0.0022	0.00074
AMS07	23.91	5/15/2019	No	0	0.0013	0.0002 J	< 0.0004	< 0.0004	< 0.0004	< 0.0004	< 0.0004	< 0.0004	< 0.0004	< 0.0004	< 0.0004	< 0.0004	0.0002 J	< 0.0004	0.002	0.00047	0.00017 J
	23.60	5/21/2019	No	0	0.0014	< 0.0004	< 0.0004	< 0.0004	< 0.0004	< 0.0004	< 0.0004	< 0.0004	< 0.0004	< 0.0004	< 0.0004	< 0.0004	0.00021 J	< 0.0004	0.0022	0.00039 J	< 0.0004
	24.24	5/24/2019	No	0	0.0012	0.00017 J	< 0.00038	< 0.00038	< 0.00038	< 0.00038	< 0.00038	< 0.00038	< 0.00038	< 0.00038	< 0.00038	< 0.00038	0.00018 J	< 0.00038	0.0022	0.00042	< 0.00038
AMS12	23.91	5/15/2019	No	0	0.0011	< 0.00036	< 0.00036	< 0.00036	< 0.00036	< 0.00036	< 0.00036	< 0.00036	< 0.00036	< 0.00036	< 0.00036	< 0.00036	0.00021 J	< 0.00036	0.0018	0.00055	0.00016 J
	24.02	5/21/2019	No	0	0.0008	< 0.00037	< 0.00037	< 0.00037	< 0.00037	< 0.00037	< 0.00037	< 0.00037	< 0.00037	< 0.00037	< 0.00037	< 0.00037	0.00018 J	< 0.00037	0.0015	0.00042	< 0.00037
	24.07	5/24/2019	No	0	0.0016	0.00016 J	< 0.00039	< 0.00039	< 0.00039	< 0.00039	< 0.00039	< 0.00039	< 0.00039	< 0.00039	< 0.00039	< 0.00039	0.00025 J	< 0.00039	0.0032	0.00063	0.00017 J

Notes:

<sup>1</sup> The screening criteria for BAP(Eq) is 50 ug/m<sup>3</sup> except for the area

surrounding excavation KCH-1217-1 at which it will be 8 ug/m<sup>3</sup>.

NE = Not established

BAP(Eq) = Benzo(a) pyrene equivalency

J = estimated value

< = nondetected less than associated reporting limit



# Table 2-6Polychlorinated Biphenyls by TO-4A Monitoring ResultsRemedial Action/NTCRA IR Site 12Former Naval Station Treasure Island, San Francisco, California



Location ID	Sampling Period (Hours)	Sample Date	Total PCB Exceedance? (Yes/No)	Total PCB	PCB-1016 (Aroclor 1016) (ug/m <sup>3</sup> )	PCB-1221 (Aroclor 1221) (ug/m <sup>3</sup> )	PCB-1232 (Aroclor 1232) (ug/m <sup>3</sup> )	PCB-1242 (Aroclor 1242) (ug/m <sup>3</sup> )	PCB-1248 (Aroclor 1248) (ug/m <sup>3</sup> )	PCB-1254 (Aroclor 1254) (ug/m <sup>3</sup> )	PCB-1260 (Aroclor 1260) (ug/m <sup>3</sup> )
Screening Cri	teria			NE							
AMS01	25.27	5/14/2019	NA	0	< 0.00065	< 0.00065	< 0.00065	< 0.00065	< 0.00065	< 0.00065	< 0.00065
	25.23	5/17/2019	NA	0	< 0.00068	< 0.00068	< 0.00068	< 0.00068	< 0.00068	< 0.00068	< 0.00068
	24.76	5/23/2019	NA	0	< 0.00071	< 0.00071	< 0.00071	< 0.00071	< 0.00071	< 0.00071	< 0.00071
AMS02	24.08	5/14/2019	NA	0	< 0.00069	< 0.00069	< 0.00069	< 0.00069	< 0.00069	< 0.00069	< 0.00069
	24.21	5/17/2019	NA	0	< 0.0007	< 0.0007	< 0.0007	< 0.0007	< 0.0007	< 0.0007	< 0.0007
	23.69	5/23/2019	NA	0	< 0.00074	< 0.00074	< 0.00074	< 0.00074	< 0.00074	< 0.00074	< 0.00074
AMS07	23.2	5/14/2019	NA	0	< 0.00058	< 0.00058	< 0.00058	< 0.00058	< 0.00058	< 0.00058	< 0.00058
	24.43	5/17/2019	NA	0	< 0.00057	< 0.00057	< 0.00057	< 0.00057	< 0.00057	< 0.00057	< 0.00057
	24.06	5/23/2019	NA	0	< 0.00054	< 0.00054	< 0.00054	< 0.00054	< 0.00054	< 0.00054	< 0.00054
AMS12	23.96	5/14/2019	NA	0	< 0.00054	< 0.00054	< 0.00054	< 0.00054	< 0.00054	< 0.00054	< 0.00054
	24.39	5/17/2019	NA	0	< 0.00053	< 0.00053	< 0.00053	< 0.00053	< 0.00053	< 0.00053	< 0.00053
	23.95	5/23/2019	NA	0	< 0.00057	< 0.00057	< 0.00057	< 0.00057	< 0.00057	< 0.00057	< 0.00057

Notes:

NA = Not applicable

NE = none established

PCB = polychlorinated biphenyl

ug/m<sup>3</sup> = microgram per cubic meter

< = nondetected less than associated reporting limit

# Table 2-7Dioxin as 2,3,4,7,8-TCDD by TO-9A Monitoring ResultsRemedial Action/NTCRA IR Site 12Former Naval Station Treasure Island, San Francisco, California



Location ID	Sampling Period (Hours)	Sample Date	2,3,7,8-Tetrachlorodibenzo-p- dioxin (ug/m³)	Dioxin Exceedance? (Yes/No)
			Screening Criteria	10,000,000 ug/m <sup>3</sup>
AMS01	12.58*	5/16/2019	< 0.0000004	No
	24.48	5/22/2019	< 0.0000002	No
AMS02	23.22	5/16/2019	< 0.0000002	No
	23.45	5/22/2019	< 0.0000002	No
AMS07	23.64	5/16/2019	< 0.0000002	No
	23.91	5/22/2019	0.00000001 J	No
AMS12	8.74*	5/16/2019	< 0.0000004	No
	23.69	5/22/2019	< 0.0000001	No

#### Notes:

\* = PUF sampler malfunctioned

J = Estimated value

ug/m<sup>3</sup> = microgram per cubic meter

< = nondetected less than associated reporting limit

## ATTACHMENT 3 RADIOLOGICAL AIR MONITORING RESULTS

## AIR SAMPLE RESULTS - PUBLIC EXPOSURE MONITORING

Project Information Effluent Air Concentration Sampling Period Color Codes																							
					roject Inform	nation			1		Effluent /	Air Conce	entration		Sa	mpling Per	iod	Color Codes					
	Task Order Nu		ject Title	e / Locatio	on:		Gilbane Project N			Alpha Beta			Air samples collected							< 0.1 x Effluent Conc			
N	2473-17-D-00	05			,	sland, SF, CA	J3	10000300		Radionuclide Ra-226 Sr-90			between May 13, 2019			,				0.1 x Effluent Conc			
	Information effective as of: 6/10/2019								Effluent Conc (µCi/ml) 9.E-13 6.E-12				and May 23, 2019						Value	ue > Effluent Conc			
Sample Collection							Count Information					1			Sample Results				Initials				
Sample	Sample	Sample		Equip	Ave Flow	Start	End	Elapsed	Volume	Inst	Count	Time	Counting	Gross	<del></del>		dpm	· · · ·	(µCi/ml)		Conc (%)	Count	Data
Number	Туре	Location		No	Rate (Ipm)	Day Time	Date Time	Time (min)	(ml)	No	Date	(min)	Units	Alpha	Beta	Alpha	Beta	Alpha	Beta	Alpha	Beta	Tech	Reviewer
AS-343	Perimeter	AMS-01		PE01	50	5/13/19 7:20	5/13/19 15:50	510	2.5E+07	A	5/20/19	20	cpm	0.200	2.750	0.6	5.0	1.0E-14	8.9E-14	1.1%	1.5%	BS	СВ
AS-344	Perimeter	AMS-02		PE02	60	5/13/19 7:10	5/13/19 15:40	510	3.1E+07	A	5/20/19	20	cpm	0.100	3.900	0.3	8.1	4.2E-15	1.2E-13	0.5%	2.0%	BS	СВ
AS-345	Perimeter	AMS-07		PE03	50	5/13/19 7:30	5/13/19 16:00	510	2.5E+07	A	5/20/19	20	cpm	0.100	3.300	0.3	6.5	5.1E-15	1.1E-13	0.6%	1.9%	BS	СВ
AS-346	Perimeter	AMS-12		PE04	50	5/13/19 7:15	5/13/19 15:45	510	2.5E+07	A	5/20/19	20	cpm	0.100	3.600	0.3	7.3	5.1E-15	1.3E-13	0.6%	2.2%	BS	СВ
AS-347	Perimeter	AMS-01		PE01	50	5/14/19 6:35	5/14/19 15:20	525	2.6E+07	A	5/20/19	20	cpm	0.200	3.450	0.6	6.9	9.9E-15	1.2E-13	1.1%	2.0%	BS	СВ
AS-348	Perimeter	AMS-02		PE02	60	5/14/19 6:45	5/14/19 15:10	505	3.0E+07	А	5/20/19	20	cpm	0.150	4.200	0.4	8.9	6.4E-15	1.3E-13	0.7%	2.2%	BS	СВ
AS-349	Perimeter	AMS-07		PE03	50	5/14/19 6:55	5/14/19 15:30	515	2.6E+07	А	5/20/19	20	cpm	0.200	4.350	0.6	9.3	1.0E-14	1.6E-13	1.1%	2.7%	BS	СВ
AS-350	Perimeter	AMS-12		PE04	50	5/14/19 6:30	5/14/19 15:15	525	2.6E+07	Α	5/20/19	20	cpm	0.200	3.150	0.6	6.1	9.9E-15	1.0E-13	1.1%	1.7%	BS	СВ
AS-351	Perimeter	EX # 1222	2	PE07	50	5/14/19 8:00	5/14/19 14:00	360	1.8E+07	А	5/20/19	20	cpm	0.050	4.100	0.1	8.7	3.6E-15	2.2E-13	0.4%	3.6%	BS	СВ
AS-352	Perimeter	AMS-01		PE01	50	5/15/19 5:20	5/15/19 16:00	640	3.2E+07	А	5/20/19	20	cpm	0.300	5.050	0.9	11.2	1.2E-14	1.6E-13	1.4%	2.6%	BS	СВ
AS-353	Perimeter	AMS-02		PE02	60	5/15/19 5:15	5/15/19 16:10	655	3.9E+07	А	5/20/19	20	cpm	0.150	3.300	0.4	6.5	5.0E-15	7.5E-14	0.6%	1.2%	BS	СВ
AS-354	Perimeter	AMS-07		PE03	50	5/15/19 5:30	5/15/19 15:50	620	3.1E+07	А	5/20/19	20	cpm	0.150	4.000	0.4	8.4	6.3E-15	1.2E-13	0.7%	2.0%	BS	СВ
AS-355	Perimeter	AMS-12		PE04	50	5/15/19 5:20	5/15/19 15:55	635	3.2E+07	А	5/20/19	20	cpm	0.050	3.700	0.1	7.6	2.0E-15	1.1E-13	0.2%	1.8%	BS	СВ
AS-356	Perimeter	AMS-01		PE01	50	5/16/19 5:20	5/16/19 15:30	610	3.1E+07	А	5/20/19	20	cpm	0.050	3.500	0.1	7.0	2.1E-15	1.0E-13	0.2%	1.7%	BS	СВ
AS-357	Perimeter	AMS-02		PE02	60	5/16/19 6:15	5/16/19 16:00	585	3.5E+07	А	5/20/19	20	cpm	0.150	3.800	0.4	7.9	5.5E-15	1.0E-13	0.6%	1.7%	BS	СВ
AS-358	Perimeter	AMS-07		PE03	50	5/16/19 5:25	5/16/19 15:15	590	2.9E+07	А	5/20/19	20	cpm	0.150	4.000	0.4	8.4	6.6E-15	1.3E-13	0.7%	2.1%	BS	СВ
AS-359	Perimeter	AMS-12		PE04	50	5/16/19 5:15	5/16/19 15:25	610	3.0E+07	А	5/20/19	20	cpm	0.100	2.700	0.3	4.9	4.3E-15	7.2E-14	0.5%	1.2%	BS	СВ
AS-360	Perimeter	AMS-01		PE01	50	5/20/19 7:15	5/20/19 15:15	480	2.4E+07	Α	5/28/19	20	cpm	0.100	3.850	0.3	8.0	5.4E-15	1.5E-13	0.6%	2.5%	BS	СВ
AS-361	Perimeter	AMS-02		PE02	60	5/20/19 7:20	5/20/19 14:46	446	2.7E+07	А	5/28/19	20	cpm	0.350	3.250	1.0	6.4	1.7E-14	1.1E-13	1.9%	1.8%	BS	СВ
AS-362	Perimeter	AMS-07		PE03	50	5/20/19 7:30	5/20/19 15:30	480	2.4E+07	А	5/28/19	20	cpm	0.250	3.700	0.7	7.6	1.4E-14	1.4E-13	1.5%	2.4%	BS	СВ
AS-363	Perimeter	AMS-12		PE04	50	5/20/19 7:10	5/20/19 15:05	475	2.4E+07	Α	5/28/19	20	cpm	0.050	3.650	0.1	7.5	2.7E-15	1.4E-13	0.3%	2.4%	BS	СВ
AS-364	Perimeter	EX # 1202	F	PE07	50	5/20/19 8:00	5/20/19 14:45	405	2.0E+07	Α	5/28/19	20	cpm	0.250	3.500	0.7	7.0	1.6E-14	1.6E-13	1.8%	2.6%	BS	СВ
AS-365	Perimeter	AMS-01		PE01	50	5/21/19 5:55	5/21/19 15:25	570	2.8E+07	А	5/28/19	20	cpm	0.000	3.100	0.0	6.0	0.0E+00	9.4E-14	0.0%	1.6%	BS	СВ
AS-366	Perimeter	AMS-02		PE02	60	5/21/19 5:45	5/21/19 15:15	570	3.4E+07	Α	5/28/19	20	cpm	0.100	3.050	0.3	5.8	3.8E-15	7.7E-14	0.4%	1.3%	BS	СВ
AS-367	Perimeter	AMS-07		PE03	50	5/21/19 6:00	5/21/19 15:35	575	2.9E+07	А	5/28/19	20	cpm	0.150	3.600	0.4	7.3	6.8E-15	1.1E-13	0.8%	1.9%	BS	СВ
AS-368	Perimeter	AMS-12		PE04	50	5/21/19 5:50	5/21/19 15:20	570	2.9E+07	А	5/28/19	20	cpm	0.050	3.050	0.1	5.8	2.3E-15	9.2E-14	0.3%	1.5%	BS	СВ
AS-369	Perimeter	EX # RA-5	55	PE07	50	5/21/19 8:15	5/21/19 14:50	395	2.0E+07	Α	5/28/19	20	cpm	0.100	3.800	0.3	7.9	6.6E-15	1.8E-13	0.7%	3.0%	BS	СВ
AS-370	Perimeter	AMS-01		PE01	50	5/22/19 5:30	5/22/19 15:10	580	2.9E+07	А	5/28/19	20	cpm	0.000	4.150	0.0	8.8	0.0E+00	1.4E-13	0.0%	2.3%	BS	СВ
AS-371	Perimeter	AMS-02		PE02	60	5/22/19 5:40	5/22/19 14:55	555	3.3E+07	Α	5/28/19	20	cpm	0.150	3.750	0.4	7.7	5.8E-15	1.0E-13	0.6%	1.7%	BS	СВ

1.00	
	no
and the second second second	

## AIR SAMPLE RESULTS - PUBLIC EXPOSURE MONITORING

														·								
	Project Information									Effluent /	Air Conce	entration		Sa	mpling Per	iod	Color Codes					
Contract / Task Order Number: Project Title / Location: Gilbane Project Number:									Alpha	Beta	Air samples collected			V	/alue < MD0	2	Value < 0.1 x Effluent Conc					
N62473-17-D-0005 IR Site 12 RD/RA, Treasure Island, SF, CA J310000300					Radionuclide Ra-226 Sr-90			between May 13, 2019			< 72	2 hr decay t	ime	Value > 0.1 x Effluent Conc								
Information effective as of: 6/10/2019						Effluent Conc (µCi/ml) 9.E-13 6.E-12					and May 23, 2019			Data reviewed			Value > Effluent Conc					
Sample Collection							Count Information								Sample	Results	Initials		tials			
Sample	Sample	Sample	Equip	Ave Flow	Start	End	Elapsed	Volume	Inst	Count	Time	Counting	Gross	Activity Net dpm		dpm	Activity (µCi/ml)		(µCi/ml) Effluent Conc (%)		Count	Data
Number	Туре	Location	No	Rate (lpm)	Day Time	Date Time	Time (min)	(ml)	No	Date	(min)	Units	Alpha	Beta	Alpha	Beta	Alpha	Beta	Alpha	Beta	Tech	Reviewer
AS-372	Perimeter	AMS-07	PE03	50	5/22/19 5:50	5/22/19 15:25	575	2.9E+07	А	5/28/19	20	cpm	0.250	4.600	0.7	10.0	1.1E-14	1.6E-13	1.3%	2.6%	BS	СВ
AS-373	Perimeter	AMS-12	PE04	50	5/22/19 5:25	5/22/19 15:00	575	2.9E+07	А	5/28/19	20	cpm	0.150	3.800	0.4	7.9	6.8E-15	1.2E-13	0.8%	2.1%	BS	СВ
AS-374	Perimeter	AMS-01	PE01	50	5/23/19 5:40	5/23/19 14:55	555	2.8E+07	А	5/28/19	20	cpm	0.000	4.250	0.0	9.1	0.0E+00	1.5E-13	0.0%	2.5%	BS	СВ
AS-375	Perimeter	AMS-02	PE02	60	5/23/19 5:30	5/23/19 14:45	555	3.3E+07	А	5/28/19	20	cpm	0.000	2.950	0.0	5.6	0.0E+00	7.5E-14	0.0%	1.3%	BS	СВ
AS-376	Perimeter	AMS-07	PE03	50	5/23/19 5:50	5/23/19 15:05	555	2.8E+07	A	5/28/19	20	cpm	0.100	4.250	0.3	9.1	4.7E-15	1.5E-13	0.5%	2.5%	BS	СВ
AS-377	Perimeter	AMS-12	PE04	50	5/23/19 5:35	5/23/19 14:50	555	2.8E+07	A	5/28/19	20	cpm	0.100	3.800	0.3	7.9	4.7E-15	1.3E-13	0.5%	2.1%	BS	СВ
AS-378	Perimeter	EX # RA-58	PE07	50	5/23/19 7:30	5/23/19 14:15	405	2.0E+07	A	5/28/19	20	cpm	0.350	3.950	1.0	8.3	2.2E-14	1.8E-13	2.5%	3.1%	BS	СВ