

# TOP SECRET//COMINT//NOFORN//20320108 NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY CENTRAL SECURITY SERVICE

FORT GEORGE G. MEADE, MARYLAND 20755-6000

↑ 29 February 2007

This date is incorrect and should read 29 February 2008.

MEMORANDUM FOR THE ASSISTANT TO THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE (INTELLIGENCE OVERSIGHT)

SUBJECT: (U/<del>/FOUO)</del> Required Actions for the CY 2007 Intelligence Oversight Report to Congress – INFORMATION MEMORANDUM

(U//FOUO) In accordance with your memorandum of 15 November 2007, the enclosed consolidation of the National Security Agency's Quarterly Reports to the President's Intelligence Oversight Board for calendar year 2007 is provided to assist the Secretary of Defense in preparation of his Annual Report to Congress.

GEORGE ELLARD Inspector General

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

Encl:
Annual Report

This document may be declassified and marked "UNCLASSIFIED//For Official

Approved for Release by NSA on 12-19-2014, FOIA Case # 70809 (Litigation)

Derived From: NSA/CSSM 1-52

Dated: 20070108

Declassify On: 20320108

# DOCID: 4165172 -TOP SECRET//COMINT//NOFORN//20320108

1. (U/ <del>/FOUO)</del> Intelligence, counterintelligence, and intelligence that violate law, regulation, or policy substantiated duany actions taken as a result of the violations.	
(U) Intelligence Activities (b) (1) (b) (3)-P.L. 86-36	
(TS//SI//REL TO USA, FVEY) Unintentional collection persons. On ccasions Signals Intelligence (SIGIN collected communications to, from, or about U. S. person intelligence tasking were reported in calendar year 200	<ul> <li>T) analysts inadvertently as while pursuing foreign</li> </ul>
(TS//SI//REL TO USA, FVEY)	experience of the second of th
	(b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(3)-50 USC 3024(1)
(S//SI//REL TO USA, FVEY) There were instances of resulting from poorly constructed database queries, and human error. In one case, the analyst neglected other a typing mistake was made.	
(S//SI//REL TO USA, FVEY) On occasions, targets in legitimate and foreign were found to hold U.S. citizensh status after they had been tasked for collection.	
(S//SI//REL TO USA, FVEY) On occasions,	N 3.
the United States. Another target	
to the United States.	
(TS//SI//NF)	maintain marin
(b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(3)-18 USC 798 (b)(3)-50 USC 3024(i)	Derived From: NSA/CSSM 1-52 Dated: 20070108 Declassify On: 20320108

#### TOP SECRET//COMINT//NOFORN//20320108

(b)(1)
(b)(3) (b)(3)-18 USC 798
(b)(3)-50 USC 3024(1)
— (S//SI//REL TO USA, FVEY) Action Taken. The selectors for the affected collection were detasked from selection management systems used to manage and task selectors on collection systems Unintentionally intercepted electronic mail and voice communications were deleted. Data was removed from data storage systems. Corrective actions were taken to lessen the risk of recurrence included additional training and education and changes to internal controls and software.
(S//SI//REL TO USA, FVEY) Unintentional dissemination of U.S. identities. During this quarter, SIGINT products were cancelled because they contained the identities of U.S. persons, organizations, or entities. In all instances, the reports were either not reissued or were reissued with the proper minimization.  Additionally, U.S. identities were released without proper authority as a result of tips, analysis of events, or being included in a briefing slide. The data for the violations was recalled, cleared from computer hard drives, and destroyed.  (b) (3)-P.L. 86-36
-(S//SI//NF) The Protect America Act of 2007 (PAA). To ensure the "foreignness" of a
target as required by the PAA
This risk reduction measure
identified incidents
in the United States. In instances, as
required by the PAA, collection was suspended immediately until the target left the
United States. In one instance, analysts noted the target's presence in the United
States,
resulting in detasking delays and in unauthorized collection. Corrective actions
have been taken to lessen the risk of recurrence, including changes to internal
control procedures. Ininstances, unauthorized collection occurred when the
targets were later found to be in the United States.
(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
(TS//SI//NF) Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act (FISA) collection. There were
FISA collection incidents in calendar year 2007. Causes for the inadvertent collection include:
confection include:
queries were deleted, cell phone numbers were removed from the tasking
database, and intercepts were destroyed.
(b) (1)
TOP SECRET//COMINT//NOFORN//20320108 (b) (3) -18 USC 798 (b) (3) -P.L. 86-36 (b) (3) -50 USC 3024(i)

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 <del>(TS//SI//NF)</del> FISA dissemination. published reports were cancelled because they contained the identities of U.S. persons, organizations, or entities. Additionally, there were instances of improper dissemination of unevaluated. unminimized SIGINT derived from court-approved collection. In the first instance, an analyst sent unminimized NSA FISA-derived communications to analysts without proper authorization. The same day, analysts were instructed to delete the communications. In the second incident, unevaluated, unminimized SIGINT derived from court-approved collection was improperly disseminated to a The same shared the information with day subsequently destroyed the improperly disseminated material, and U.S. entities. In the <u>third</u> instance, an  $_{(b)(3)-P.L.}^{(b)(1)}$ which contained the identifications of analyst forwarded FISA data to a site, which was not authorized to receive such data. personnel discovered the mistake and destroyed all the data. (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (U) Counterintelligence Activities (U) Nothing to report. (U) Intelligence-related Activities (b)(1))(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(7)(E) A request to target the OGA communicant overseas was submitted to the Office of the Attorney General. (TS//SL/REL TO USA, FVEY) NSA Texas inappropriately targeted a U.S. person based on an Upon recognition of the mistake, the telephone numbers were detasked. The next day, analysts determined that detasking had not taken place and took measures to detask the numbers. (U//<del>FOUO)</del> On occasions, SIGINT analysts accessed SIGINT in databases to which they improperly retained access from previous assignments. Their accounts

(b)(1)

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

#### TOP SECRET//COMINT//NOFORN//20320108

were disabled and they received remedial training concerning the proper use of databases.

(U) Misuse of the U.S. SIGINT System	(1) (3)-P.L. 86-36
(2)	13,1.1. 30 33
(S//SI//NF) While teaching a class on analyzing communica	
instructor purposely entered the phone number of his friend	d, who was neither a U.S.
person nor living in the United States.	
	The instructor
was counseled on the restrictions on NSA authorities and v	vas mandated to attend
training on USSID SP0018, which he completed in July 200	(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36 (b) (3)-50 USC 3024(i)
(CUCTOTTO A CONTROL )	(b)\(3)-18 USC 798
(S//SL//NF) A SIGINT analyst conducted database queries a	it the request and with
the permission of a	1 \
The analyst targeted the	
in a SIGINT database. No information was develope	ed and no reports were
issued.	**************************************
	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(3)-50 USC 3024(i)
(TS//SI//REL TO USA, FVEY)	intercepted the
communications of an unidentified individual calling a targ	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	o not believe this is a
random telephone call, but rather a misuse of government	
	matter was reported to
the Department of Defense General Counsel for an investig	
incident has not violated U.S. person privacy rights but is a	
misuse of the U.S. SIGINT System.	chorten necause of the

## 2. (U//FOUO) Intelligence Oversight Inspections

(U//FOUO) During 2007, the Office of Inspector General (OIG) reviewed various intelligence activities of the National Security Agency/Central Security Service (NSA/CSS) to determine whether they were conducted in accordance with applicable statutes, Executive Orders, Attorney General procedures, and Department of Defense and internal directives. With few exceptions, the issues presented from the five inspections were routine and indicated that the operating elements understand the restrictions on NSA/CSS activities. The NSA/CSS OIG will track inspection corrective actions.

#### TOP SECRET//COMINT//NOFORN//20320108

(U//FOUO) NSA/CSS Georgia. NSA/CSS Georgia has made significant improvements in its intelligence oversight program. The program management function was transferred to the operations staff from the security directorate. NSA/CSS Georgia has implemented a process to track intelligence oversight training for newly arrived employees by using computer account creation information. Advanced intelligence oversight training on United States Signals Intelligence Directive SIGINT Policy 0018 (USSID SP0018) and the FISA was created for operations watch officers to provide more in-depth information and training on application of the authorities. Personnel within operational areas, especially high-risk mission areas, are well versed in the intelligence oversight authorities.

(U// <del>FOUO</del> ) . The (b) (3) -P.L. 86
intelligence oversight training program suffered from a lack of oversight. Only a
small number of employees had completed the required intelligence oversight
training in the last 2 years. Employees are aware of their reporting responsibilities,
and incidents are reported in a timely manner.
(b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
(U// <del>FOUO</del> ) is
diligently working to improve its Intelligence Oversight program, but procedures fall
short of the minimum required to ensure that all employees receive required
intelligence oversight training. Training is not managed effectively or efficiently,
and there are no internal controls ensure training compliance. Although the
understanding of NSA authorities in relation to collection, minimization, and
dissemination was noted as poor, no intelligence oversight-related concerns were
noted within operations.
(b) (1) (b) (3) -P.L. 86-36 (b) (3) -50 USC 3024(1)
(U// <del>FOUO)</del> Intelligence Oversight Program
Management is degraded by weaknesses in the personnel database and the
process used to ensure that all personnel with
receive intelligence oversight training before they are exposed to operational
or classified information. Additionally, although training is conducted as required
by the DoD Regulation 5240.1-R and NSA/CSS Policy 1-23, more emphasis is needed
on USSID SP0018 and National Telecommunications and Information Systems
Security Directive 600 standards. There were no intelligence oversight concerns
noted within mission operations.
(b) (1) (b) (3) -P.L. 86-36
(S//SI//REL TO USA, FVEY) Intelligence Oversight is hampered
by the absence of clearly delineated roles and responsibilities for the
Intelligence Oversight Program Manager and organizational points of

(b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

# TOP SECRET//COMINT//NOFORN//20320108

	contact. The organization lacks documented processes and procedures for timely reporting intelligence oversight incidents and violations, and there are no documented procedures for tracking intelligence oversight training; therefore, accounting for personnel who require the training is incomplete. Additionally, is not complying with intelligence oversight measures detailed in a
	agreement with the SIGINT Director regarding
	(b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
	3. (U) Substantive Changes to the NSA/CSS Intelligence Oversight Program.
	(a) and an analysis to the rest of an analysis of the rest and and an analysis of the rest and an analysis of the
_	(S//SI//NF) Practicing due diligence, NSA has improved internal controls to reduce the risk of unauthorized collection.
	(b) (1)
	4. (U) Changes to NSA/CSS published directives or policies concerning (b) (3)-P.L. 86-36 (b) (3)-50 USC 3024(1) intelligence, counterintelligence, or intelligence-related activities and the reason for the changes.
	(U) Nothing to report.
	5. (U) Procedures governing the activities of Department of Defense (DoD) intelligence components that affect U.S. persons (DoD Directive 5240.1-R, Procedure 15) Inquiries or Matters Related to Intelligence Oversight Programs.
	(U) Intelligence Oversight Special Studies
	study on that receive raw SIGINT.  The objectives of the review were to determine whether selected have the proper authorization to access raw SIGINT, have been provided guidance on its proper handling and use, and have adhered to applicable intelligence oversight authorities.
	(U// <del>FOUO)</del> Signals Intelligence Directorate (SID) documentation, guidance, and intelligence oversight related to the sharing of raw SIGINT with the

ID: 4165172		PORT WAS ALVO	
	TOP SECRET/COMINT//NO	FORIV//20320108	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
	ed is inadequate. The internal		
	work performed at the		e not effective,
	urable. Many SID and	employees we	
	ired intelligence oversight train		
procedures. The	NSA OIG will track the deficie	ncies and oversee corre	ective action.
		and the same	(b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
<del>(TS//SI//NF)</del>		Andrew property and a	/ (b) (3)-50 USC 3024(
determine wheth	NOTA I	A review was c	ompleted to
determine when	a NOA		
			T/Dla a manifesta
did not find a not	tern of errors, exaggeration of	foota or any intention	The review
misstatements by		acts, or any intentions	Al.
misseatements by	NOX		
TS//SI//REL TO	USA. FVEY)		
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
			86-36 (b)(3)-18 USC 798
			(b)(3)-50 USC 3024(i)
(U <del>//FOUO)</del>			
			(b)(3)-P.L.
			13
(C//NF) Retention	n of Domestic Communication	s Collected Under FIS	A
	hile conducting collection oper		
1978, as amended	l, NSA incidentally collects do	mestic communications	en which to
retention limitati	ons. Although NSA information	op customs son he area	s, subject to
facilitata complia	nce with retention limitations,	the SID is not full-	rannieu to
2 4.3 4. 3. 2. 3. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4.	m capabilities to do so. The O	is and not detect major	r instances of
information syste	various destruction and a super transport for the contract of	areation mucocodiminate la	*******
domestic commu	nications in conflict with minin	uization procedures; no	wever, we
domestic commun determined that	the risk is high for noncomplia	nce. The OIG found th	at appropriate
domestic commun determined that training on how o	nications in conflict with mining the risk is high for noncomplia lata repository system capabili	nce. The OIG found th	at appropriate comply with
domestic commun determined that	the risk is high for noncomplia	nce. The OIG found th	at appropriate
domestic commun determined that training on how o	the risk is high for noncomplia	nce. The OIG found th	at appropriate comply with
domestic commun determined that training on how o	the risk is high for noncomplia	nce. The OIG found th	at appropriate comply with
domestic commun determined that training on how o	the risk is high for noncomplia lata repository system capabili	nce. The OIG found the ties can aid analysts to	at appropriate comply with The
domestic commun determined that training on how o	the risk is high for noncomplia	nce. The OIG found the ties can aid analysts to	at appropriate comply with

TOP SECRET//COMINT//NOFORN//20320108

OIG also found that developing an FBI-Compatible Dissemination System could lower NSA's risk of noncompliance.

### (U) Intelligence Oversight Investigation

(U//<del>FOUO)</del> The NSA OIG Chief of Intelligence Oversight and the OIG Ombudsman completed an inquiry into a complaint of improper intelligence collection at a field site. The allegations were not substantiated.