

 PUBLIC NOTICE NUMBER: SPN-2022-00295

 PUBLIC NOTICE DATE: August 26, 2022

 COMMENTS DUE DATE: September 10, 2022

 PERMIT MANAGER: Melissa France

 TELEPHONE: 415-503-6768

E-MAIL: Melissa.M.France@usace.army.mil

1. **INTRODUCTION**: The City of San Rafael (Contact: Scott Bodensteiner, Haley and Aldrich, Inc., 2033 North Main Street, Suite 309, Walnut Creek, CA 94596) has applied for a ten-year Department of the Army permit to conduct maintenance dredging within the San Rafael Creek adjacent to San Rafael Creek federal navigation channel at residential and commercial docks and harbors, located in San Rafael, Marin County, California. The purpose of the proposed dredging is to return the creek to its original design depths in order to facilitate safe navigational depths for recreational vessels. This Department of the Army Permit application is being processed pursuant to the provisions of Section 404 of the Clean Water Act of 1972, as amended (33 U.S.C. § 1344 et seq.) and Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899, as amended (33 U.S.C. § 403 et seq.).

2. **PROPOSED PROJECT**:

Project Site Location: The project site located in the City of San Rafael, Marin County, California.

Project Site Description: The site encompasses approximately 51 acres and is located within the nonfederal portion of San Rafael Creek at, in the City of San Rafael, Marin County, as depicted in Figures 1-6.

Project Description: As shown in the attached drawings, the applicant plans to remove approximately 56,950 cubic yards (cys) of sediment from the 4.8-acre (approximately) basin in an initial episode and a total of 250,000 cys over the life of the permit. The design depth for the proposed project is -6 feet MLLW plus an

additional 1-foot overdredge allowance in the main San Rafael Creek, and -8 feet MLLW, plus an additional 1foot overdredge allowance in Loch Lomond Marina and entrance channel. The material would be removed using a clamshell or excavator and removed by barge to an inbay disposal site, such as the San Pablo Bay Disposal site (SF-10) or a permitted beneficial reuse site.

Prior to each dredging episode, the Dredge Material Management Office (DMMO) will evaluate the sediments to be dredged for disposal or reuse suitability. The DMMO includes representatives from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission (BCDC), San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB), and the Corps. The DMMO is tasked with approving sampling and analysis plans in conformity with testing manuals, reviewing the test results and reaching consensus regarding a suitable disposition for the material.

Basic Project Purpose: The basic project purpose comprises the fundamental, essential, or irreducible purpose of the project, and is used by the Corps to determine whether the project is water dependent. Although the purpose of the project, as stated above, is for safe navigational depths, for consideration in Section 404(b)(1) (Clean Water Act), the basic purpose of the project is navigation.

Overall Project Purpose: The overall project purpose serves as the basis for the Section 404(b)(1) alternatives analysis and is determined by further defining the basic project purpose in a manner that

more specifically describes the applicant's goals for the project, while allowing a reasonable range of alternatives to be analyzed. The overall project purpose is the disposal of dredged material from maintenance dredge projects in the San Francisco Bay Region consistent with the adopted LTMS (Long Term Management Strategy for the Placement of Dredged Material in the San Francisco Bay Region) EIR/EIS and LTMS Management Plan of 2001.

Project Impacts: The detrimental effects on erosion/sedimentation rates, substrate, water quality, fish habitat, air quality, and noise are all expected to be minor and short term. No permanent negative effects such as undesired substrate alteration, decreased water quality, loss of fish habitat, decrease air quality, and noise pollution are anticipated. The beneficial effects on economics, employment, safety and navigation, and of the removal of contaminants, are major and long term.

Proposed Mitigation: The proposed dredging would not result in a permanent loss of waters of the United States. Temporary impacts to aquatic resources would be mitigated by proposed minimization and avoidance measures, including conducting work only within the permitted environmental work windows. Therefore, no compensatory mitigation is proposed or required.

3. STATE AND LOCAL APPROVALS:

Water Quality Certification: State water quality certification or a waiver is a prerequisite for the issuance of a Department of the Army Permit to conduct any activity which may result in a fill or pollutant discharge into waters of the United States, pursuant to Section 401 of the Clean Water Act of 1972, as amended (33 U.S.C. § 1341 *et seq.*). The applicant has recently submitted an application to the California Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB) to obtain water quality certification for the project. No Department of the Army Permit will be issued until the applicant obtains the required certification or a waiver of certification. A waiver can be explicit, or it may be presumed if the RWQCB fails or refuses to act on a complete application for water quality certification within 60 days of receipt, unless the District Engineer determines a shorter or longer period is a reasonable time for the RWQCB to act.

Water quality issues should be directed to the Executive Officer, California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Francisco Bay Region, 1515 Clay Street, Suite 1400, Oakland, California 94612 by the close of the comment period.

Coastal Zone Management: Section 307(c) of the Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972, as amended (16 U.S.C. § 1456(c) *et seq.*), requires an applicant seeking a federal license or permit to conduct any activity occurring in or affecting the coastal zone to obtain a Consistency Certification that indicates the activity conforms with the state's coastal zone management program. Generally, no federal license or permit will be granted until the appropriate state agency has issued a Consistency Certification or has waived its right to do so.

Coastal zone management issues should be directed to the Executive Director, San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission, 375 Beale Street, Suite 510, San Francisco, California 94105, by the close of the comment period.

4. COMPLIANCE WITH VARIOUS FEDERAL LAWS:

National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA): Upon review of the Department of the Army Permit application and other supporting documentation, the Corps has made a *preliminary* determination that the project neither qualifies for a Categorical Exclusion nor requires the preparation of an Environmental Impact Statement for the purposes of NEPA. At the conclusion of the public comment period, the Corps will assess the environmental impacts of the project in accordance with the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. §§ 4321-4347), the Council on Environmental Quality's Regulations at 40 C.F.R. Parts 1500-1508, and the Corps Regulations at 33 C.F.R. Part 325. The final NEPA analysis will normally address the direct, indirect, and cumulative impacts that result from regulated activities within the jurisdiction of the Corps and other non-regulated activities the Corps determines to be within its purview of federal control and responsibility to justify an expanded scope of analysis for NEPA purposes. The final NEPA analysis will be incorporated in the decision documentation that provides the rationale for issuing or denying a Department of the Army Permit for the project. The final NEPA analysis and supporting documentation will be on file with the San Francisco District, Regulatory Division.

Endangered Species Act (ESA): Section 7(a)(2) of the ESA of 1973, as amended (16 U.S.C. § 1531 et seq.), requires federal agencies to consult with either the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) or the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) to ensure actions authorized, funded, or undertaken by the agency are not likely to jeopardize the continued existence of any federally-listed species or result in the adverse modification of designated critical habitat. Based on this review, the Corps has made a determination that the following preliminary federally-listed species and designated critical habitat are present at the project location or in its vicinity, and may be affected by project implementation.

Sacramento River winter-run Chinook salmon (Oncorhynchus tshawytscha) were federally-listed as endangered on January 4, 1994 (59 Fed. Reg.442). Adult winter-run Chinook salmon migrate through San Francisco Bay, as well as Suisun Bay and Honker Bay, to spawning areas in the upper Sacramento River during the late fall and early winter. Juveniles travel downstream through San Francisco Bay to the Pacific Ocean in the late fall as well. The movements of adult and juvenile salmon through the Bay system are thought to be rapid during these migrations. Since impacts to the water column during disposal events would be shortterm, localized and minor in magnitude, no potentially adverse effects to winter-run Chinook salmon that may be near the disposal site are anticipated, if the dredge work is conducted from June 1 through November 30.

Central Valley Spring-Run ESU Chinook salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*) were listed as threatened on September 16, 1999 (64 FR 50394). Spring-run Chinook salmon typically migrate upstream through San Francisco Bay to spawning areas between March and July. Spawning usually occurs between late-August and early October with a peak in September. Juveniles travel downstream through San Francisco Bay in late fall to spring and then to the Pacific Ocean once they have undergone smoltification. Since impacts to the water column during disposal events would be shortterm, localized and minor in magnitude, no potentially adverse effects to spring-run Chinook salmon that may be near the disposal site are anticipated, if the dredge work is conducted from June 1 through November 30.

Central California populations of steelhead trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) were federally classified as threatened in August 1997. The steelhead that occur in San Francisco Bay are included in this distinct population segment and therefore receive protection under the Endangered Species Act. There is concern that steelhead migrating through the Bay to streams in the North Bay might enter San Francisco Bay and San Rafael Yacht Harbor. If a permit is issued for this proposed project it will contain a condition that dredging is allowed only from June 1 through November 30 in any year, without consultation (pursuant to Section 7 of the ESA) with and approval from NMFS and the Corps.

The Central Valley California Distinct Population Segment (DPS) steelhead (Oncorhynchus mykiss) was federally-listed as threatened on March 19, 1998 (63 FR 13347), and were reconfirmed as threatened on January 5, 2006 (71 FR 834). Critical habitat for central valley California steelhead was designated on September 2, 2005 (70 FR 52488). The DPS includes all naturally spawned populations of steelhead (and their progeny) in the Sacramento and San Joaquin Rivers and their tributaries. Excluded are steelhead from San Francisco and San Pablo Bays and their tributaries. All Central Valley steelhead are currently considered winter steelhead. Juvenile steelheads live in freshwater between one and four years, then become smolts and migrate to the sea from November through To protect the Central Valley steelhead, Mav.

dredging shall only occur from June 1 through November 30.

The North American green sturgeon (Acipenser medirosrtis) was listed as threatened under the Endangered Species Act on July 6, 2006 (71 Fed. Reg. 17757). Critical habitat for the North American green sturgeon southern DPS includes the Sacramento River, lower Feather River, lower Yuba River, Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta, Suisun Bay, San Pablo Bay, and San Francisco Bay in California and was designated on October 9, 2009 (74 FR 52300). The southern DPS consists of populations originating from coastal watersheds south of the Eel River with spawning confirmed in the Sacramento River system. Adult green sturgeon must travel through the San Francisco Estuary to pass between the ocean and the Upper Sacramento River Basin spawning area. Additionally, the San Francisco Estuary provides important rearing and holding areas for juvenile and sub-adult green sturgeon.

If a permit is issued for this proposed project it will contain a condition that dredging is allowed only from June 1 through November 30. Dredging outside this environmental work window would require consultation with the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) (pursuant to Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act) and approval from the NMFS and the Corps.

Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (MSFCMA): Section 305(b)(2) of the MSFCMA of 1966, as amended (16 U.S.C. § 1801 et seq.), requires federal agencies to consult with the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) on all proposed actions authorized, funded, or undertaken by the agency that may adversely affect essential fish habitat (EFH). EFH is defined as those waters and substrate necessary to fish for spawning, breeding, feeding, or growth to maturity. EFH is designated only for those species managed under a Federal Fisheries Management Plan (FMP), such as the Pacific Groundfish FMP, the Coastal Pelagics FMP, and the Pacific Coast Salmon FMP. As the federal lead agency for this project, the Corps has conducted a review of digital maps prepared by NMFS depicting EFH to

determine the presence or absence of EFH in the project area. Based on this review, the Corps has made a preliminary determination that EFH is present at the project location or in its vicinity, and that the critical elements of EFH may be adversely affected by project implementation. The proposed project is located within an area managed under the Pacific Groundfish, the Coastal Pelagic and/or the Pacific Coast Salmon FMPs.

The Corps and NMFS completed a programmatic EFH consultation on June 9, 2011 for maintenance dredging. One of NMFS's key concerns with dredging is potential impacts to eelgrass beds. The "Baywide Eelgrass Inventory of San Francisco Bay," prepared by Merkel and Associates, dated October 2004, does not show the area in and around San Rafael Yacht Harbor as having any eelgrass beds. Therefore, eelgrass is not expected to be established in this area and the Corps does not anticipate that the proposed dredging would affect eelgrass. Therefore, eelgrass minimization measures are not required.

National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA): Section 106 of the NHPA of 1966, as amended (16 U.S.C. § 470 et seq.), requires federal agencies to State Historic consult with the appropriate Preservation Officer to take into account the effects of their undertakings on historic properties listed in or eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places. Section 106 of the NHPA further requires federal agencies to consult with the appropriate Tribal Historic Preservation Officer or any Indian tribe to take into account the effects of their undertakings on historic properties, including traditional cultural properties, trust resources, and sacred sites, to which Indian tribes attach historic, religious, and cultural significance.


Because the San Rafael Creek has been previously dredged, historic or archeological resources are not expected to occur in the project vicinity. If unrecorded archaeological resources are discovered during project implementation, those operations affecting such resources will be temporarily suspended until the Corps concludes Section 106 consultation with the State Historic Preservation Officer or the Tribal Historic Preservation Officer to take into account any project related impacts to those resources.


5. COMPLIANCE WITH THE **SECTION** 404(b)(1) GUIDELINES: Projects resulting in discharges of dredged or fill material into waters of the United States must comply with the Guidelines promulgated bv the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency under Section 404(b) of the Clean Water Act (33 U.S.C. § 1344(b)). An evaluation pursuant to the Guidelines indicates the disposal of dredged material is not dependent on location in or proximity to waters of the United States to achieve the basic project purpose. This conclusion raises the (rebuttable) presumption of the availability of a less environmentally damaging practicable alternative to the project that does not require the discharge of dredged or fill material into waters of the U.S.

6. PUBLIC INTEREST EVALUTION: The decision on whether to issue a Department of the Army Permit will be based on an evaluation of the probable impacts, including cumulative impacts, of the project and its intended use on the public interest. Evaluation of the probable impacts requires a careful weighing of the public interest factors relevant in each particular case. The benefits that may accrue from the project must be balanced against any reasonably foreseeable detriments of project implementation. The decision on permit issuance will, therefore, reflect the national concern for both protection and utilization of important resources. Public interest factors which may be relevant to the decision process include conservation. economics. aesthetics. general environmental concerns, wetlands, cultural values, fish and wildlife values, flood hazards, floodplain values, land use, navigation, shore erosion and accretion, recreation, water supply and conservation, water quality, energy needs, safety, food and fiber production, mineral needs, considerations of property ownership, and, in general, the needs and welfare of the people.

7. CONSIDERATION OF COMMENTS: The Corps is soliciting comments from the public; federal, state and local agencies and officials; Native American Nations or other tribal governments; and other interested parties in order to consider and evaluate the impacts of the project. All comments received by the Corps will be considered in the decision on whether to issue, modify, condition, or deny a Department of the Army Permit for the project. To make this decision, comments are used to assess impacts on endangered species, historic properties, water quality, and other environmental or public interest factors addressed in a final environmental assessment or environmental impact statement. Comments are also used to determine the need for a public hearing and to determine the overall public interest of the project.

8. SUBMITTING COMMENTS: During the specified comment period, interested parties may submit written comments to Melissa France, San Francisco District, Operations and Readiness Division, 450 Golden Gate Avenue, 4th Floor, Room California 94102-3404; 1111. San Francisco, comment letters should cite the project name, applicant name, and public notice number to facilitate review by the Permit Manager. Comments may include a request for a public hearing on the project prior to a determination on the Department of the Army permit application; such requests shall state, with particularity, the reasons for holding a public hearing. All substantive comments will be forwarded to the applicant for resolution or rebuttal. Additional project information or details on any subsequent project modifications of a minor nature may be obtained from the applicant and/or agent, or by contacting the Permit Manager by telephone or e-mail cited in the public notice letterhead. An electronic version of this public notice may be viewed under the Current Public Notices tab on the US Army Corps of Engineers. Francisco website: San District http://www.spn.usace.army.mil/Missions/Regulatory.





PARTICIPATING PROPERTY

FEDERAL CHANNEL PERIMETER

DREDGE BOUNDARY

NOTES


1. ALL LOCATIONS AND DIMENSIONS ARE APPROXIMATE.


2. BUILDING DATA SOURCE: CITY OF SAN RAFAEL

3. ASSESSOR PARCEL DATA SOURCE: MARIN COUNTY


4. AERIAL IMAGERY SOURCE: NEARMAP, 22 MAY 2021


FIGURE INDEX






	LABEL	ADDRESS		LABEL	ADDRESS
(Carton)	1	473 3RD ST	-	92	375 FRANCISCO BLVD E
	2	351-471 3RD ST	-	93 94	377 FRANCISCO BLVD E 200 YACHT CLUB DR
	3	209-353 3RD ST	-	94 95	445 FRANCISCO BLVD E
	3.5	207-353 SKD 31 201 3RD ST	-	96	475 FRANCISCO BLVD E
1-11				97	100 YACHT CLUB DR
1.000	4	179-181 3RD ST		98 99	511 FRANCISCO BLVD E 513 FRANCISCO BLVD E
	5	171 3RD ST		100	9 BAY ST
1000	6	145-151 3RD ST		100	535 FRANCISCO BLVD E
1000	7	143 3RD ST		102	40-60 BAY ST
07.44	8	135 3RD ST		103	557 FRANCISCO BLVD E
an	9	115-117 3RD ST		104 105	27 HARBOR ST 37 HARBOR ST
	10	111 3RD ST	-	105	41-47 HARBOR ST
5 1	11	27-101 3RD ST		107	49 HARBOR ST
100	12	25 3RD ST	•	108	61 HARBOR ST
	13	19-21 3RD ST	•	109 110	51-57 HARBOR ST 619 CANAL ST
		11-17 3RD ST		111	620 CANAL ST
	14		4	112	616 CANAL ST
	15	5-9 3RD ST		113	550 CANAL ST
	16	1 3RD ST		114 115	540 CANAL ST 534 CANAL ST
S. 9		the little		115	FOO CANAL CT
100	-	100	L	6 M	S30 CANAL ST
		110 . 50			K ↓
		a second and the first second s		23.22	Z
					1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
113		1. 1. 1		23	A This
	<u> </u>	10		9	Car 100
		10			2 1 10 3
		L 11			~ / Ea
					23
					[12]
					13
					14
(11) - [-3	12				
	14-16				
1	Sec.				
100	40.8	111 / /1	>		
	5.00	1 4	11	//	
			"	/	
	1		/	112	132 ~
	\wedge	///		112	13517
	110	CAN VI		/	
	110	· · · · ·			113 No 11
1200		2.2			
		CAWAL ST		13	D] /114////
		1 57 5		13	113 114
		J ST ST		1	D] /114////
108				14	D] /114////
103	109	ST S		1	114
108		CITY OF SAN RAFAEL			114
108 HX		A HARD			114
108		CITY OF SAN RAFAEL			114
108		CITY OF SAN RAFAEL		IA	114
108		CITY OF SAN RAFAEL SAN RAFAEL, CALIFO	RN		114
108		CITY OF SAN RAFAEL	RN		114
108		CITY OF SAN RAFAEL SAN RAFAEL, CALIFO	RN		114
108		CITY OF SAN RAFAEL SAN RAFAEL, CALIFO	RN		US
		CITY OF SAN RAFAEL SAN RAFAEL, CALIFO	RN		114











PARTICIPATING PROPERTY

FEDERAL CHANNEL PERIMETER

DREDGE BOUNDARY

NOTES


1. ALL LOCATIONS AND DIMENSIONS ARE APPROXIMATE.


2. BUILDING DATA SOURCE: CITY OF SAN RAFAEL

3. ASSESSOR PARCEL DATA SOURCE: MARIN COUNTY

4. AERIAL IMAGERY SOURCE: NEARMAP, 22 MAY 2021

FIGURE INDEX





15	48	49 50-	/			
	it is	60/		/		
-	_			1	146	3
			P	1	145	
				5	147	>
				2	144	/
					14	8
CT-	1	140 141	-		143 AMALEI PL	
112	J 139		7	142	In P	
		141.5	٢	10		
~	4	ZAR		5 3	and the second sec	
	107	138				
m	137					
136				LABEL	ADDRESS	
Y			1	122	440 CANAL ST	_
6		1 1 M	5.0	123	430 CANAL ST	-
1	1		14	124	420 CANAL ST	6
100	1	11 11		125	400 CANAL ST 260 CANAL ST	1
1	Paul	1 1 2 3		126 127	240 CANAL ST	
17.	LABEL	ADDRESS		127	220 CANAL ST	
	25 26	36 MOORING RD 38 MOORING RD		120	9 PORTOFINO RD	
	27	42 MOORING RD	>	129	13 PORTOFINO RD	6
	28	46 MOORING RD		130	17 PORTOFINO RD	
-	29 30	50-52 MOORING RD 54-56 MOORING RD		131	21 PORTOFINO RD	
C. C.	31	60 MOORING RD	-	132	18 PORTOFINO RD	1
2013	31.5	40 POINT SAN PEDRO RD		133	8 PORTOFINO RD	
~/	32 33	2 HARBOR VIEW CT 6 HARBOR VIEW CT	11	135	4 PORTOFINO RD	
All and	34	10 HARBOR VIEW CT	11	136	154 CANAL ST	
11 4 5	35	80 POINT SAN PEDRO RD		137	150 CANAL ST	
	36 37	12 HARBOR VIEW CT 16 HARBOR VIEW CT	1	138	3 CAPRI CT	
38 T	38	15 HARBOR VIEW CT	54	139	7 CAPRI CT	
1. A.		11 HARBOR VIEW CT		140	10 CAPRI CT	1
Children	40 41	100 POINT SAN PEDRO RD 110 POINT SAN PEDRO RD	2	141	6 CAPRI CT	1
AN AN	41	120 POINT SAN PEDRO RD		141.5	2 CAPRI CT	
CHI	43	130 POINT SAN PEDRO RD	1	142	134 CANAL ST	
-	44 45	8 AQUA VISTA DR 12 AQUA VISTA DR		143	5 AMALFI PL	125
1-11	45	16 AQUA VISTA DR	2	144	9 AMALFI PL	-
1.00	47	20 AQUA VISTA DR		145	13 AMALFI PL	
a	48	24 AQUA VISTA DR 32-36 ROYAL CT	X	146	12 AMALFI PL	11
S. M.	49 50	40-44 ROYAL CT	120	147	8 AMALFI PL	
a si	121	460 CANAL ST		148	4 AMALFI PL	
A Th	Cas	5 8-65 P	1	100		

DREDGE AREA FOCUS

SEPTEMBER 2021

CITY OF SAN RAFAEL SAN RAFAEL, CALIFORNIA

FIGURE 2C



PARTICIPATING PROPERTY

FEDERAL CHANNEL PERIMETER

DREDGE BOUNDARY


NOTES 1. ALL LOCATIONS AND DIMENSIONS ARE APPROXIMATE.


2. BUILDING DATA SOURCE: CITY OF SAN RAFAEL

3. ASSESSOR PARCEL DATA SOURCE: MARIN COUNTY

4. AERIAL IMAGERY SOURCE: NEARMAP, 22 MAY 2021

FIGURE INDEX





LABEL	ADDRESS	
47	20 AQUA VISTA DR	
48	24 Aqua vista dr	
49	32-36 ROYAL CT	
50	40-44 ROYAL CT	
51	39-43 ROYAL CT	
52	31-35 ROYAL CT	
53	18-20 PORTO BELLO DR	
54	22-24 PORTO BELLO DR	
55	26-28 PORTO BELLO DR	
56	30-32 PORTO BELLO DR	
57	34-36 PORTO BELLO DR	
58	38-40 PORTO BELLO DR	
59	42-44 PORTO BELLO DR	
60	46-48 PORTO BELLO DR	
61	28 SUMMIT AVE	
62	83 SUMMIT AVE	
63	79 SUMMIT AVE	
90	84 SEA WAY	
91	90 SEA WAY	
139	7 CAPRI CT	
140	10 CAPRI CT	
141	6 CAPRI CT	
141.5	2 CAPRI CT	
142	134 CANAL ST	
143	5 AMALFI PL	
144	9 AMALFI PL	
145	13 AMALFI PL	
146	12 AMALFI PL	
147	8 AMALFI PL	
148	4 AMALFI PL	
149	120 CANAL ST	
150	5 LIDO LN	
151	9 LIDO LN	
152	12 LIDO LN	
153	108 CANAL ST	
154	104 CANAL ST	
155	5 SORRENTO WAY	
156	9 SORRENTO WAY	
157	15 SORRENTO WAY	
158	17 SORRENTO WAY	
159	27 SORRENTO WAY	
160	29 SORRENTO WAY	
161	33 SORRENTO WAY	



DREDGE AREA FOCUS

SEPTEMBER 2021

FIGURE 2D

90

91

91



PARTICIPATING PROPERTY

DREDGE BOUNDARY

NOTES


1. ALL LOCATIONS AND DIMENSIONS ARE APPROXIMATE.


2. BUILDING DATA SOURCE: CITY OF SAN RAFAEL

3. ASSESSOR PARCEL DATA SOURCE: MARIN COUNTY

4. AERIAL IMAGERY SOURCE: NEARMAP, 22 MAY 2021

FIGURE INDEX






		Love
and a star way		BELLENVIENVE
and the second		E.
		U.M.
- 14 56	Sec. 1	Z.m.
al Provention	A. 123	WAR FEEL STOR
Real Street		
that as shirts		A COLORINA
		A SP
and the second second		A STREET
and sing		1 come
a the first start and		20001 22
A CONTRACT		ET TON YE
the state of the		13 1 100
		multi and
いたユーション		A COLOR OF A
at the second		
ALL WELLER		States and a set
have an it has		Contraction and a second
		State of the second
THE PART OF		
E States		the second
	LAREL	ADDDESS
AL AN	LABEL 62	ADDRESS 83 SUMMIT AVE
201 p	62 63	83 SUMMIT AVE 79 SUMMIT AVE
and the	62	83 SUMMIT AVE
	62 63 64 65 66	83 SUMMIT AVE 79 SUMMIT AVE 75 SUMMIT AVE 71 SUMMIT AVE 67 SUMMIT AVE
	62 63 64 65 66 67	83 SUMMIT AVE 79 SUMMIT AVE 75 SUMMIT AVE 71 SUMMIT AVE
	62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69	83 SUMMIT AVE 79 SUMMIT AVE 75 SUMMIT AVE 71 SUMMIT AVE 67 SUMMIT AVE 65 SUMMIT AVE 55 SUMMIT AVE 49 SUMMIT AVE
	62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70	83 SUMMIT AVE 79 SUMMIT AVE 75 SUMMIT AVE 71 SUMMIT AVE 67 SUMMIT AVE 65 SUMMIT AVE 55 SUMMIT AVE 49 SUMMIT AVE 41 SUMMIT AVE
	62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69	83 SUMMIT AVE 79 SUMMIT AVE 75 SUMMIT AVE 71 SUMMIT AVE 67 SUMMIT AVE 65 SUMMIT AVE 55 SUMMIT AVE 49 SUMMIT AVE 41 SUMMIT AVE 16 MARINA WAY 11 MARINA WAY
	62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 71 72 73	83 SUMMIT AVE 79 SUMMIT AVE 75 SUMMIT AVE 61 SUMMIT AVE 62 SUMMIT AVE 63 SUMMIT AVE 64 SUMMIT AVE 55 SUMMIT AVE 49 SUMMIT AVE 41 SUMMIT AVE 16 MARINA WAY 11 MARINA WAY 5 MARINA WAY
	62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 73 74 75	83 SUMMIT AVE 79 SUMMIT AVE 75 SUMMIT AVE 67 SUMMIT AVE 67 SUMMIT AVE 55 SUMMIT AVE 49 SUMMIT AVE 41 SUMMIT AVE 16 MARINA WAY 11 MARINA WAY 5 MARINA WAY 15 SUMMIT AVE 306 POINT SAN PEDRO RD
	62 63 64 65 66 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 74 75 76	83 SUMMIT AVE 79 SUMMIT AVE 75 SUMMIT AVE 71 SUMMIT AVE 67 SUMMIT AVE 65 SUMMIT AVE 55 SUMMIT AVE 49 SUMMIT AVE 41 SUMMIT AVE 16 MARINA WAY 11 MARINA WAY 5 MARINA WAY 15 SUMMIT AVE 306 POINT SAN PEDRO RD 310 POINT SAN PEDRO RD
	62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 73 74 75	83 SUMMIT AVE 79 SUMMIT AVE 75 SUMMIT AVE 67 SUMMIT AVE 65 SUMMIT AVE 55 SUMMIT AVE 49 SUMMIT AVE 49 SUMMIT AVE 16 MARINA WAY 11 MARINA WAY 15 SUMMIT AVE 306 POINT SAN PEDRO RD 310 POINT SAN PEDRO RD 320 POINT SAN PEDRO RD
	62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 71 72 73 74 75 76 76 77 77 78 79	83 SUMMIT AVE 79 SUMMIT AVE 75 SUMMIT AVE 67 SUMMIT AVE 65 SUMMIT AVE 65 SUMMIT AVE 49 SUMMIT AVE 49 SUMMIT AVE 16 MARINA WAY 11 MARINA WAY 5 MARINA WAY 15 SUMMIT AVE 306 POINT SAN PEDRO RD 310 POINT SAN PEDRO RD 316 POINT SAN PEDRO RD 320 POINT SAN PEDRO RD 12 SEA WAY
	62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 77 78 79 80	83 SUMMIT AVE 79 SUMMIT AVE 75 SUMMIT AVE 67 SUMMIT AVE 65 SUMMIT AVE 65 SUMMIT AVE 49 SUMMIT AVE 49 SUMMIT AVE 41 SUMMIT AVE 16 MARINA WAY 11 MARINA WAY 5 MARINA WAY 5 SUMMIT AVE 306 POINT SAN PEDRO RD 310 POINT SAN PEDRO RD 310 POINT SAN PEDRO RD 320 POINT SAN PEDRO RD
	62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 73 73 74 75 76 77 78 79 80 80 81 82	83 SUMMIT AVE 79 SUMMIT AVE 75 SUMMIT AVE 67 SUMMIT AVE 67 SUMMIT AVE 55 SUMMIT AVE 49 SUMMIT AVE 41 SUMMIT AVE 41 SUMMIT AVE 16 MARINA WAY 11 MARINA WAY 5 MARINA WAY 15 SUMMIT AVE 306 POINT SAN PEDRO RD 310 POINT SAN PEDRO RD 310 POINT SAN PEDRO RD 316 POINT SAN PEDRO RD 316 POINT SAN PEDRO RD 320 POINT SAN PEDRO RD 12 SEA WAY 18 SEA WAY 24 SEA WAY
	62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 79 80 81 82 83	83 SUMMIT AVE 79 SUMMIT AVE 75 SUMMIT AVE 67 SUMMIT AVE 67 SUMMIT AVE 55 SUMMIT AVE 49 SUMMIT AVE 41 SUMMIT AVE 41 SUMMIT AVE 16 MARINA WAY 11 MARINA WAY 5 MARINA WAY 15 SUMMIT AVE 306 POINT SAN PEDRO RD 310 POINT SAN PEDRO RD 320 POINT SAN PEDRO RD 330 SEA WAY
	62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 73 73 74 75 76 77 78 79 80 80 81 82	83 SUMMIT AVE79 SUMMIT AVE75 SUMMIT AVE71 SUMMIT AVE67 SUMMIT AVE65 SUMMIT AVE55 SUMMIT AVE41 SUMMIT AVE16 MARINA WAY11 MARINA WAY5 MARINA WAY15 SUMMIT AVE306 POINT SAN PEDRO RD310 POINT SAN PEDRO RD310 POINT SAN PEDRO RD320 POINT SAN PEDRO RD12 SEA WAY18 SEA WAY24 SEA WAY36 SEA WAY48 SEA WAY48 SEA WAY
	62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 79 80 81 82 83 84 85 86 86	83 SUMMIT AVE 79 SUMMIT AVE 75 SUMMIT AVE 71 SUMMIT AVE 67 SUMMIT AVE 65 SUMMIT AVE 55 SUMMIT AVE 49 SUMMIT AVE 41 SUMMIT AVE 16 MARINA WAY 11 MARINA WAY 5 SUMMIT AVE 306 POINT SAN PEDRO RD 310 POINT SAN PEDRO RD 320 POINT SAN PEDRO RD 320 POINT SAN PEDRO RD 12 SEA WAY 30 SEA WAY 30 SEA WAY 36 SEA WAY 37 SEA WAY 38 SEA WAY 39 SEA WAY 30 SEA WAY 30 SEA WAY 36 SEA WAY 37 SEA WAY 38 SEA WAY 39 SEA WAY 30 SEA WAY
	62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 79 80 81 82 83 84 85 86 87	83 SUMMIT AVE79 SUMMIT AVE75 SUMMIT AVE71 SUMMIT AVE67 SUMMIT AVE65 SUMMIT AVE55 SUMMIT AVE49 SUMMIT AVE41 SUMMIT AVE16 MARINA WAY15 SUMMIT AVE306 POINT SAN PEDRO RD310 POINT SAN PEDRO RD310 POINT SAN PEDRO RD320 SEA WAY30 SEA WAY36 SEA WAY48 SEA WAY48 SEA WAY54 SEA WAY66 SEA WAY
	62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 79 80 81 82 83 84 85 86 87 88 89	83 SUMMIT AVE 79 SUMMIT AVE 75 SUMMIT AVE 75 SUMMIT AVE 67 SUMMIT AVE 65 SUMMIT AVE 55 SUMMIT AVE 49 SUMMIT AVE 16 MARINA WAY 11 MARINA WAY 15 SUMMIT AVE 306 POINT SAN PEDRO RD 310 POINT SAN PEDRO RD 320 SEA WAY 48 SEA WAY 36 SEA WAY 48 SEA WAY 48 SEA WAY 54 SEA WAY 55 SEA WAY 56 SEA WAY 57 SEA WAY 58 SEA WAY
	62 63 64 65 66 66 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 79 80 81 82 83 84 85 86 87 88	83 SUMMIT AVE 79 SUMMIT AVE 75 SUMMIT AVE 71 SUMMIT AVE 67 SUMMIT AVE 65 SUMMIT AVE 55 SUMMIT AVE 49 SUMMIT AVE 49 SUMMIT AVE 16 MARINA WAY 11 MARINA WAY 5 SUMMIT AVE 306 POINT SAN PEDRO RD 310 POINT SAN PEDRO RD 310 POINT SAN PEDRO RD 320 POINT SAN PEDRO RD 320 POINT SAN PEDRO RD 324 SEA WAY 30 SEA WAY 30 SEA WAY 42 SEA WAY 36 SEA WAY 48 SEA WAY 48 SEA WAY 54 SEA WAY 54 SEA WAY 54 SEA WAY 54 SEA WAY 72 SEA WAY

DREDGE AREA FOCUS

SEPTEMBER 2021

FIGURE 2E



90 4

CHANNEL MARKER

PARTICIPATING PROPERTY

FEDERAL CHANNEL PERIMETER

DREDGE BOUNDARY

NOTES


1. ALL LOCATIONS AND DIMENSIONS ARE APPROXIMATE.


2. BUILDING DATA SOURCE: CITY OF SAN RAFAEL

3. ASSESSOR PARCEL DATA SOURCE: MARIN COUNTY

4. AERIAL IMAGERY SOURCE: NEARMAP, 22 MAY 2021

FIGURE INDEX





•





• CHANNEL MARKER

FEDERAL CHANNEL PERIMETER


DREDGE BOUNDARY

1. ALL LOCATIONS AND DIMENSIONS ARE APPROXIMATE.

2. BUILDING DATA SOURCE: CITY OF SAN RAFAEL

3. ASSESSOR PARCEL DATA SOURCE: MARIN COUNTY

4. AERIAL IMAGERY SOURCE: NEARMAP, 22 MAY 2021



400 200 SCALE IN FEET



CITY OF SAN RAFAEL SAN RAFAEL, CALIFORNIA

DREDGE AREA FOCUS

SEPTEMBER 2021

FIGURE 2G

