

Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA)
DoD 22.4 Small Business Innovation Research (SBIR) Annual BAA
Proposal Submission Instructions Release 7

INTRODUCTION

DARPA's mission is to make strategic, early investments in science and technology that will have long-term positive impact on our national security. As part of this mission, DARPA makes high-risk, high-reward investments in science and technology that have the potential to disrupt current understanding and/or approaches. The pace of discovery in both science and technology is accelerating worldwide, resulting in new fields of study and the identification of scientific areas ripe for small business utilization through the SBIR and STTR programs. Small businesses are critical for developing technology to support national security. Proposers are encouraged to consider whether the R/R&D being proposed to DoD Components also has private sector potential, either for the proposed application or as a base for other applications. The topics below focus on technical domains important to DARPA's mission pursuing innovative research concepts that fall within one of its technology offices. More information about DARPA's technical domains and research topics of interest may be found at: <http://www.darpa.mil/about-us/offices>.

Proposers responding to a topic in this BAA must follow all general instructions provided in the Department of Defense (DoD) SBIR Program BAA. DARPA requirements in addition to or deviating from the DoD Program BAA are provided in the instructions below.

Specific questions pertaining to the administration of the DARPA Program and these proposal preparation instructions should be directed to: DARPA Small Business Programs Office at SBIR_BAA@darpa.mil. DSIP Topic Q&A will NOT be available for these DARPA topics. Technical questions related to improving the understanding of a topic's requirements must be submitted to SBIR_BAA@darpa.mil by the deadline listed below.

The following dates apply to this DARPA Topic release:

July 26, 2022: Topics issued for pre-release
August 10, 2022: Topics open; DARPA begins accepting proposals via DSIP
September 07, 2022: Deadline for technical question submission
September 14, 2022: Deadline for receipt of proposals no later than **12:00 pm ET**

DIRECT TO PHASE II PROPOSAL GUIDELINES

Proposers should refer to the DARPA Direct to Phase II Proposal Instructions, provided on the DSIP Submission site.

Current Release Award Structure by Topic

Topic Number	Direct to Phase II				
	Tech Volume	Award Amount	Period of Performance (PoP)	Option Amount	Option PoP
HR0011SB20224-11	65 pages	\$1,000,000	12 months	\$500,000	12 months

Technical Volume (Volume 2)

If a proposer can provide adequate documentation to substantiate that the scientific and technical merit and feasibility described in the Phase I section of the topic has been met and describes the potential commercial applications, the Direct to Phase II (DP2) authority allows the Department of Defense (DoD) to make an award to a small business concern under Phase II of the SBIR program without regard to whether the small business concern was provided an award under Phase I of an SBIR program. This topic is accepting DP2 proposal submissions.

DP2 Feasibility Documentation shall not exceed 20 pages. DP2 Technical Proposal shall not exceed 40 pages. Phase I commercialization strategy shall not exceed 5 pages. This should be the last section of the Technical Volume and will not count against the 40-page limit.

Content of the Technical Volume

Proposers should refer to the DARPA Phase I Proposal Instructions, provided on the DARPA Small Business site (<https://www.darpa.mil/work-with-us/for-small-businesses/participate-sbir-sttr-program>).

Cost Volume (Volume 3)

Please see the chart above for award amounts listed by topic. Proposers are required to use the Phase I – Volume 3: Cost Proposal Template (Excel Spreadsheet) provided on the DARPA Small Business site (<https://www.darpa.mil/work-with-us/for-small-businesses/participate-sbir-sttr-program>).

Company Commercialization Report (CCR) (Volume 4)

Completion of the CCR as Volume 4 of the proposal submission in DSIP is required. Please refer to the DoD SBIR Program BAA for full details on this requirement. Information contained in the CCR will not be considered by DARPA during proposal evaluations.

Supporting Documents (Volume 5)

In addition to the documents required by DoD, small businesses may also submit additional documentation to support the Technical Volume (Volume 2) and the Cost Volume (Volume 3) in Volume 5.

DISCRETIONARY TECHNICAL AND BUSINESS ASSISTANCE (TAB A)

DARPA does not offer TAB A funding.

EVALUATION AND SELECTION

All proposals will be evaluated in accordance with the evaluation criteria listed in the DoD SBIR 2022.4 BAA. DARPA will conduct an evaluation of each conforming proposal. Proposals that do not comply with the requirements detailed in this BAA and the research objective(s) of the corresponding topic are considered non-conforming and therefore are not evaluated nor considered for award.

Using the evaluation criteria, the Government will evaluate each proposal in its entirety, documenting the strengths and weaknesses relative to each evaluation criterion, and, based on these identified strengths and weaknesses, determine the proposal's overall selectability. Proposals will not be evaluated against each other during the evaluation process, but rather evaluated on their own individual merit to determine how well the proposal meets the criteria stated in this BAA and the corresponding DARPA topic.

Awards will be made to proposers whose proposals are determined to be the most advantageous to the Government, consistent with instructions and evaluation criteria specified in the DoD SBIR 2022.4 BAA

and availability of funding. Given the limited funding available for each topic released, not all proposals considered selectable will be selected for funding.

For the purposes of this proposal evaluation process, a selectable proposal is defined as follows:

Selectable: A selectable proposal is a proposal that has been evaluated by the Government against the evaluation criteria listed in the DoD SBIR 2022.4 BAA and DARPA topic, and the strengths of the overall proposal outweighs its weaknesses. Additionally, there are no accumulated weaknesses that would require extensive negotiations and/or a resubmitted proposal.

For the purposes of this proposal evaluation process, a non-selectable proposal is defined as follows:

Non-Selectable: A proposal is considered non-selectable when the proposal has been evaluated by the Government against the evaluation criteria listed in the DoD SBIR 2022.4 BAA and DARPA topic, and the strengths of the overall proposal do not outweigh its weaknesses.

Proposing firms will be notified of selection or non-selection status for a Phase I award within 90 days of the closing date of the DoD SBIR 2022.4 BAA. It is the policy of DARPA to treat all proposals as source selection information and to disclose their contents only for the purpose of evaluation. Restrictive notices notwithstanding, during the evaluation process, submissions may be handled by support contractors for administrative purposes and/or to assist with technical evaluation. All DARPA support contractors are expressly prohibited from performing DARPA-sponsored technical research and are bound by appropriate nondisclosure agreements. Input on technical aspects of the proposals may be solicited by DARPA from other Government and/or non-Government consultants/experts who are strictly bound by the appropriate non-disclosure requirements. No submissions will be returned. Upon completion of the evaluation and selection process, an electronic copy of each proposal received will be retained at DARPA.

Proposal titles, abstracts, anticipated benefits, and keywords of proposals that are selected for contract award will undergo a DARPA Policy and Security Review. Proposal titles, abstracts, anticipated benefits, and keywords are subject to revision and/or redaction by DARPA. Final approved versions of proposal titles, abstracts, anticipated benefits, and keywords may appear on the DoD SBIR/STTR awards website and/or the SBA's SBIR/STTR award website (<https://www.sbir.gov/sbirsearch/award/all>).

Refer to the DoD SBIR 2022.4 Program BAA for procedures to protest the Announcement. As further prescribed in FAR 33.106(b), FAR 52.233-3, Protests regarding the selection decision should be submitted to:

DARPA
Contracts Management Office (CMO)
675 N. Randolph Street
Arlington, VA 22203
E-mail: scott.ulrey@darpa.mil and sbir@darpa.mil

AWARD AND CONTRACT INFORMATION

1. General Award Information

Multiple awards are anticipated. DARPA may award FAR-based government contracts (Firm- Fixed Price or Cost-Plus Reimbursement) or Other Transactions for Prototypes agreement (under the authority of 10 U.S.C. § 2371b) subject to approval of the Contracting Officer. The amount of resources made available for each topic issued under this BAA will depend on the quality of the proposals received and the availability of funds.

Small businesses that are owned in majority part by multiple venture capital operating companies (VCOs), hedge funds, or private equity funds are eligible to submit applications or receive awards.

The Government reserves the right to select for negotiation all, some, one, or none of the proposals received in response to this announcement and to make awards with or without communications with proposers. Additionally, the Government reserves the right to award all, some, one, or none of the options on the contract(s)/agreement(s) of the performers based on available funding and technical performance. If warranted, portions of resulting awards may be segregated into pre-priced options. Additionally, DARPA reserves the right to accept proposals in their entirety or to select only portions of proposals for award. In the event that DARPA desires to award only portions of a proposal, negotiations may be opened with that proposer. The Government reserves the right to fund proposals in phases with options for continued work, as applicable.

The Government reserves the right to request any additional, necessary documentation once it makes the award instrument determination. The Government reserves the right to remove a proposal from award consideration should the parties fail to reach agreement on award terms, conditions, and price within a reasonable time, and/or the proposer fails to provide requested additional information within three business days.

In all cases, the Government Contracting Officer reserves the right to select award instrument type, regardless of instrument type proposed, and to negotiate all instrument terms and conditions with selectees. DARPA will apply publication or other restrictions, as necessary, if it determines that the research resulting from the proposed effort will present a high likelihood of disclosing performance characteristics of military systems or manufacturing technologies that are unique and critical to defense. Any award resulting from such a determination will include a requirement for DARPA permission before publishing any information or results on the program. For more information on publication restrictions, see the DoD SBIR 2022.4 BAA.

Because of the desire to streamline the award negotiation and program execution process, proposals identified for negotiation will result in negotiating a type of instrument for award that is in the best interest of the Government. In the case of an OT for Prototype agreement under DARPA's authority to award OTs for prototype projects, 10 U.S.C. § 2371b, use of an OT provides significant opportunities for flexible execution to assist in meeting DARPA's aggressive SBIR/STTR program goals.

All proposers that wish to consider an OT award should carefully read the following:

The flexibility of the OT award instrument is beneficial to the program because the Performer will be able to apply its best practices as required to carry out the research project that may be outside of the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) process-driven requirements. Streamlined practices will be used, such as milestone-driven performance, intended to reduce time and effort on award administration tasks and permit performers to focus on the research effort and rapid prototyping. Because of this ability, OTs provide the Agreements Officer the flexibility to create an award instrument that contains terms and conditions that promote commercial transition, reduce some administratively burdensome acquisition regulations, and meet SBIR/STTR program goals.

Proposers must only propose an OT agreement with fixed payable milestones. Fixed payable milestones are fixed payments based on successful completion of the milestone accomplishments agreed to in the milestone plan. Refer to the Other Transactions for Prototypes Fact Sheet and Other Transaction for Prototype Agreement, available at <https://www.darpa.mil/work-with-us/for-small-businesses/participate-sbir-sttr-program>. Specific milestones will be based upon the research objectives detailed in the topic.

Please see <https://www.darpa.mil/work-with-us/for-small-businesses/participate-sbir-sttr-program> for more information on OTs.

2. Transition and Commercialization Support Program (TCSP)

DARPA will provide services to Phase II or DP2 awardees upon contract execution through the Transition and Commercialization Support Program (TCSP) at no cost to awardees. The TCSP goal is to maximize the potential for SBIR/STTR companies to move their technology beyond Phase II, and into other research and development programs for further maturity, or into solutions or products for DoD acquisition programs, other Federal programs, and/or the commercial market. Please visit <https://www.darpa.mil/work-with-us/for-small-businesses/commercialization-continued> for more information on DARPA TCSP.

3. Embedded Entrepreneurship Initiative

Awardees of SBIR funding pursuant to this BAA may be eligible to participate in the DARPA Embedded Entrepreneurship Initiative (EEI) during the Period of Performance. Invitation to participate in EEI is at the sole discretion of the Government based on evaluation of technical and commercial factors and subject to program balance and the availability of funding. EEI is a limited scope program offered by DARPA, at DARPA's discretion, to a small subset of awardees. The goal of DARPA's EEI is to increase the likelihood that DARPA-funded technologies take root in the U.S. and provide new capabilities for national defense. EEI supports DARPA's mission "to make pivotal investments in breakthrough technologies and capabilities for national security" by accelerating the transition of innovations out of the lab and into new capabilities for the Department of Defense (DoD). EEI investment supports development of a robust and deliberate Go-to-Market strategy for selling technology product to the government and commercial markets and positions DARPA awardees to attract U.S. investment. The following is for informational and planning purposes only and does not constitute solicitation of proposals to the EEI.

There are three elements to DARPA's EEI: (1) A Senior Commercialization Advisor (SCA) from DARPA who works with the Program Manager (PM) to examine the business case for the awardee's technology and uses commercial methodologies to identify steps toward achieving a successful transition of technology to the government and commercial markets; (2) Connections to potential industry and investor partners via EEI's Investor Working Groups; and (3) Additional funding on an awardee's contract for the awardee to hire an embedded entrepreneur to achieve specific milestones in a Go-to-Market strategy for transitioning the technology to products that serve both defense and commercial markets. This embedded entrepreneur's qualifications should include business experience within the target industries of interest, experience in commercializing early stage technology, and the ability to communicate and interact with technical and non-technical stakeholders. Funding for EEI is typically no more than \$250,000 per awardee over the duration of the award. An awardee may apportion EEI funding to hire more than one embedded entrepreneur, if achieving the milestones requires different expertise that can be obtained without exceeding the awardee's total EEI funding. The EEI effort is intended to be conducted concurrent with the research program without extending the period of performance.

EEI Application Process:

After receiving an award under the solicitation, awardees interested in being considered for EEI should notify their DARPA Program Manager (PM) during the period of performance. Timing of such notification should ideally allow sufficient time for DARPA and the awardee to review the awardee's initial transition plan, identify milestones to achieve under EEI, modify the award, and conduct the work required to achieve such milestones within the original award period of performance. These steps may take 9-18 months to complete, depending on the technology. If the DARPA PM determines that EEI could be of benefit to transition the technology to product(s) the Government needs, the PM will refer the performer to DARPA Commercial Strategy.

DARPA Commercial Strategy will then contact the performer, assess fitness for EEI, and in consultation with the DARPA technical office, determine whether to invite the performer to participate in the EEI. Factors that are considered in determining fitness for EEI include DoD/Government need for the technology; competitive approaches to enable a similar capability or product; risks and impact of the Government's being unable to access the technology from a sustainable source; Government and commercial markets for the technology; cost and affordability; manufacturability and scalability; supply chain requirements and barriers; regulatory requirements and timelines; Intellectual Property and Government Use Rights, and available funding.

Invitation to participate in EEI is at the sole discretion of DARPA and subject to program balance and the availability of funding. EEI participants' awards may be subsequently modified bilaterally to amend the Statement of Work to add negotiated EEI tasks, provide funding, and specify a milestone schedule which will include measurable steps necessary to build, refine, and execute a Go-to-Market technology transition plan aimed at delivering new capabilities for national defense. Milestone examples are available at: <https://www.darpa.mil/work-with-us/contract-management>.

Awardees under this solicitation are eligible to be considered for participation in EEI, but selection for award under this solicitation does not imply or guarantee participation in EEI.

For more information please refer to the EEI website <https://eei.darpa.mil/>.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

DARPA intends to use electronic mail for all correspondence regarding these topics. Questions related to the technical aspect of the research objectives and awards specifically related to a topic should be emailed to SBIR_BAA@darpa.mil. Please reference the topic number in the subject line. All questions must be in English and must include the name, email address, and the telephone number of a point of contact.

DARPA will attempt to answer questions in a timely manner; however, questions submitted within seven (7) calendar days of the proposal due date listed herein may not be answered. DARPA will post a consolidated Frequently Asked Questions (FAQ) document. To access the posting please visit: <http://www.darpa.mil/work-with-us/opportunities>. Under the topic number summary, there will be a link to the FAQ. The FAQ will be updated on an ongoing basis until one week prior to the proposal due date.

Technical support for the Defense SBIR/STTR Innovation Portal (DSIP) is available Monday through Friday, 9:00 a.m. – 5:00 p.m. ET. Requests for technical support must be emailed to DoDSBIRSupport@reisystems.com with a copy to SBIR_BAA@darpa.mil.

APPENDIX A: DARPA DIRECT TO PHASE II (DP2) PROPOSAL INSTRUCTIONS

I. Introduction

A complete proposal submission consists of:

Volume 1: Proposal Cover Sheet

Volume 2: Technical Volume (feasibility documentation and technical proposal) Volume 3: Cost Volume

Volume 4: Company Commercialization Report

Volume 5: Supporting Documents

Volume 6: Fraud, Waste and Abuse Training

The Defense SBIR/STTR Innovation Portal (DSIP) provides a structure for building the proposal volumes and submitting a consolidated proposal package. If this is your first time submitting an SBIR or STTR proposal using DSIP, please review detailed training guides at <https://www.dodsbirsttr.mil/submissions/learning-support/training-materials>. It is the responsibility of the proposing firm to ensure that a complete proposal package is certified and submitted by the close date listed in the TOPIC to which they are responding.

To assist in proposal development, templates for Volume 2: Technical Volume and Volume 3: Cost Volume have been provided as attachments to the announcement posted at <https://www.dodsbirsttr.mil/submissions/login>. Use of these templates is mandatory.

NOTE: Beginning with the DARPA FY21 SBIR and STTR BAA, all proposers are required to submit Volume 4: Company Commercialization Report (CCR).

II. Proprietary Information

Proposers that include in their proposals data that they do not want disclosed to the public for any purpose, or used by the Government except for evaluation purposes, shall follow instructions in section 4.5 regarding marking propriety proposal information.

III. DP2 Proposal Instructions

a. Proposal Cover Sheet (Volume 1)

The Cover Sheet must include a brief technical abstract of no more than 3000 characters that describes the proposed R&D project with a discussion of anticipated benefits and potential commercial applications. Do not include proprietary or classified information in the Proposal Cover Sheet. If your proposal is selected for award, the technical abstract and discussion of anticipated benefits may be publicly released.

b. Format of Technical Volume (Volume 2)

1. The Technical Volume must include two parts, PART ONE: Feasibility Documentation and PART TWO: Technical Proposal.
2. Type of file: The Technical Volume must be a single Portable Document Format (PDF) file, including graphics. Perform a virus check before uploading the Technical Volume file. If a virus is detected, it may cause rejection of the proposal. Do not lock or encrypt the uploaded file. Do not include or embed active graphics such as videos, moving pictures, or other similar media in the document.

3. Length: The length of each part of the technical volume (Feasibility Documentation and Technical Proposal) will be specified by the corresponding TOPIC. The Government will not consider pages in excess of the page count limitations.
4. Layout: Number all pages of your proposal consecutively. Font size should not be smaller than 10-point on standard 8-1/2" x 11" paper with one-inch margins. The header on each page of the Technical Volume should contain your company name, topic number, and proposal number assigned by DSIP when the Cover Sheet was created. The header may be included in the one-inch margin.

c. Content of the Technical Volume (Volume 2)

PART ONE: Feasibility Documentation

1. Provide documentation to substantiate that the scientific and technical merit and feasibility described in the Phase I section of the TOPIC has been met and describe the potential commercial applications. Documentation should include all relevant information including, but not limited to: technical reports, test data, prototype designs/models, and performance goals/results.
2. Maximum page length for feasibility documentation will be specified by the TOPIC. If you have references, include a reference list or works cited list as the last page of the feasibility documentation. This will count towards the page limit.
3. Work submitted within the feasibility documentation must have been substantially performed by the proposer and/or the PI.
4. If technology in the feasibility documentation is subject to Intellectual Property (IP), the proposer must either own the IP, or must have obtained license rights to such technology prior to proposal submission, to enable it and its subcontractors to legally carry out the proposed work. Documentation of IP ownership or license rights shall be included in the Technical Volume of the proposal.
5. Include a one-page summary on Commercialization Potential addressing the following:
 - i. Does the company contain marketing expertise and, if not, how will that expertise be brought into the company?
 - ii. Describe the potential for commercial (Government or private sector) application and the benefits expected to accrue from this commercialization.

DO NOT INCLUDE marketing material. Marketing material will NOT be evaluated.

PART TWO: Technical Proposal

1. Significance of the Problem. Define the specific technical problem or opportunity addressed and its importance.
2. Phase II Technical Objectives. Enumerate the specific objectives of the Phase II work, and describe the technical approach and methods to be used in meeting these objectives.
3. Phase II Statement of Work. The statement of work should provide an explicit, detailed description of the Phase II approach, indicate what is planned, how and where the work will be carried out, a schedule of major events and the final product to be delivered. The methods planned to achieve each objective or task should be discussed explicitly and in detail. This section should be a substantial portion of the total proposal.
 - a. Human/Animal Use: Proposers proposing research involving human and/or animal use are encouraged to separate these tasks in the technical proposal and cost proposal in order to avoid potential delay of contract award.
 - b. Phase II Option Statement of Work (if applicable, specified in the corresponding TOPIC). The statement of work should provide an explicit, detailed description of the activities planned during the Phase II Option, if exercised. Include how and where the work will be

carried out, a schedule of major events and the final product to be delivered. The methods planned to achieve each objective or task should be discussed explicitly and in detail.

4. **Related Work.** Describe significant activities directly related to the proposed effort, including any conducted by the PI, the proposer, consultants or others. Describe how these activities interface with the proposed project and discuss any planned coordination with outside sources. The proposal must persuade reviewers of the proposer's awareness of the state of the art in the specific topic. Describe previous work not directly related to the proposed effort but similar. Provide the following: (1) short description, (2) client for which work was performed (including individual to be contacted and phone number) and (3) date of completion.
5. **Relationship with Future Research or Research and Development.**
 - i. State the anticipated results of the proposed approach if the project is successful.
 - ii. Discuss the significance of the Phase II effort in providing a foundation for Phase III research and development or commercialization effort.
6. **Key Personnel.** Identify key personnel who will be involved in the Phase II effort including information on directly related education and experience. A concise resume of the PI, including a list of relevant publications (if any), must be included. All resumes count toward the page limitation. Identify any foreign nationals you expect to be involved on this project.
7. **Foreign Citizens.** Identify any foreign citizens or individuals holding dual citizenship expected to be involved on this project as a direct employee, subcontractor, or consultant. For these individuals, please specify their country of origin, the type of visa or work permit under which they are performing and an explanation of their anticipated level of involvement on this project. Refer to section 3.2 of this BAA for more information. Supplemental information provided in response to this paragraph will be protected in accordance with the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C. 552a), if applicable, and the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552(b)(6)).
8. **Facilities/Equipment.** Describe available instrumentation and physical facilities necessary to carry out the Phase II effort. Items of equipment to be purchased (as detailed in the cost proposal) shall be justified under this section. Also state whether or not the facilities where the proposed work will be performed meet environmental laws and regulations of federal, state (name) and local Governments for, but not limited to, the following groupings: airborne emissions, waterborne effluents, external radiation levels, outdoor noise, solid and bulk waste disposal practices and handling and storage of toxic and hazardous materials.
9. **Subcontractors/Consultants.** Involvement of a university or other subcontractors or consultants in the project may be appropriate. If such involvement is intended, it should be identified and described according to the Cost Breakdown Guidance. Please refer to section 3 of this BAA for detailed eligibility requirements as it pertains to the use of subcontractors/consultants.
10. **Prior, Current or Pending Support of Similar Proposals or Awards.** If a proposal submitted in response to this topic is substantially the same as another proposal that was funded, is now being funded, or is pending with another Federal Agency, or another or the same DoD Component, you must reveal this on the Proposal Cover Sheet and provide the following information:
 - a. Name and address of the Federal Agency(s) or DoD Component to which a proposal was submitted, will be submitted, or from which an award is expected or has been received.
 - b. Date of proposal submission or date of award.
 - c. Title of proposal.
 - d. Name and title of the PI for each proposal submitted or award received.

- e. Title, number, and date of BAA(s) or solicitation(s) under which the proposal was submitted, will be submitted, or under which award is expected or has been received.
- f. If award was received, state contract number.
- g. Specify the applicable topics for each proposal submitted or award received.

Note: If this does not apply, state in the proposal "No prior, current, or pending support for proposed work."

11. Transition and Commercialization Strategy. DARPA is equally interested in dual use commercialization of SBIR/STTR projects that result in products sold to the U.S. military, the private sector market, or both. DARPA expects explicit discussion of key activities to achieve this result in the transition and commercialization strategy part of the proposal. The Technical Volume of each Direct to Phase II proposal must include a transition and commercialization strategy section. The Phase II transition and commercialization strategy shall not exceed 5 pages, and will NOT count against the proposal page limit.

Information contained in the commercialization strategy section will be used to determine suitability for participation in EEI. Selection for participation in EEI will be made independently following selection for SBIR/STTR award. Please refer to section 2.6 of this BAA for more information on the DARPA EEI and additional proposal requirements.

The transition and commercialization strategy should include the following elements:

- a. A summary of transition and commercialization activities conducted during Phase I, and the Technology Readiness Level (TRL) achieved. Discuss the market, competitive landscape, potential stakeholders and end-users, and how the preliminary transition and commercialization path or paths may evolve during the Phase II project. Describe key proposed technical milestones during Phase II that will advance the technology towards product such as: prototype development, laboratory and systems testing, integration, testing in operational environment, and demonstrations.
- b. Problem or Need Statement. Briefly describe what you know of the problem, need, or requirement, and its significance relevant to a Department of Defense application and/or a private sector application that the SBIR/STTR project results would address. Is there a broader societal need you are trying to address? Please describe.
- c. Description of Product(s) and/or System Application(s). Identify the commercial product(s) and/or DoD system(s), or system(s) under development, or potential new system(s). Identify the potential DoD end- users, Federal customers, and/or private sector customers who would likely use the technology.
- d. Business Model(s)/Procurement Mechanism(s). Discuss your current business model hypothesis for bringing the technology to market. Describe plans to license, partner, or self-produce your product. How do you plan to generate revenue? Describe the resources you expect will be needed to implement your business models. Discuss your plan and expected timeline to secure these resources. Understanding DARPA's goal of creating and sustaining a U.S. military advantage, describe how you intend to develop your product and supply chains to enable this differentiation.
- e. Target Market. Describe the market and addressable market for the innovation. Describe the customer sets you propose to target, their size, their growth rate, and the key reasons they would consider procuring the technology. Discuss the business economics and market drivers in the target industry. Describe competing technologies existent today on the market as well as those being developed in the lab. How has the market opportunity been validated? Describe the competition. How do you expect the competitive landscape may change by the time your product/service enters the market?

- f. **Funding Requirements.** Describe your company's funding history. How much external financing have you raised? Describe your plans for future funding sources (internal, loan, angel, venture capital, etc.).
- g. **Transition and Commercialization Risks.** Describe the major technology, market and team risks associated with achieving successful transition of the DARPA funded technology. DARPA is not afraid to take risks but we want to ensure that our awardees clearly understand the risks in front of them. What are the key risks in bringing your innovation to market? What are actions you plan to undertake to mitigate these risks?
- h. **Expertise/Qualifications of Team/Company Readiness.** Describe the expertise and qualifications of your management, marketing/business development and technical team that will support the transition of the technology from the prototype to the commercial market and into government operational environments. Has this team previously taken similar products/services to market? If the present team does not have this needed expertise, how do you intend to obtain it? What is the financial history and health of your company (e.g., availability of cash, profitability, revenue growth, etc.)?
- i. **Anticipated Transition and Commercialization Results.** Include a schedule showing the anticipated quantitative transition and commercialization results from the Phase II project at one year after the start of Phase II, at the completion of Phase II, and after the completion of Phase II (i.e., amount of additional investment, sales revenue, etc.). After Phase II award, the company is required to report actual sales and investment data in its Company Commercialization Report at least annually.

Advocacy Letters (OPTIONAL)* Feedback received from potential Commercial and/or DoD customers and other end-users regarding their interest in the technology to support their capability gaps. Advocacy letters that are faxed or e-mailed separately will NOT be accepted.

Letters of Intent/Commitment (OPTIONAL)* Relationships established, feedback received, support and commitment for the technology with one or more of the following: Commercial customer, DoD PM/PEO, a Defense Prime, or vendor/supplier to the Primes and/or other vendors/suppliers identified as having a potential role in the integration of the technology into fielded systems/products or those under development. Letters of Intent/Commitment that are faxed or e- mailed separately will NOT be accepted.

*Advocacy Letters and Letters of Intent/Commitment are optional, and should ONLY be submitted to substantiate any transition or commercialization claims made in the commercialization strategy. Please DO NOT submit these letters just for the sake of including them in your proposal. These letters DO NOT count against any page limit.

In accordance with section 3-209 of DOD 5500.7-R, Joint Ethics Regulation, letters from government personnel will NOT be considered during the evaluation process.

d. Format of Cost Volume (Volume 3)

Proposers are required to use the Direct to Phase II – Volume 3: Cost Proposal Template (Excel Spreadsheet) provided as an attachment to this announcement. The Cost Volume (and supporting documentation) DOES NOT count toward the page limit of the Technical Volume.

e. Content of the Cost Volume (Volume 3)

Some items in the Cost Breakdown Guidance below may not apply to the proposed project. If such is the case, there is no need to provide information on each and every item.

ALL proposed costs should be accompanied by documentation to substantiate how the cost was derived. For example, if you proposed travel cost to attend a project-related meeting or conference, and used a travel website to compare flight costs, include a screen shot of the comparison. Similarly, if you proposed to purchase materials or equipment, and used the internet to search for the best source, include your market research for those items. You do not necessarily have to propose the cheapest item or supplier, but you should explain your decision to choose one item or supplier over another. It's important to provide enough information to allow contracting personnel to understand how the proposer plans to use the requested funds. If selected for award, failure to include the documentation with your proposal will delay contract negotiation, and the proposer will be asked to submit the necessary documentation to the Contracting Officer to substantiate costs (e.g., cost estimates for equipment, materials, and consultants or subcontractors). It is important to respond as quickly as possible to the Contracting Officer's request for documentation.

Cost Breakdown Guidance:

1. List all key personnel by name as well as by number of hours dedicated to the project as direct labor. Special tooling and test equipment and material cost may be included. The inclusion of equipment and material will be carefully reviewed relative to need and appropriateness for the work proposed. The purchase of special tooling and test equipment must, in the opinion of the Contracting Officer, be advantageous to the Government and should be related directly to the specific topic. These may include such items as innovative instrumentation and/or automatic test equipment. Title to property furnished by the Government or acquired with Government funds will be vested with DARPA; unless it is determined that transfer of title to the contractor would be more cost effective than recovery of the equipment by the DARPA.
2. Cost for travel funds must be justified and related to the needs of the project.
3. Cost sharing is permitted for proposals under this announcement; however, cost sharing is not required nor will it be an evaluation factor in the consideration of a proposal.
4. All subcontractor costs and consultant costs must be detailed at the same level as prime contractor costs in regard to labor, travel, equipment, etc. Provide detailed substantiation of subcontractor costs in your cost proposal. Enter this information in the Explanatory Material section of the on-line cost proposal form. The Supporting Documents Volume (Volume 5) may be used if additional space is needed.

For more information about cost proposals and accounting standards, see the DCAA publication titled "Audit Process Overview – Information for Contractors" available at: <http://www.dcaa.mil>.

f. Company Commercialization Report (Volume 4)

The Company Commercialization Report (CCR) allows companies to report funding outcomes resulting from prior SBIR and STTR awards. The Company Commercialization Report (CCR) is required for Phase I and Direct to Phase II proposals. Please refer to the DoD STTR Program BAA for full details on this requirement. Information contained in the CCR will not be considered by DARPA during proposal evaluations.

g. Supporting Documents (Volume 5)

In addition to required DoD documentation and certifications, small businesses may also submit additional documentation to support the Technical Volume (Volume 2) and the Cost Volume (Volume 3) in Volume 5.

h. Fraud Waste and Abuse (Volume 6)

The Fraud, Waste and Abuse (FWA) training is required for Phase I and Direct to Phase II proposals. FWA training provides information on what represents FWA in the SBIR/STTR program, the most common mistakes that lead to FWA, as well as the penalties and ways to prevent FWA in your firm. This training material must be thoroughly reviewed once per year. Plan ahead and leave ample time to complete this training based on the proposal submission deadline. Knowingly and willfully making any false, fictitious, or fraudulent statements or representations may be a felony under the Federal Criminal False Statement Act (18 U.S.C. Sec 1001), punishable by a fine of up to \$10,000, up to five years in prison, or both. Understanding the indicators and types of fraud, waste, and abuse that can occur is critical for the SBIR/STTR awardees' role in preventing the loss of research dollars.

DAPAR SBIR 22.4 Topic Index
Release 7

HR0011SB20224-11 Some Nonparametric Approaches for Open-world Novelty (SNAP-ON)

HR0011SB20224-11 TITLE: Some Nonparametric Approaches for Open-world Novelty (SNAP-ON)

OUSD (R&E) MODERNIZATION PRIORITY: Artificial Intelligence (AI)/Machine Learning

TECHNOLOGY AREA(S): Information Systems

OBJECTIVE: Demonstrate the feasibility of AI systems equipped with nonparametric techniques to 1) detect unexpected changes in the world, 2) characterize the nature of the changes, and 3) accommodate novelty by adjusting the world model and adapting behavior.

DESCRIPTION: Military uses of AI are anticipated to be widespread. Military operations are typically characterized by novel situations, which arise in open worlds. We use the term “novelty” here to refer to situations that violate implicit or explicit assumptions about agents, the environment, or their interactions. As AI increasingly becomes ubiquitous for various aspects of military operations (including in decision support, human-machine collaboration, and autonomy), it will be essential for military AI applications to be aware of novelty in open worlds and capable of acting appropriately and effectively when confronted by novel situations.

DARPA’s Science of Artificial Intelligence and Learning for Open-world Novelty (SAIL-ON) program has been addressing the issue of open-world AI. SAIL-ON seeks to develop the underlying scientific principles and general engineering techniques and algorithms needed to create AI systems that act appropriately and effectively in novel situations which occur in open worlds. The objectives of the program are to 1) Develop scientific principles to quantify and characterize novelty in open world domains, 2) create AI systems that act appropriately and effectively in open world domains, and 3) demonstrate and evaluate in DoD domain/IV&V.

For the purposes of this topic, we assume that novelty comes from the SAIL-ON developed novelty hierarchy [2][4]. The key technical challenges associated with this SBIR topic include: developing domain-independent technical approaches that can address detection, characterization, and accommodation of novelty from all novelty hierarchy levels; and identifying “snap-on” technologies that can be added to already existing agents in both action and perception-oriented domains.

[Removed Duplicated Phase I Section]

PHASE I: This topic is accepting Direct to Phase II proposals ONLY. Proposers must demonstrate that they have already achieved the following baseline capabilities from other efforts outside of the SBIR program:

- Demonstrated and quantified ability to detect and accommodate unforeseen novelties resulting from changes in objects, agents, actions, relations, and interactions, using nonparametric techniques in at least 3 distinct test environments, with independently validated results, e.g. [3]
- Identified approaches for characterizing unforeseen novelties
- Preliminary theoretical mathematical framework for open-world learning
- Tested, benchmarked, and documented system Architecture, API, and UI
- Demonstrated compatibility with DARPA SAIL-ON objectives

Documentation supporting the capability and experience described in this paragraph may consist of patent applications, patents awarded, research reports or data from externally funded research, research reports or data from internally funded research, refereed technical conference presentations and/or refereed technical publications.

Proposers interested in submitting a Direct to Phase II (DP2) proposal must provide documentation to substantiate that the scientific and technical merit and feasibility described above has been met and describes the potential commercial applications. Documentation should include all relevant information including, but not limited to: technical reports, test data, prototype designs/models, and performance goals/results.

PHASE II: Performers will focus on extending their nonparametric-enabled system to detect, characterize, and accommodate novelties resulting from changes to the environment, goals, and events. Additionally, performers will:

- Collaborate with government and SAIL-ON performers to develop theory of open-world novelties
- Participate in SAIL-ON PI meetings and program-wide evaluations
- Maintain compatibility with DARPA SAIL-ON objectives

Schedule/Milestones/Deliverables

- Month 3: Report on compatibility with DARPA SAIL-ON objectives, current implementation, and plans for evaluation
- Month 9: Interim report on results of evaluation, any resulting revision in plans, compatibility with DARPA SAIL-ON objectives, and current implementation
- Month 12: Final Phase II report documenting final system architecture; scientific, technical, and programmatic advances; results of all evaluations; and theory development
- Month 15 (Option): Draft business plan and identification of commercial task domain. The business plan should identify:
 - potential customers and task domains
 - expected TRL at the end of option period
 - pricing (software licenses/services, etc.)
 - customer implementation benefits– i.e., What would the customer gain in terms of efficiency, productivity, new market access, etc?
 - customer implementation costs – i.e. what additional work has to be performed for a customer to use the capability? What would this cost, initially and ongoing/maintenance?
 - feasibility of implementation – i.e. what factors determine if the system can be implemented by the customer? e.g. domain and task characteristics, minimum qualitative and quantitative metrics, technical and human aspects, baseline agent requirements, frequency of novelty, etc.
- Month 22 (Option): Demonstration that the system’s TRL level meets or exceeds the Month 15 target. Validation of feasibility of implementation using identified commercial task domain
- Month 23/24 (Option): Final report, comprising of business plan for offering a product and/or service to commercial and DoD customers for novelty aware AI systems and associated product fact sheet

PHASE III DUAL USE APPLICATIONS: The ability to develop nonparametric approaches for open-world novelty is anticipated to offer more robust AI systems. Commercial and DoD applications include: autonomous unmanned air vehicles, autonomous unmanned ground vehicles, autonomous underwater vehicles, intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance, satellite farming, and commercial manufacturing.

REFERENCES:

1. Boulton, T., P. Grabowicz, D. Prijatelj, R. Stern, L. Holder, J. Alspector, M. M. Jafarzadeh, T. Ahmad, A. Dhamija, C. Li, S. Cruz, A. Shrivastava, C. Vondrick, and S. Walter. “Towards a Unifying Framework for Formal Theories of Novelty”. Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, vol. 35, no. 17, May 2021, pp. 15047-52, <https://ojs.aaai.org/index.php/AAAI/article/view/17766>.
2. Doctor, K., Task, C., Kildebeck, E., Kejriwal, M., Holder, L., Leong, R. “Toward Defining a Domain Complexity Measure Across Domains”. AAAI Spring Symposium, March 2022. https://usc-isi-i2.github.io/AAAI2022SS/papers/SSS-22_paper_79.pdf

3. Pinto, V., Renz, J., Xue, C., Zhang, P., Doctor, K., Aha, D. “Measuring the Performance of Open-World AI Systems” AAAI Spring Symposium, March 2022.
https://usc-isi-i2.github.io/AAAI2022SS/papers/SSS-22_paper_73.pdf
4. SAIL-ON Broad Agency Announcement
<https://sam.gov/opp/88fdca99de93ddbb74cd8fb51916ceaa/view>

KEYWORDS: Artificial Intelligence; Open-world; Novelty; Adaptability; Automation; Autonomy; Resilience