

Phillips Pamela N NSA-DJ4 USA CIV

From: Phillips Pamela N NSA-DJ4 USA CIV
Sent: Tuesday, June 11, 2013 2:20 PM
To: Blacker Cindy S NSA-DJ41 USA CIV
Cc: Phillips Pamela N NSA-DJ4 USA CIV, Blaskowski Paul J NSA-DJ4 USA CIV
Subject: (U) More Discussion
Signed By: pnphill@nsa.ic.gov

Classification: ~~CONFIDENTIAL//REL TO USA, FVEY~~

Cindy,

(U//FOUO) Here's more that I just sent to Dave in response to another query from him regarding some of the possible unclassified facts that are under consideration.

Pamela


(b) (1)
(b) (3) - P.L. 86-36
(b) (5)

Dave,

(U) This will probably give you more reading material than you want – not all directly related to the classification/declassification decisions.

(b) (3) - P.L. 86-36

(C//REL) I discussed this with [redacted] in OGC, [redacted]



(U//FOUO) The FOIA implications for current new requests will have to do with how we respond. If certain details are declassified, then requests for that information may result in us not being able to do an full denial of information. This could be a significant impact for organizations that perform these activities, as they may be required to search for and produce responsive documents (time consuming), and DJ4 would be required to review all of those records (and likely involve the operations organizations in the review, depending on how well-defined the classification/declassification statements are). But none of that is a reason not to make the declassification decisions. It's just good for us to be aware of the potential impact, and also think through what additional requests and questions may come in because of the statements that will be put out there (and being prepared to respond to them).

(U//FOUO) Having a paper or sheet of unclassified facts that could be provided to the public may make it easier to respond, because we could provide that with initial responses, and then either indicate that all other

details are still classified (or have to be reviewed). It may buy more time for processing if the requesters get something up front.

(b) (3) - P.L. 86-36
(b) (5)

(U//FOUO)

[Redacted]

(U//FOUO) Currently we have 2 requests

[Redacted]

Not sure yet how we'll want

to move forward with these.

(U)
Pamela N. Phillips
Chief, DJ4
Freedom of Information Act/Privacy Act Office
Ops2A1118, Suite 6248
966-4071s [Redacted]

(U)

(b) (3) - P.L. 86-36

Classified By: 273774
Derived From: NSA/CSSM 1-52
Dated: 20070108
Declassify On: 20380601

Classification: ~~CONFIDENTIAL//REL TO USA, FVEY~~