

Declassified and Approved for Release by NSA on 10-11-2012 pursuant to E.O. 13526, MDR Case # 54778

TOP SECRET

CGYPTOLOG

Published Monthly by Pl, Techniques and Standards,

for the Personnel of Operations

VOL. II, Nos.	and 9 AUGUST-SEPTEMBER 1975	
PUBLISHER	WILLIAM LUTWINIAK	
	BOARD OF EDITORS	
	Editor in ChiefArthur J. Salemme (5642s)	
	CryptanalysisP.L. 86-3	6
	LanguageEmery W. Tetrault (5236s)	
	Special ResearchVera R. Filby (7119s)	
	Traffic AnalysisFrederic O. Mason, Jr. (4142s)	
	Production Manager	

F	or i	ndiv	idual	subsc	riptions
			sen	d	
name	and	org	anizat	ional	designator
		to:	CRYPT	DLOG,	P1

TOP SECRET

ŝ

TOP SECRET UMBRA

EO 1.4.(c) P.L. 86-36



TOP SECRET UMBRA

Aug-Sept 75 * CRYPTOLOG * Page 2

TOP SECRET UMBRA

EO 1.4.(c) P.L. 86-36 4

1

.

TOP SECRET UMBRA

Aug-Sept 75 * CRYPTOLOG * Page 3 TOP SECRET UMBRA

- -

.

Aug-Sept 75 * CRYPTOLOG * Page 4

TOP SECRET UMBRA EO 1.4.(c) P.L. 86-36

į

ι ·

÷

X

.

4

4

EO 1.4.(c) P.L. 86-36

Aug-Sept 75 * CRYPTOLOG * Page 5

- TOP SECRET UMBRA

TOP SECRET UMBRA



series of letters "slides" or "glides" through all the words in the solution? As in the following S A M P L E ?

3. Short literary compositions

for use in a Cuban band.

4. Fabric made of cultivated silk

5. Percussion instrument made of a hollow

gourd enclosed in a net of threaded beads

This type of puzzle is variously called "slide-o-gram," "glide-o-gram," "step-o-gram,"

etc. But the CRYPTOLOG editor thinks that the

letters do not slide, glide, or step at all.

Instead, they roll through the words. So,

Have you ever seen puzzles in which the same in the puzzle below, roll the letters CRY through. on page 12, roll PTO through. And, finally, on page 20, try your luck at LOG-rolling.

Y

R Y

С

R Y

C R

III LLLL



Definitions

- 1. NSA publication
- 2. Salts or esters of CH2CHCOOH
- 3. Encoded or enciphered
- 4. Discovering
- 5. A crystal foreign to the rock in which it occurs.
- 6. Possible trade name for a bread to be
- served at smorgasbord (2 words) 7. In hot pursuit (3 words)

Puzzles on this page and pages 12 and 20 are UNCLASSIFIED.

Aug-Sept 75 * CRYPTOLOG * Page 6

TOP SECRET UMBRA



۲.

•---

Aug-Sept 75 * CRYPTOLOG * Page 8

TOP SECRET UMBRA

EO 1.4.(c) P.L. 86-36

.....

•

f

.

1.87 gar.

Aug-Sept 75 * CRYPTOLOG * Page 9 TOP SECRET UMBRA

EO 1.4.(c) P.L. 86-36

ية. محمد با ا



he can always fall back on the Loch Ness Monster or the Abominable Snowman. For the editor of a cryptologic magazine the obvious device is another blurb on the subject here discussed. So, evidently, thought a former editor, among whose effects the following paragraphs were found.

Is the Voynich manuscript "real"? No. Is it a hoax? No. What is it, then? A makebelieve--an elaborate fantasy produced purely for the satisfaction of the maker.

That was my reaction the first time I looked at it closely, but faced with all the profound theories about it I lacked the courage to say so. However, a recent rereading of Elizabeth Friedman's article in the Washington Post (August 5, 1962) and of Brigadier Tiltman's paper in the NSA Technical Journal (Summer 1967), plus some phenomena I have seen in the meantime, have emboldened me to give the world the benefit of my thoughts.

Some of the pertinent facts brought out in the above two sources are:

The exact number of symbols is uncertain, because of what may be variant forms and also because some apparently single symbols may in fact be two. Spacing appears to be random and punctuation completely absent. Paragraphs nearly always begin with one of two favorite symbols, which also occur frequently in the top lines of paragraphs, where there is some extra space. The number of different "words" is quite limited, and the same vocabulary appears in all sections, whether the content (judged by the illustrations) is botanical, biological, or astronomical. The "words" average four and a half symbols each, with very few of only one or two symbols, or of over seven. There are no erasures or corrections. Some of the commonest words occur several times running, but there are no repetitions of whole phrases, such as would be expected in any scientific text.

Brigadier Tiltman concludes that this is no natural language: "Languages simply do not behave in this way." On the other hand, it is equally impossible, for cryptologic reasons, that it can be the result either of simple substitution or of transposition. He draws no conclusions as to the nature of the text, but in this story I will be the truth-telling child who says rashly and cheerfully, "The text is nonsense." This theory has always been disparaged on the assumption that no one would go to such lengths to produce a book without meaning. Who would have the time and the patience? What would be the point?

Well, first, the book presumably had some meaning for the originator, but this meaning may be in the pictures rather than in the text. As for time-- All through history many people have had more time than they knew what to do with: prisoners...invalids...unmarried aunts in well-to-do families. Empty hours stretched on into empty years, frightening years, and a long, long project would be something to cherish.

Suppose an imaginative woman chose to take up drawing instead of needlework, or suppose that a prisoner of state had a flair for art; and suppose that either of these, being illiterate (not unlikely at that time) but quite capable of imitating writing, decided to pass the time by creating a splendid book. A model book, so to speak. It makes as much sense as dollhouses, or boats in bottles; and you can make it lifesize.

Or suppose that a country gentleman of means and learning has suffered an illness that leaves him slightly balmy. He spends his days in the field communing with the flowers or in his study painting impressions of them. (Look at those plants! They are lurid, even menacing, like Van Gogh's sunflowers; they are larger than life, with an animal shagginess and strength about them, and look as if they might well have dictated the whole project themselves.) He spends his evenings communing with the stars and depicting them. Neither his planets nor his plants bear much resemblance to reality, but he is living an intense inner life.

He decides to make a book. The writing skill remains in his fingers, but his brain no longer remembers the connection between the signs and the sounds. So he develops an alphabet of his own, of signs he enjoys making, and fills up the book with what amounts to "psychological random" groups of these. At his death his family quietly lays the book away, and when it discovered years later, no one any longer knows its history.

Creative but frustrated people adopt strange means of self-expression. In Watts, California, stands a group of towers--the tallest a hundred feet high--built by a poor tilesetter out of steel rods, mesh and mortar, and covered with

Aug-Sept 75 * CRYPTOLOG * Page 10

UNCLASSIFIED

mosaics made of bits of tiles, dishes, bottles and seashells. It took him 33 years, working without a plan, without assistance, without scaffolding--climbing, as he built, with a windowwasher's belt. Then he gave the land to a neighbor and moved away. Why did he work so long on a useless fantasy? "I had in mind to do something big," he said, "and I did."

In the Smithsonian Institution stands the "Throne of the Third Heaven of the Nations' Millenium General Assembly": a room-sized composition of chairs, tables, pedestals, cardboard cutouts, old jelly glasses, used light bulbs, pieces of mirror--all covered with "gold" and "silver" foil and assembled into an astonishing and magnificent structure. It was built, over a period of 14 years, by a Washington laborer, in a garage he rented for the purpose, and was discovered only after his death. Its purpose or meaning is unknown.

But, you may say, they at least produced something tangible--a work of art. So did the maker of the Voynich manuscript--a fascinating work of art; and the "text" may serve the same purpose as the mosaics on the towers or the foil-covered bulbs on the "Throne"--it is part of the general effect.

It is possible, of course, that the writer also harbored a secret hope. A record called "The Gift of Tongues (Glossolalia)," issued by the Scepter Company, purports to be the voice of a man "speaking in tongues." It takes the hearer only a few minutes to become convinced that the man is inventing: the sounds do not pour out spontaneously, but haltingly and lamely, with an embarrassing amount of repetition. Is the speaker a fraud? Not necessarily: he may naively suppose that this ability to invent is actually the fabled gift of tongues, and that even though he has to search for the sounds, the Power that permits him to find them also knows their meaning...

In any case, why assume a message where there is no evidence of one? Visually, the pages are a joy, and if the "text" turns out to be written glossolalia, or abstract art, why not?

"I had in mind to do something big," says the author, "and I did."

Yes, we do have a copy of this famous manuscript in the Crypt Library (Room 3W076), and you may like to go and see it. But there are a lot of other things in there more worthwhile -- in fact, we've been trying for some time to get an article on the library. Any volunteers?



UNCLASSIFIED

Photograph by Charles Phillips, courtesy of Smithsonian Magazine

Aug-Sept 75 * CRYPTOLOG * Page 11

UNCLASSIFIED

SECRET



P.L. 86-36

old standby. We might apply another test involving movement to the right or to the left on the keyboard. Under the two tests, quite different interpretations would arise concerning the following group:

Text			8	6	9	6	0	
Right	hand-left	hand	R	R	R	R	R	
Direct	tional		I	Ŀ	2 1	Ŀŀ	2	

One theory would be that the right hand only was used in typing the group (since numbers 6 through 0 are normally typed with the right hand). Another possibility is that a "twofingered" (hunt-and-peck) typist used his two fingers alternately.

The equiprobable measure does not apply when the directional test is used (e.g. there are millions of ways to produce RLRLRLRLR, but only one case gives RRRRRRRR).

Random probabilities have been computed for trinomes, tetranomes, and pentanomes. The probabilities labeled "with" are for text in which groups with doublets (the "hits") category) have been retained. The "without" probabilities apply when groups with doublets are not counted.

	TRI	VOMES		PENTA	ANOMES
	With	Without		With	Without
LL	.12	.148	LLLL	.00252	.0038
LR	.285	.352	LLLR	.01638	.0250
RL	.285	.352	LLRL	.04938	.0753
RR	.12	.148	LLRR	.02892	.0441
Hits	.19		LRLL	.04938	.0753
	TETRA	NOMES	LRLR	.10317	.1572
	With	Without	LRRL	.06192	.0944
LLL	.021	.029	LRRR	.01638	.0250
LLR	.087	.119	RLLL	.01638	.0250
LRL	.1695	.233 •	RLLR	.06192	.0944
LRR	.087	.119	RLRL	.10317	.1572
RLL	.087	.119	RLRR	.04938	.0753
RLR	.1695	.233	RRLL	.02892	.0441
RRL	.087	.119	RRLR	.04938	.0753
RRR	.021	.029	RRRL	.01638	.0250
Hits	.271	.025	RRRR	.00252	.0038
11103	• 2 / 1		Hits	.3439	
				(CONFID	ENTIAL)

KEEP ON ROLLING!



A CC EEEEE GGG H IIIIIII LLLM NNN OO P RR S TTTTT UU V W Y

Definitions

- 1. Of or relating to the Greco-Egyptian rulers of Egypt from 323 B.C. to 30 B.C.
- 2. Those those live in the residential section of a city
- 3. Walking or proceeding quietly or cautiously
- 4. Popular monthly
- 5. Incapable of performing something (4 words)
- 6. The highest degree or extent (2 words)
- 7. Utter (3 words)

(UNCLASSIFIED)



Aug-Sept 75 * CRYPTOLOG * Page 12 HANDLE VIA COMINT CHANNELS ONLY

(SECRET

EO 1.4.(c) P.L. 86-36

SECRET

P.L. 86-36

SECRET

A FIX FOR THE LANGUAGE PROBLEM? JOHN B. THOMAS, JR., Special Assistant, Language, A9

An "old hand" proposes a management tool that might be of help to deal with a perennial "bucket of worms."

Doris Miller's article "Language and the COMINT Production Process" (NSA Technical Journal, Summer 1974) falls gracefully into

category of "What oft was thought, but ne'er so well express'd." It is for good reason that that article won the First Prize in the Crypto-Linguistic Association's 1975 Essay Contest.

I would like to expand this subject in the same general vein, by:

- supplying a kind of postscript dealing with some specific points about voice language work and the role of the military;
- examining the paradox: If these ideas were indeed "oft thought," why hasn't more been done about them?
- suggesting a methodology and management posture by which the working linguist's and the working linguist-manager's wisdom and observations can be converted from a still small voice into a real help for higher management.

Looking first to the voice problem, I would caution that we are on shifting ground in this area. Miss Miller discusses things that specialists almost unanimously feel should be done. She considers the problem to be one of enlightening and persuading management to put some force behind the ideas she projects. The voice problem, on the other hand, I think finds even specialists with more uncertainty about standards and procedures. This is no wonder.

We need all the help we can get Channel) identification, and any other possible selection processes need to be exploited to the fullest to put the best possible intercept, the richest of all the ore, before the transcriber.

Does everyone fully realize that, until the magical "machine transcriber" appears, the voice language processor is the valve through which the whole production stream must flow? Some realize it and apply the unfair, pejorative term "bottleneck" to him. But the term is passive, whereas the transcriber is active. In fact, only in the degree to which he is active is any intelligence possible. And a person carrying out a key (that is, critical) process deserves to be recognized with at least a positive-sounding term. "Key processor" sounds awkward, but it is certainly accurate.

The Voice Explosion is terrifying.	
You zip voice tracks	
back and forth in fancy machines, and then you get more fancy machines to zip printed transcripts	
back and forth. But no "machine" can produce a	
transcript. Finally, you bite the bullet and	
admit that the human transcriber remains	
the heart of the business.	
Aug-Sept 75 * CR	(PTOLOG * Page 13
SEC	RET - HANDLE VIA COMINT CHANNELS ONLY
EO 1.4.(c)	
P.L. 86-36	







list in .

-SECRET



-3BUNG+

DOCID: 4009723

Aug-Sept 75 * CRYPTOLOG * Page 18

SECR	ET			
	EO	1.	4.	(c) -
	P.I		86.	-36

-

HANDLE VIA COMINT CHANNELS ONLY

P.L. 86-36



Time was when a translator had to be extra careful when dealing with the difference between "request" and "demand" or with the exact rendition into English to indicate just how miffed or mad or scared the original drafter of the message had been. Life was simple then, or so it seems now. The *subject matter* in the messages was "general liberal arts," although the language of diplomacy was always much more precise than the language appearing in the daily press. But U. S. interests are a bit more complex and technical now, and so are the subjects that our message texts deal with. Our generalpurpose dictionaries, or even our specialized dictionaries, are neither up to date nor up to it.

Simultaneous interpreters advise us to study, in advance, English-language reference aids dealing in general with the subject matter that is likely to be discussed in the foreign language. But we NSA-ers can't always do this. Not when a single translator might have to deal with specifics of:

Aug-Sept 75 * CRYPTOLOG * Page 19

-SECRET

HANDLE VIA COMINT CHANNELS ONLY

EO 1.4.(c) - P.L. 86-36

SECRET SPOKE

Letter to the Editor

To the Editor, CRYPTOLOG:

Hurrah for CRYPTOLOG!! This little monthly technical publication offers all of us SIGINTers a much-needed informal forum for the exchange of ideas, particularly for highly controversial subjects in need of clarification and resolution. In this process of written debate we all have an opportunity to express an opposing viewpoint, thereby bringing closer a consensus of understanding that we can all subscribe to. The major ingredient required is that the proponent know something about the subject matter involved. Unfortunately, some of the writers state their views with conviction based upon limited background experience. Such views can be dangerous because they are frequently misleading, and sometimes utterly wrong.

The latter type of erroneous view requires an immediate rebuttal before it gains even minimal credence. The anonymous letter in the June issue of CRYPTOLOG (deriding my earlier letter in the May issue) is just such a letter riddled with fallacies -- not just one, but THREE at least. It's fortunate for the author that he did not sign his name to such a gaffe.

1. Nowhere in my letter is there a hint or intent to belittle the contribution or value of the cryptanalysts. I have the highest respect for their specialized expertise, but, really, they are not always needed in the exploitation of codes or charts.

2. Mr. Noname makes his worst error (and most damning self-indictment of his technical know-ledge) by assuming that

<u> </u>	/ -/	8			
-	m 1	1 11 1	T		
3.	The	bookbreaker	r, j		

He does it by deductive reasoning, hard, painstaking work, and the maximum use of his language skill and background knowledge of the target.

Time and space do not permit me to comment on Mr. Noname's statement about "true SIGINT production." I'll take a rain check for that one. (SECRET SPOKE)

LANGUAGE IN THE NEWS

WASHINGTON POSF Friday, July 4, 1975 By Douglas B. Feaver

Washington Post Staff Writer The National Transportation

Safety Board moved yesterday to repair one of the most potentially dangerous flaws in U.S aviation—the possibility that pilots and air traffic controllors might not understand each other....

The misunderstanding in the TWA crash was of the terms, "cleared for approach."

troller at Dulle: told the plane, "You're cleared for ... approach to runway one two."

thorized to descend only to 3, 400 feet until it crossed a navigation point known as Round Hill. Then it could drop to 1, 800 feet and continue its approach to the runway.

A recording of the reaks to conversations that was reconversations that was reshould that erew members briefly debated the meaning of those instructions as they looked at their navigational charts....

LAST CHANCE!

1.	L	0	G						
2.	×	L	0	G					
3.			L	0	G				
4.				L	0	G			
5.					L	0	G		
6.						L	0	G	
7.							L	0	G

Letters used

AA B CCCC DD EEE G HH III MM

NN 00000 PPPP R SSS T UU YYY Z

Definitions

- 1. A dispute over words
- 2. Express tersely or as to induce action or instill opinion or belief
- Inflammation, especially of external parts of the body
- 4. Freed from obstructions
- 5. Of or relating to soil science; of or
- relating to child study 6. Phrenology (usually used disparagingly)
- 7. Guess!



In case you fell off somewhere, the answers to all three puz-

all three puzzles will appear in next month's issue.

(UNCLASSIFIED)

Aug-Sept 75 * CRYPTOLOG * Page 20

SECRET SPOKE

the second of the second statement of the

UNCLASSIFIED





article of several thousands words...? Long or short, if it has something worthwhile to say, we'll print it. (For your interest and guidance, one page of typescript, double-spaced, makes about one column in CRYPTOLOG.)

First-person articles or stories about your own experiences are welcome, so long as they relate to our work. (See "Busman's Holiday" in August 74 issue.)



Want anonymity? A thoughtful piece on a subject of interest to many readers will be considered for anonymous publication, if the writer requests it. (The writer must, however, identify himself to the editor in an accompanying note or by a personal call.) Needless to say, personal or trivial complaints will not be considered.

Photographic illustrations can be reproduced, at the same quality as those in the NSA Newsletter.



Sensitive materials? No. We'll go all the way to Top Secret Codeword, but we have to draw the line at compartmented or otherwise exclusive sources.



Your contribution does not have to be typed; we'll give preference to content over form, every time. (Though, especially in the case of a long piece, the editorial eye will appreciate any effort you can make in that

direction--garbles and strikeovers freely forgiven.)

Something missing? If you feel that your work or your interests are not being well

represented in CRYPTOLOG, it's probably because you and your friends are not contributing. The editors earnestly want to cover the whole territory, but articles don't grow on trees, y'know! Somebody (who knows the subject matter) has to write them.

Need assistance? You may have an idea, or some notes, or even a half-finished paper that you feel has possibilities but you don't quite know what to do with. A call to the appropriate departmental editor will get you a "story conference" and possibly inspire you to finish it up and get it into print.

SMTW

19-19-14

26 27 28 20

19 20



Our deadline is theoretically the middle of the month (the 15th of August, for publication in October, and so on), but don't let that

stop you if something good comes along on the l6th. And anyhow, this is a monthly publication; if you miss this month's deadline you'll be just in time for next month's CRYPTOLOG. See you!

Aug-Sept 75 * CRYPTOLOG * Page 21

UNCLASSIFIED

Pl-Aug 75-S3-24041

.



THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS CODEWORD MATERIAL

TOP SECRET