DOCID: 4092101

2114

D6 (ATTN: Mr. Noy Banner)

8 September 1970

C/TCON

Information for the Congressional Subcommittee on the Pueblo and EC-121 Incidents

The inclosed material has been prepared in response to the questions raised by the Congressional Subcommittee on DOD World-Wide Communications. Regretably, we are unable to provide all the information desired on the EC-121 incident: however, we are available to assist in any way possible and to provide any additional data available to us which might be deemed necessary.

> /s/ Albert F. Arant ALBERT A. ARANT Colonel USAF Chief of Telecommunications .

2 Incls:

- B1 Memorandum, Subject: Response to Queries Regarding the EC-121 and USS Fueble Incidents, Serial B1/342/70, dated 3 September 1970.
- 2. <u>TI Narrative</u>, Subject: TI Response to Querics Regarding Spot Reports and Follow-ups concerning the EC-121 Incident, dated 4 September 1970.

(b)(1) (b)(3)-50 USC 403 (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

HANDLE VIA COMINT CHANNELS ONLY



Approved for release by NSA on 01-13-2014, Transparency Case# 63391

DOCID: 4092	2101	UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT	(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36
		Memorandum	
	TO :	T14	DATE: 3 September 1970
	FROM :	Bl	In reply refer to: B1/342/70 hff
	SUBJECT:	Response to Queries Regarding the EC-121 and USS Pueblo Incidents	b (b) (1) (b) (3)-50 USC 403 (b) (3)-P.L. 86-36
		Copies of the message of subject questions have been for comments below pertain to the USS addressed in the second page of o are listed below the specific que	prwarded to you. The 5 Pueblo seizure as questions. These comments
(E) (4) (E) (3) -50 USC 403 (E) (3) -18 USC 798 (E) (3) -P.L. 86-36		Was such a message intero Was USS Pueblo informed o If so, at what time was U If not, what, if anything intercepted message?	of that intercepted message? JSS Pueblo informed?
and the second se		1. A	SIGINT report
	*	issued at 230605Z or 1505I (appro	oximately 3 hours after time
	and the second s	of intercept) contained the info	rmation quoted as a "message"
		by the Washington Star. The desc	cription of the ship was
		reflected in plaintext voice while	le the SO-l position was
		derived from a position report pavessel.	assed by the North Korean
		2. The report constitut	ted the initial product
		reporting of North Korean communi	ications related to the Pueblo
		seizure. The Pueblo would have a	received the above report
		had shore to ship communications	been functioning routinely.
		However, the Pueblo had been boar	rded by 0540Z and had ceased
		HANDLE VIA CENT	TO LA DALLO CINLY
		Buy II S. Savings Rouds Regularly	m the Payrall Saving Plan

but

Buy IJ.S. Savings Bonds Regularly on the Payroll Savings Plan .

DOCID: 4092101

communicating at 05322. Therefore, the Pueblo could not have received the information in question or any other subsequent SIGINT reflections of 23 January North Korean communications. In fact, the ship was at that time being escorted toward W'onsan.

3. The report in question was, of course, automatically forwarded via established communications channels to the normal recipients of product, including 5 Air Force, SSO Korea, CINCPAC, CINCPACFLT, COMNAVFORJAPAN, DIA, JCS and DIRNSA. (See Attachment for complete list)

Fact #224, page 37, Court of Inquiry Was the position report the only information in that message
Were any other messages of the North Korean ships intercepted on January 23 prior to seizure of the USS Pueblo?
If so, what was the text of those messages?
Was anybody informed of those messages?

4. The position report passed by SC-35 at 230310Z was

the only information contained in that particular message.

5. There were other messages passed by North Korean ships on 23 January prior to the Pueblo seizure at 0540Z. These messages consisted of position reports and plaintext voice conversations reflecting: (1) the SC-35 approach to the Pueblo; (2) descriptions of the Pueblo; (3) relay of

> و الحد المحمد و محد محمد المحمد المحمد م

(b) (1) (b) (3) -50 USC 403 (b) (3) -18 USC 798 (b) (3) -P.L. 86-36

DOCID: 4092101.

(b) (1) (b) (3) -50 USC 403 (b) (3) -18 USC 798 (b) (3) -P.L. 86-36

instructions to the boarding party; (4) firing orders and (5) description of crew and gear after boarding.

6. The Pueblo was not informed of the above messages for reasons cited in para 2 above.

7. The information contained in these messages was disseminated to normal recipients of _____ product reporting on North Korean Navy activity. Since _____ was the only source of this intercept, the processing and reporting cycle obviously had to be completed prior to any reporting of subject activity.

P Deputy Chief, Bl

cc: Chief, B

A			F-11.34	19
2	1	12 24	Sec. 1	18

. . .

1.1



And the state of t







2



.

SECRET

1

Attachment