



Coast Guard Investigative Service



Case Management Report

Case Management ID: CS2104000617

Case Information

CGIS Office: CGIS NEW LONDON

Date/Time Logged: 4/20/21 12:22

CGIS Region: CGIS NORTHEAST REGION

Case Type: CRIMINAL INVESTIGATION

Transferred From CGIS Office:

Case Assignment

Lead Investigator: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), (k) (2)

Phone Number: (b) (6), (b) (7)

Date/Time Assigned: 4/20/21 12:26

Case Status

Investigation Status: CLOSED

Status Date/Time: 7/28/21 12:05

Clearance Type: EXCEPTION - PROSECUTION DECLINED

Date/Time Cleared: 7/28/21 8:41AM

Prosecution Status: OTHER ADMIN ACTION

Synopsis:

On 04/12/2021, RAO New London participated in a SAPR CIT regarding a third party allegation that (S) CMC Brett Verhulst, Command Master Chief, USCGA, hugged (V) (b) (6), (b) (7) USCGA, in his Hamilton Hall office following a presentation to in the USCGA board room before the USCGA Superintendent. Based on information provided, (V) (b) (6), disclosed what happened to (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), USCGA, (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), USCGA, and (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), USCGA. Between 04/16/2021 - 04/21/2021, investigative interviews were completed in this matter. On 04/21/2021, USCGA Legal was provided a brief on the investigative findings. On 07/27/2021, USCGA Legal returned a CGIS Report of Adjudication indicating that on 04/26/2021, (S) VerHulst was relieved from his position as the USCGA Command Master Chief. On 06/09/2021, RADM William Kelly, Superintendent, USCGA, New London, CT, requested his permanent relief from the CG PSC EPM, and CG PSC approved that request on 07/09/2021. No punitive action was taken. Case Closed.

Attorneys

Name	Date
(b) (6), (b) (7)(C), (k) INDIVIDUAL	04/21/2021
(b) (6), (b) (7) ATTORNEY	04/21/2021

Case Related Names

Name	Involvement
VERHULST,BRETT LAVERNE	SUBJECT

Related Action Reports

Action #	Reported Date	Action Type	Status	Primary
AR2104000656	04/12/2021	INITIAL CRIME REPORT		✓
Comments				
Initial CGIS Notification				



Coast Guard Investigative Service



Action Report

Action Report #
AR2104000656

Action Type
INITIAL CRIME REPORT

Action Date
04/12/2021

Approval Status
APPROVED

Action Report Details

Location: 15 MOHEGAN AVE, NEW LONDON, CT 06320

Reported Date: 04/12/2021 11:00

Hate Crime: ☐

Domestic Violence: ☐

Reporting Agent: (b) (6), (b) (7)

Approving Official: (b) (6), (b) (7)

Related CG Unit: CT CG ACADEMY

Op Name:

Related CG Type:

Related FIR: NO

Related CG District: DISTRICT 01

Related CG Area: HEADQUARTERS

CGIS Office: CGIS NEW LONDON

Related MISLE #:

CGIS Region: CGIS NORTHEAST REGION

CGIS Self Initiated: NO

Insider Threat Incident: NO

OIG Referral #:

OIG Misc #:

Synopsis:

Initial CGIS notification - Unwanted touch by (S) MCPO Verhulst

Offense Codes

Offense Information

Offense Code: <ART128-A> ASSAULT (ON OR AFTER 1/1/2019)

Primary Offense: ☐

Severity: UCMJ

Attempt: COMPLETED

Date Information

Reported On: 04/12/2021 12:43

Date of Entry: 04/20/2021 16:44

Occured From: 04/01/2021 12:43

Occured To: 04/02/2021 12:43

Time of Entry:

Drug Offense

Drug Related: NO

Drug origin:

Drug Type:

Drug Precursors:

Offense Information

Offense Code: <ART93> CRUELTY OR MALTREATMENT

Primary Offense: ☒

Severity: UCMJ

Attempt: COMPLETED

Date Information

APPROVED COPY

UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY/LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE

Public Availability to be Determined Under 5 U.S.C. §552

Action Report #	Action Type	Action Date	Approval Status
AR2104000656	INITIAL CRIME REPORT	04/12/2021	APPROVED

Reported On: 04/12/2021 12:48

Date of Entry: 04/20/2021 16:54

Occured From: 04/01/2021 12:48

Occured To: 04/02/2021 12:48

Time of Entry:

Drug Offense

Drug Related: NO

Drug origin:

Drug Type:

Drug Precursors:

Associated Names**Action Report/Name Information**

Involvement: SUBJECT

Linked Name: VERHULST, BRETT LAVERNE, INDIVIDUAL

Juvenile At Time: ☐**Related Offenses**

<ART93> CRUELTY OR MALTREATMENT

<ART128-A> ASSAULT (ON OR AFTER 1/1/2019)

Action Report/Name Information

Involvement: VICTIM

Linked Name: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), INDIVIDUAL

Juvenile At Time: ☐**Victim Information**

Victim Injury: NONE

Victim Injury 2:

Victim Injury 3:

Victim Injury 4:

Victim Injury 5:

Related Offenses

<ART93> CRUELTY OR MALTREATMENT

<ART128-A> ASSAULT (ON OR AFTER 1/1/2019)

Relationship With Suspects

VICTIM WAS ACQUAINTANCE WITH VERHULST, BRETT LAVERNE

Action Report/Name Information

Involvement: REPORTING PERSON / PERSON WITH KNOWLEDGE

Linked Name: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), INDIVIDUAL

Juvenile At Time: ☐**Action Report/Name Information**

Involvement: PERSON WITH KNOWLEDGE

Linked Name: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), INDIVIDUAL

Juvenile At Time: ☐**Action Report/Name Information**

Involvement: PERSON WITH KNOWLEDGE

Linked Name: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), INDIVIDUAL

Juvenile At Time: ☐

APPROVED COPY

UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY/LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE

Print Date : 07/28/2021

Public Availability to be Determined Under 5 U.S.C. §552

Page 2 of 17

Action Report #
AR2104000656

Action Type
INITIAL CRIME REPORT

Action Date
04/12/2021

Approval Status
APPROVED

Action Report/Name Information

Involvement: PERSON WITH KNOWLEDGE

Linked Name: (b) (6), (b) (7) INDIVIDUAL

Juvenile At Time: ☐

Action Report/Name Information

Involvement: PERSON WITH KNOWLEDGE

Linked Name: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), (k) INDIVIDUAL

Juvenile At Time: ☐

Action Report/Name Information

Involvement: PERSON WITH KNOWLEDGE

Linked Name: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), INDIVIDUAL

Juvenile At Time: ☐

Action Report/Name Information

Involvement: VICTIM

Linked Name: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), INDIVIDUAL

Juvenile At Time: ☐

Victim Information

Victim Injury: NONE

Victim Injury 2:

Victim Injury 3:

Victim Injury 4:

Victim Injury 5:

Related Offenses

<ART93> CRUELTY OR MALTREATMENT
<ART128-A> ASSAULT (ON OR AFTER 1/1/2019)

Relationship With Suspects

VICTIM WAS OTHERWISE KNOWN WITH VERHULST,BRETT LAVERNE

Action Report/Name Information

Involvement: VICTIM

Linked Name: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), INDIVIDUAL

Juvenile At Time: ☐

Victim Information

Victim Injury: NONE

Victim Injury 2:

Victim Injury 3:

Victim Injury 4:

Victim Injury 5:

Related Offenses

<ART93> CRUELTY OR MALTREATMENT
<ART128-A> ASSAULT (ON OR AFTER 1/1/2019)

Relationship With Suspects

VICTIM WAS OTHERWISE KNOWN WITH VERHULST,BRETT LAVERNE

APPROVED COPY

Action Report #	Action Type	Action Date	Approval Status
AR2104000656	INITIAL CRIME REPORT	04/12/2021	APPROVED

Action Report/Name Information

Involvement: PERSON WITH KNOWLEDGE

Linked Name: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), INDIVIDUAL

Juvenile At Time: ☐

Action Report/Name Information

Involvement: VICTIM

Linked Name: (b) (6), (b) (7) INDIVIDUAL

Juvenile At Time: ☐

Victim Information

Victim Injury: NONE

Victim Injury 2:

Victim Injury 3:

Victim Injury 4:

Victim Injury 5:

Related Offenses

<ART93> CRUELTY OR MALTREATMENT

<ART128-A> ASSAULT (ON OR AFTER 1/1/2019)

Relationship With Suspects

VICTIM WAS FRIEND WITH VERHULST,BRETT LAVERNE

Action Report/Name Information

Involvement: PERSON WITH KNOWLEDGE

Linked Name: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), INDIVIDUAL

Juvenile At Time: ☐

Action Report/Name Information

Involvement: HEALTH, SAFETY & WORK-LIFE

Linked Name: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), (k)(2) INDIVIDUAL

Juvenile At Time: ☐

Action Report/Name Information

Involvement: VICTIM

Linked Name: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), (k)(2) INDIVIDUAL

Juvenile At Time: ☐

Victim Information

Victim Injury: NONE

Victim Injury 2:

Victim Injury 3:

Victim Injury 4:

Victim Injury 5:

Related Offenses

<ART93> CRUELTY OR MALTREATMENT

<ART128-A> ASSAULT (ON OR AFTER 1/1/2019)

Relationship With Suspects

RELATIONSHIP UNKNOWN WITH VERHULST,BRETT LAVERNE

APPROVED COPY

Action Report #	Action Type	Action Date	Approval Status
AR2104000656	INITIAL CRIME REPORT	04/12/2021	APPROVED

Action Report/Name Information

Involvement: PERSON WITH KNOWLEDGE (b) (6).
Linked Name: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C). INDIVIDUAL

Juvenile At Time: ☐

Action Report/Name Information

Involvement: PERSON WITH KNOWLEDGE
Linked Name: (b) (6), (b) (7) INDIVIDUAL

Juvenile At Time: ☐

Action Report Narratives

Created On: 04/20/2021 17:22 Title: INITIAL CGIS NOTIFICATION

Reporting Agent: (b) (6), (b) Participating Agent:

Narrative:

On 04/12/2021, during a SAPR CIT, (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), (k), USCGA, reported that (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), USCGA briefed her that (PK) (b) (6), (b) informed him that (S) MCPO Verhulst hugged (V) (b) (6), in his office following a presentation to the USCGA Superintendent, date unknown. (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7) stated (V) (b) (6), disclosed what happened to (PK) (b) (6), USCGA, (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), following the incident. (6)

Involved Agents

Agent:	Involvement:
(b) (6), (b)	REPORTING AGENT

Action Report Supplements

Supplement #: AR2104000656-0001 APPROVED Title: (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), (k) INTERVIEW
Date/Time: 04/16/2021 13:23 Agent: (b) (6), (b)

Narrative Title: (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), (k) INTERVIEW 04/20/2021 18:26

On 04/16/2021, I interviewed (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), USCGA, at CGIS, RAO New London. During this interview, (PK) (b) (6), (b) stated in late March/early April 2021, he was speaking with (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), USCGA, and (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7). During their conversation, one of the cadets stated that (V) (b) (6), (b) (7) USCGA, had been hugged by (S) CMC Brett Verhulst, USCGA. (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7) stated he commented that (S) CMC Verhulst's actions were "weird", but he did not report what he had been told.

On 04/07/2021, (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7) stated he again was informed that (S) CMC Verhulst hugged (V) (b) (6), while he was assisting (PK) (b) (6), with the CGA Yearbook. During this conversation, (PK) (b) (6), (b) again commented that (V) (b) (6), informed her that (S) CMC Verhulst hugged her following a brief to the Superintendent on Cadet Peer Support matters. After the presentation, (S) CMC Verhulst invited (V) (b) (6), to his office and presented her with a challenge coin. While in his office, he asked (V) (b) (6), if he could hug her. (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7) stated he commented that (S) CMC Verhulst's actions were inappropriate and he wanted to meet with (V) (b) (6), regarding the matter. (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7) stated after assisting (PK) (b) (6), he spoke to (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), (k) USCGA and informed him what she had told him. Continuing on the same day, (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7) stated he briefly spoke to (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), (k)(2) USCGA, and inquired whether she believed the circumstances warranted a mandated report, and she told him she did not believe it did. Continuing on the same day, (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7) stated he observed (V) (b) (6), walking past his office and he requested to speak to her. During their conversation, (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7) stated he asked (V) (b) (6), if (S) CMC Verhulst hugged her. After a brief pause, (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7) observed (V) (b) (6), demeanor change and he asked her to tell him what happened. (V) (b) (6), then provided (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7) with the details of what transpired. (V) (b) (6), told him that following a brief that she provided to the USCGA Superintendent, (S) CMC Verhulst invited her back to his office to give her a challenge coin. While in the office, (S) CMC Verhulst asked if he could give her a hug. (V) (b) (6), stated they hugged and (S) CMC Verhulst placed his hand on the nape of her neck/side of her head. (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7) stated (V) (b) (6), then pulled away and exited his office. (V) (b) (6), then requested that (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7) not report the incident because (S) CMC Verhulst is a good man and doesn't want to get him in trouble. (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7) stated he then told (V) (b) (6), that his actions were inappropriate and she thanked him for validating her feelings. (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7) stated he believed the incident should be reported, but presented (V) (b) (6), with an option that he and the other Chiefs address the behavior with (S) CMC Verhulst. (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7) stated (V) (b) (6), was more comfortable with that happening rather than the matter being officially reported. (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7) stated he further

APPROVED COPY

Action Report #	Action Type	Action Date	Approval Status
AR2104000656	INITIAL CRIME REPORT	04/12/2021	APPROVED

thought about the incident after speaking to (V) (b) (6), and determined it would be best to report the incident so he contacted (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7) and briefed her. (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7) stated he believed that (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7) notified the USCGA Assistant Commandant of Cadets because he was then asked to brief the Assistant Commandant of Cadets on 04/12/2021. (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7) stated he was contacted by (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), (k)(2) on 04/13/2021 and was asked for (V) (b) (6), cell phone (b) (6), number. (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7) stated (b) (6), came to his office on 04/14/2021 and was upset with him because he reported the incident to his chain of command. (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7) stated he explained to (V) (b) (6), that he believed the incident met the threshold to be a mandatory report. During this conversation, (V) (b) (6), inquired if (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7) knew what would happen to (S) CMC Verhulst, and if this incident would have an impact on his retirement. (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7) stated he again met with (V) (b) (6), at her request on 04/16/2021. During this conversation, (V) (b) (6), asked (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7) for his opinion if she should participate in an investigation, which he stated yes. (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7) stated he informed (V) (b) (6), that CGIS requested to speak to him and that he would be meeting with them later in the day. (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7) stated he asked (V) (b) (6), if she was comfortable in the presence of (S) CMC Verhulst since the incident, which she replied no. (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7) also provided details of about concerning behavior during the dining in that took place on/about 04/15/2021, where (S) CMC Verhulst appeared to be intoxicated. (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7) stated at some point during the night, (S) CMC Verhulst was no where to be found. Due to the previous observations and rumors about (S) CMC Verhulst paying more attention to female cadets, he was told that a round was completed in Chase Hall to make sure he was not walking through the cadet living areas. (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7) stated he also heard about another incident involving a female cadet who attended a Hotel Company event at the Superintendent's quarters, date unknown. During this event, (S) CMC Verhulst invited the female cadet to his residence at the USCGA to retrieve a book she had loaned him. As the two approached the entrance, the female cadet said she would wait outside while (S) CMC Verhulst retrieved the book. (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7) stated he was informed that (S) CMC Verhulst exited his residence a short time later and informed the female cadet he could not locate the book and must have left it in his office. Following this interview, (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7) provided me with a voluntary typewritten statement regarding his recollection of event (Attachment - (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7) statement).

Supplement #: [AR2104000656-0002](#)

APPROVED Title: (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), (k)(2)

Date/Time: 04/19/2021 14:27

Agent: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), (k)(2)

Narrative Title: (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), (k)(2)

04/20/2021 18:30

On 04/19/2021, S/A (b) (6), (b) (7) and I interviewed (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), (k)(2) USCGA, New London CT, at CGIS RAO New London. During the interview, (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7) described a conversation she had with (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), (k)(2) USCGA, New London, CT, and (V) (b) (6), (b) (7) USCGA, New London, CT, regarding a recent brief that (V) (b) (6), had with the Admiral. (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7) said (V) (b) (6), was emotional and crying when she entered their barracks room. (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7) attributed (V) (b) (6), emotional state to the brief not going how she intended. (V) (b) (6), disclosed that (S) CMC Brett VerHulst, USCGA Hamilton Hall, New London CT, had given her a hug following the brief and touched her face. (PK) (b) (6), recalled thinking "that's weird" but maybe (S) CMC Verhulst was trying to be comforting. During a conversation (b) (6) between (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7) and (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), (k)(2) USCGA, New London, CT. (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7) told (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7) about the incident between (V) (b) (6), and (S) CMC Verhulst.

(V) (b) (6), was very upset with (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7) for telling (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7) about the incident. According to (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7) (V) (b) (6), was upset an investigation had been initiated on her behalf. (b) (6)

(PK) (b) (6), (b) (7) said she felt extremely guilty for bringing up the topic in conversation with (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7) and she felt like she betrayed (V) (b) (6), trust.

Supplement #: [AR2104000656-0003](#)

APPROVED Title: (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), (k)(2) INTERVIEW

Date/Time: 04/19/2021 14:30

Agent: (b) (6), (b) (7)

Narrative Title: (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), (k)(2) INTERVIEW

04/20/2021 18:32

On 04/19/2021, S/A (b) (6), (b) (7) and I interviewed (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), (k)(2) USCGA, at CGIS RAO New London. During the interview, (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7) stated (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7) recently requested to speak to him about an incident that occurred between (S) CMC Verhulst and (b) (6), (b) (7). (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7) stated (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7) briefly told him he was informed by another female cadet that (S) CMC Verhulst hugged (V) (b) (6), while the two were in his office. During this conversation, (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7) explained that he has heard that (S) CMC Verhulst paid more attention to female cadets and has observed similar incidents by him in the past. When asked to explain, (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7) stated he observed (S) CMC Verhulst hug an unidentified female at the delayed USCGA graduation that took place in/about October 2020. (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7) stated he reported what he had observed, but it caused him to comment at the time; "so much for social distancing" to a nearby co-worker because it seemed strange (S) CMC Verhulst would hug a female cadet. (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7) described a second

APPROVED COPY

UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY/LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE

Public Availability to be Determined Under 5 U.S.C. §552

Action Report #	Action Type	Action Date	Approval Status
AR2104000656	INITIAL CRIME REPORT	04/12/2021	APPROVED

incident at an event that took place at the USCGA Superintendent's residence on 04/13/2021. At this event, (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7) stated he escorted a group of cadets (approximately 15) to the residence for a reception. Upon arrival, the cadets were greeted in the residence by those in the receiving line that consisted of RADM William Kelly, USCGA Superintendent, (b) (6), and (S) CMC Verhulst. As the cadets were being greeted, (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7) stated he observed (S) CMC Verhulst hug a female cadet, later identified as (V) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C). USCGA. (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7) stated he believes the Kellys could have observed the hug because the receiving line was fairly close together in entrance/foyer to the residence. (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7) stated he did not observe (S) CMC Verhulst hug any other cadets. (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7) also stated during the dining in that took place on/about 04/15/2021, (S) CMC Verhulst appeared to be intoxicated. At some point during the night, the Assistant Commandant of Cadets commented to him that (S) CMC Verhulst was nowhere to be found. Due to the previous observations and rumors about (S) CMC Verhulst behavior toward female cadets, he made a round to look for him in Chase Hall to make sure he was not walking through the cadet living areas. (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7) stated he did not locate (S) CMC Verhulst in Chase Hall and did not see him for the remainder of the evening.

Supplement #: AR2104000656-0004

APPROVED Title: (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C). INTERVIEW

Date/Time: 04/20/2021 14:32

Agent: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), (k)

Narrative Title: (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C). INTERVIEW

04/20/2021 18:34

On 4/19/2021, S/A (b) (6), (b) (7) and I interviewed (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C). USCGA, New London, CT, at CGIS RAO New London. During the interview, (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7) stated the following:

(PK) (b) (6), (b) (7) said is "best friends" with (V) (b) (6), (b) (7) USCGA, New London, CT.

(PK) (b) (6), (b) (7) recalled a conversation she had with (V) (b) (6), (b) (7) after a command brief in March 2021. Also present during the conversation was (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), (k) USCGA, New London, CT. (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7) and (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7) were in their barracks room when (V) (b) (6), (b) (7) visited them following her command brief. (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7) said (V) (b) (6), (b) (7) was stressed out and upset about the outcome of the briefing. This was due to the fact the person she was supposed to be giving the brief with was not present, "because something happened to him." (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7) informed us that (V) (b) (6), (b) (7) deals with "a lot of heavy stuff" at the Academy relating to mental health.

According to (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7) after the briefing (S) CMC Brett VerHulst, USCGA Hamilton Hall, New London CT, touched (V) (b) (6), (b) (7) face, which she thought was "weird." (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7) further described this incident as (S) CMC VerHulst using the palm of his hand to touch (V) (b) (6), (b) (7) cheek in a comforting manner. (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7) also thought (S) CMC VerHulst gave (V) (b) (6), (b) (7) a challenge coin during the above mentioned incident.

(PK) (b) (6), (b) (7) described her relationship with (S) CMC VerHulst as professional. (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7) has routine meetings with (S) CMC VerHulst due to her leadership positions within the Corps of Cadets. (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7) has not witnessed any other inappropriate contact involving (S) CMC VerHulst. (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7) described (S) CMC VerHulst as more personal with cadets than other members of the command. (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7) thought this incident may have been due to a generational difference but stated it "seems kind of weird."

(PK) (b) (6), (b) (7) indicated (V) (b) (6), (b) (7) informed her that (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7) told a member of their Command about (S) CMC VerHulst touching (V) (b) (6), (b) (7) face. (V) (b) (6), (b) (7) was upset about this and "wanted to have nothing to do with it." (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7) recalled (V) (b) (6), (b) (7) saying the command may know about additional and similar incidents regarding (S) CMC VerHulst.

(PK) (b) (6), (b) (7) stated (V) (b) (6), (b) (7) is really upset that there was an official report made regarding this incident. (V) (b) (6), (b) (7) is a highly visible cadet and feels like she is leaving the academy in a negative light after making a report against (S) CMC VerHulst.

Supplement #: AR2104000656-0005

APPROVED Title: (V) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C). INTERVIEW

Date/Time: 04/20/2021 14:34

Agent: (b) (6), (b) (7)

Narrative Title: (V) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C). INTERVIEW

04/20/2021 18:36

On 04/20/2021, SA (b) (6), (b) (7) and I interviewed (V) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C). USCGA, at RAO New London. We explained that her name was brought up during an investigation as someone who received a hug from (S) CMC Brett VerHulst, USCGA, during a recent dining in event at the USCGA.

(V) (b) (6), (b) (7) described her relationship with (S) VerHulst as "pretty close" and stated he had been her mentor since her (b) (6), (b) (7).

APPROVED COPY

UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY/LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE

UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY//LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE

Public Availability to be Determined Under 5 U.S.C. §552

Action Report #	Action Type	Action Date	Approval Status
AR2104000656	INITIAL CRIME REPORT	04/12/2021	APPROVED

She said they typically met approximately once per month at the coffee shop on board the USCGA. She said she felt like she could trust him, and they talked about both Coast Guard and personal issues.

(V) (b) (6) characterized (S) VerHulst as well-liked among the cadets and described a recent occasion on which he made a speech, and all the cadets stood up to applaud him.

(V) (b) (6) advised she remembered the incident we were referring to where (S) VerHulst hugged her at the dining in event. She stated (S) VerHulst had hugged her in the past, but the hug at the dining in event was "a little longer than normal." She described the hug as lasting approximately four or five seconds. (V) (b) (6) said she thought (S) VerHulst may have had "a little to drink" that night because he was "rowdy" and she thought he was excited all the cadets were there. In reference to her interaction with him at the event, (V) (b) (6) said she was "happy to see him" and during the hug she "tried to back up but he was still holding on." (V) (b) (6) stated (S) VerHulst did not touch or grab her anywhere that made her feel uncomfortable on that occasion or at any other time.

When asked if she had any unusual interactions involving (S) VerHulst, she stated she attended last year's "Firstie Formal" when her fiancé, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), USCGC TYBEE, was a (b) (6). (V) (b) (6) described the dress she wore as low cut in the back and stated that when (S) VerHulst hugged her at that event, he touched her on her lower back. (V) (b) (6) said that after the interaction with (S) VerHulst, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) told (V) (b) (6) he was uncomfortable with the way (S) VerHulst touched her and looked at her "up and down." (V) (b) (6) stated (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) understood the environment at the USCGA, and he was not uncomfortable with (V) (b) (6)'s interactions with men in general, but (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) specifically did not like the way (S) VerHulst interacted with (V) (b) (6).

(V) (b) (6) was subsequently provided the CGIS Initial Information for Victims and Witnesses of Crime pamphlet.

Supplement #: AR2104000656-0006

APPROVED Title: (V) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) INTERVIEW

Date/Time: 04/20/2021 14:39

Agent: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), (k)

Narrative Title: (V) LINDSAY (b) (6) INTERVIEW

04/20/2021 18:41

On 4/20/2021, S/A (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) and I interviewed (V) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) USCGA Cadet Division, New London, CT, at RAO New London, CT. (V) (b) (6) came to CGIS voluntarily to provide information about an incident that involved (S) CMC Brett VerHulst, USCGA Hamilton Hall, New London CT.

During the interview, (V) (b) (6) stated she heard there was an ongoing investigation regarding (S) CMC VerHulst.

(V) (b) (6) said on 4/23/2021, during the "Quarters 1" reception, she entered the Admiral's quarters with approximately 15 Cadets. (V) (b) (6) described the receiving line as Admiral William Kelly, Superintendent, USCGA, New London, CT, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), (k)(2), New London, CT and (S) CMC VerHulst.

(V) (b) (6) said when she greeted (S) CMC VerHulst he placed his hand on her upper arm. (V) (b) (6) classified the incident as "weird." No one else at the event touched her. (V) (b) (6) recalled feeling like she should have said something at the time but she did not.

(V) (b) (6) further stated later that evening during the second session of the "Quarters 1" event, (S) CMC VerHulst stood next to her and rubbed her upper back without permission in a circular motion with his left hand. (V) (b) (6) estimated this went on for approximately 4 -5 seconds. (V) (b) (6) stated she felt very uncomfortable while (S) CMC VerHulst touched her back. (V) (b) (6) said she was wearing a sleeved dress during the event that covered her back. (V) (b) (6) said she regrets not saying something sooner and described a feeling of remorse by not saying something directly to (S) CMC VerHulst during the incident. (V) (b) (6) clarified this statement by saying she is supposed to be a role model for the cadets and she shouldn't have tolerated the unwanted touching in case a cadet observed it and then thought that it was ok.

(V) (b) (6) did not see (S) CMC VerHulst touching anyone else. (V) (b) (6) believes that (S) CMC VerHulst crossed the professional boundaries and that he was extra friendly and oddly casual with her and other women.

(V) (b) (6) opined that (S) CMC VerHulst always chooses female cadets for mentorships and acts differently toward males.

Supplement #: AR2104000656-0007

APPROVED Title: (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), (k) INTERVIEW

APPROVED COPY

UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY//LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE

UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY/LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE
Public Availability to be Determined Under 5 U.S.C. §552

Action Report #	Action Type	Action Date	Approval Status
AR2104000656	INITIAL CRIME REPORT	04/12/2021	APPROVED

Date/Time: 04/20/2021 09:50

Agent: (b) (6), (b) (7)

Narrative Title: (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), (k) INTERVIEW

04/21/2021 13:13

On 04/20/2021, S/A (b) (6), (b) (7) and I interviewed (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), Leadership Development Center (LDC), at RAO New London.

(PK) (b) (6) advised he first met (S) CMC Brett VerHulst, USCGA, in approximately 2013. He described their relationship as peers, and he stated they did not spend time together socially outside of Coast Guard related events. (PK) (b) (6) offered he had no malice toward (S) VerHulst and no reason to "sink his career."

(PK) (b) (6) stated he saw (S) VerHulst occasionally at the Dry Dock Café on board the CGA, and (S) VerHulst was always with female cadets. (PK) (b) (6) said he had never seen (S) VerHulst with any male cadets.

(PK) (b) (6) said he did not think (S) VerHulst was doing a very good job at his assignment at the CGA because he was focused on cadets instead of the enlisted work force, and there were complaints in the Chiefs' Mess about his performance. He stated he had also heard comments from some chiefs who said (S) VerHulst made them uncomfortable. (NFI)

(PK) (b) (6) said that around October 2021, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), (k), LDC, approached him and asked him to speak to (S) VerHulst about the appearance of his inappropriate behavior toward female Coast Guard members.

(PK) (b) (6) said he and (S) VerHulst met at the student center at the USCGA, and he told (S) VerHulst there was a perception that he was behaving inappropriately toward female Coast Guard members. He gave the example of (S) VerHulst touching women on their lower backs. (PK) (b) (6) said (S) VerHulst appeared to be surprised, and his main concern was about who knew about the perception, and not why the perception existed. (PK) (b) (6) said (S) VerHulst was specifically worried about if RADM William Kelly, Superintendent, USCGA, knew about this issue. (PK) (b) (6) said (S) VerHulst "jumped on the buzzwords about diversity and inclusion" to defend his behavior toward women. (PK) (b) (6) said he advised (S) VerHulst his mentoring sessions with female petty officers and cadets were too much, and he should "back off" from always being with only women.

(PK) (b) (6) said he was disappointed that (S) VerHulst "didn't do the right thing" after their October 2020 discussion. He stated (S) VerHulst's behavior was clearly a choice as opposed to a mistake, and he opined (S) VerHulst's behavior toward females represented a "core values issue."

(PK) (b) (6) stated he recently found out through (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), (k), USCGA, that several years earlier, when (V) (b) (6), (b) (7) USCGA, arrived at the USCGA, she asked (b) (6), (b) (7) about (S) VerHulst because (S) VerHulst had hugged her and kissed her to welcome her to the CGA.

(PK) (b) (6) said he also recently heard there was an incident when (S) VerHulst invited a female cadet back to his office alone to give her a coin.

When asked if he had any unusual interactions with (S) VerHulst, (PK) (b) (6) stated he knew (S) VerHulst was married with children. He said that for years, he assumed (S) VerHulst was single because he never mentioned his wife and children. In addition, he gave the example of seeing (S) VerHulst with a female Coast Guard member at another command, and (PK) (b) (6) assumed they were dating because they spent so much time together. (PK) (b) (6) said he was really surprised to recently learn that (S) VerHulst was married.

(PK) (b) (6) also advised that several years earlier, when (b) (6), (b) (7) was going to the Chiefs' Academy, (S) VerHulst emailed (b) (6), (b) (7) to ask if he was inviting the Command to the training center. (b) (6), (b) (7) said he didn't know that was the protocol, so he invited the Command. (PK) (b) (6) said (b) (6), (b) (7) told him (S) VerHulst traveled to the training center for an entire week while (b) (6), (b) (7) was in training, but he only met (b) (6), (b) (7) for coffee briefly and attended one dinner. (PK) (b) (6) said (b) (6), (b) (7) told him that (S) VerHulst was with a (b) (6), (b) (7) at the coffee meeting, and (S) VerHulst told (b) (6), (b) (7) that the SK1 was his escort. (PK) (b) (6) stated he had traveled to the training center on official business many times, and he had never had an escort. (PK) (b) (6) opined (S) VerHulst traveled to the training center "on the Coast Guard's dime" and spent the week hanging out with the (b) (6), (b) (7).

Supplement #: AR2104000656-0008

APPROVED Title: (V) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), INTERVIEW

Date/Time: 04/20/2021 13:15

Agent: (b) (6), (b) (7)

Narrative Title: (V) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), INTERVIEW

04/21/2021 14:20

On 04/20/2021, S/A (b) (6), (b) (7) and I interviewed (V) (b) (6), (b) (7), USCGA, in her office at the Coast Guard Academy

APPROVED COPY

UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY/LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE

Action Report #	Action Type	Action Date	Approval Status
AR2104000656	INITIAL CRIME REPORT	04/12/2021	APPROVED

Clinic.

When we informed (V) (b) (6) we wanted to talk to her about her interactions with (S) CMC Brett VerHulst, USCGA, she laughed.

(V) (b) (6) said she was a volunteer on the committee to plan for (S) VerHulst's upcoming retirement, and she had no desire to "ruin his career." She described him as "a huggy kind of person" and said he was "more huggy with females." She said she felt that more from him during her first two years assigned to the USCGA and less during this past year. She later explained she had worked from home for a lot of the last year because of the COVID-19 pandemic.

She described herself as "proactive" and said she does not stand next to people who are too "touchy" for her comfort level. She prided herself on not putting herself in the position of having people touch her when she's not comfortable with it.

When specifically asked about the interaction she had with (S) VerHulst soon after her arrival at the CGA, she said (S) VerHulst did give her an unwanted hug. She said the hug made her uncomfortable, but not uncomfortable enough to report it. She said she mentioned the uncomfortable interaction to a coworker, and there was an ongoing joke at the clinic about (S) VerHulst's interactions with women. She said coworkers said things like she needed to close her door when (S) VerHulst came to the clinic and when people saw (S) VerHulst with female cadets, they said things like, "there's another one."

We then told her we knew the interaction with (S) VerHulst was more than just a hug, and (V) (b) (6) admitted (S) VerHulst also kissed her on the forehead during that interaction.

She said the incident took place in either 2018 or 2019. She said they may have been in the Chiefs' Mess at the time or somewhere else on campus, but she knew they were not in her office. She said when he hugged and kissed her, she stepped away. She said it was unclear if (S) VerHulst was trying to be "fatherly" or if it was something else.

(S) VerHulst said she was uncomfortable to be alone with (S) VerHulst, and she made sure she never ended up in a room alone with him. She said she purposely did not stand next to him when she saw him because he is "too touchy for my comfort level."

She also described an incident in which (S) VerHulst came into her office, and instead of staying in front of her desk where other visitors stand/sit, he came around the natural barrier of her desk to talk to her.

(V) (b) (6) said she never saw (S) VerHulst with men, and he was often with female cadets. She said his conduct with women appears inappropriate, especially considering his position as the CMC. She gave examples of his inappropriate conduct as hugging, putting an arm around a woman, and/or squeezing the arm(s) of women. She stated she did not typically go to the cadet lounge, but the one time she went there, she saw (S) VerHulst with his arm around a female cadet.

(V) (b) (6) said (S) VerHulst was a "nice guy" and if she had seen him kiss anyone else, she felt like she would have spoken up. She said she dealt with him kissing her by avoiding additional circumstances that could put her in that position.

(V) (b) (6) was subsequently provided with the CGIS Initial Information for Victims and Witnesses of Crime pamphlet.

Supplement #: [AR2104000656-0012](#)

APPROVED Title: (V) (b) (6), (b) (7) INTERVIEW

Date/Time: 04/21/2021 11:00

Agent: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), (k)

Narrative Title: (V) (b) (6), (b) (7) INTERVIEW

04/22/2021 21:11

On 04/21/2021, S/A (b) (6), (b) (7) and I interviewed (V) (b) (6), (b) (7) USCGA, New London, CT, at CGIS RAO New London, CT. (V) (b) (6) declined to have an SVC or VA present with her during the interview. The interview was audio-video recorded with the Axon Capture application and then uploaded to the CGIS Digital Evidence Management System under Case ID #: CS2104000617. The associated URL is linked below.

The following is an interview summary; it is not intended to be a verbatim account and does not memorialize all statements made during the interview. Communication by all parties in the interview was electronically recorded, and the recording captured the actual words spoken. The following was noted:

(V) (b) (6) described her role as leader of the Cadet Peer Support Group. (V) (b) (6) recalled giving a brief in late February 2021 to RADM William Kelly, Superintendent USCGA, New London, CT, (S) CMC Brett VerHulst, USCGA Hamilton Hall, New London, CT, and (b) (6), (b) (7), civilian, USCGA Counseling, New London, CT, regarding a recent suicide attempt. (V) (b) (6) said she was not in her typical state of mind. She was emotional due to the fact that her partner on the Cadet Peer Support Group attempted suicide during the overnight hours.

APPROVED COPY

Action Report #	Action Type	Action Date	Approval Status
AR2104000656	INITIAL CRIME REPORT	04/12/2021	APPROVED

(V) (b) (6) said after the brief concluded, (S) CMC VerHulst told her that he would walk her out. (V) (b) (6) recalled RADM Kelly asking (S) CMC VerHulst where he was going. (S) CMC VerHulst responded that he was going to walk (V) (b) (6) out. (V) (b) (6) described walking with (S) CMC VerHulst to his office. (V) (b) (6) indicated that she was emotional and "teary eyed" as a result of the subject discussed during the briefing. (V) (b) (6) said (S) CMC VerHulst entered the office first and she followed. The door was left open. (V) (b) (6) stated (S) CMC VerHulst gave her a challenge coin and then gave her a hug. (V) (b) (6) described the hug as a "very firm, side hug." (V) (b) (6) remembered pulling away from (S) CMC VerHulst, at which time he placed his hand on the left side of (V) (b) (6) face/head, saying something similar to, "if you ever need anything." (V) (b) (6) did not recall the exact words spoken.

(V) (b) (6) said it may not have registered with (S) CMC VerHulst that she was uncomfortable.

(V) (b) (6) thought the interaction was strange and that it would not have happened if she was a male cadet. (V) (b) (6) did not think the interaction would have went any further but she separated from him anyway. (V) (b) (6) said she felt strange and the interaction was unprofessional. (V) (b) (6) stated that (S) CMC VerHulst said he had to go to a meeting. (V) (b) (6) recalled waiting in the office as (S) CMC VerHulst exited because "I didn't want to walk out with him."

(V) (b) (6) later told (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), (k) and (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), USCGA, New London, CT, about the incident involving (S) CMC VerHulst while discussing the results of her brief with RADM Kelly.

Approximately one week later, (V) (b) (6) was approached by (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), USCGA, New London, CT. (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7) inquired about the incident involving (S) CMC VerHulst and asked if (V) (b) (6) was okay. (V) (b) (6) told (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7) that (S) CMC VerHulst hugged her and touched her face which was "weird," but she was not going to pursue the issue.

(V) (b) (6) stated she did not want to make an issue out of the unwanted touching by (S) CMC VerHulst because she did not want to hurt his retirement. (V) (b) (6) detailed a difficult time in her family's life when her father lost his job and she believed that (S) CMC VerHulst was supporting a family. (V) (b) (6) also stated she did not think that (S) CMC VerHulst would not have taken the action any further and cited the generational differences between herself and (S) CMC VerHulst.

(V) (b) (6) ultimately made the decision to speak with CGIS after learning there may have been other instances where (S) CMC VerHulst was "touchy" towards both cadets and staff at the USCGA.

(V) (b) (6) stated she believes that a warning would be an appropriate reaction to (S) CMC VerHulst's behavior. (V) (b) (6) also noted (S) CMC VerHulst should treat men and women in the same manner.

(V) (b) (6) was subsequently provided with the CGIS Initial Information for Victims and Witnesses of Crime pamphlet.

Interview of (V) (b) (6) - [https://uscgis.us.evidence.com/axon/evidence?](https://uscgis.us.evidence.com/axon/evidence?evidence_id=9bd935c96181401e872282489db1d9d1&partner_id=4f81ab7a38784866b553866172d24239)

evidence_id=9bd935c96181401e872282489db1d9d1&partner_id=4f81ab7a38784866b553866172d24239

Supplement #: AR2104000656-0009	APPROVED	Title: (S) CMC BRETT VERHULST INTERVIEW
Date/Time: 04/21/2021 13:30	Agent: (b) (6), (b) (7)	
Narrative Title: (S) CMC BRETT VERHULST INTERVIEW		04/22/2021 14:30

On 04/21/2021, S/A (b) (6) and I interviewed (S) CMC Brett VerHulst at RAO New London.

Prior to the interview, S/A (b) (6) advised (S) VerHulst of his rights under Article 31b of the Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ), and that he was suspected of cruelty/maltreatment, assault, and sexual harassment. (S) VerHulst acknowledged his rights, both verbally and in writing, and agreed to make statement without talking to a lawyer first and without having a lawyer present (CGIS Rights Warning/Waiver Certificate).

The interview was audio-video recorded with the Axon Capture application and then uploaded to the CGIS Digital Evidence Management System under Case ID# CS2104000617. The associated URL is linked below. Subsequent to the download, it was discovered that only approximately 52 minutes of the approximately two hour interview was captured due to the cell phone being used to record running out of storage space. The following is an interview summary; it is not intended to be a verbatim account and does not memorialize all statements made during the interview. Communication by all parties in the interview was electronically recorded, and the recording captured the actual words spoken. The following information was noted.

APPROVED COPY

UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY/LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE

Public Availability to be Determined Under 5 U.S.C. §552

Action Report #	Action Type	Action Date	Approval Status
AR2104000656	INITIAL CRIME REPORT	04/12/2021	APPROVED

(S) VerHulst advised he came into the Coast Guard in August 1991, and he was preparing to retire with 30 years of service. After discussing his various Coast Guard assignments, he advised he planned to work at the Admissions Department at Norwich University in Vermont upon his planned retirement in May 2021.

(S) VerHulst stated he arrived for his assignment at the USCGA in August 2017. He described USCGA assignment as a "great tour." He said it was "depressing" to be called into the CGIS office, and he was "devastated."

(S) VerHulst advised when he arrived at USCGA, his predecessor had already retired, so he received minimal guidance about his duties. He said he was "sort of on my own to figure it out." He commented that he was currently trying to lay the ground work for his replacement to be better than what he had.

(S) VerHulst described his role at the USCGA as an advisor to the Superintendent and the Senior Leadership Team (SLT) about issues like resources, climate, and good order and discipline, based on his perspective as an enlisted member of 30 years. (S) VerHulst said he also did a lot of one on one and group mentoring with both cadets and enlisted members. He estimated he spent about 30 percent of his time with enlisted members and the other 70 percent with cadets and junior officers. (S) VerHulst stated the difference in time spent was because there were approximately 1,000 cadets and only about 200 enlisted members at the USCGA. (S) VerHulst said he tries to make himself available to everyone and had met with grounds crew and others on campus. He said he usually met with a "handful" of cadets each week, some of whom were "regulars" and others who only met with him once or occasionally. (S) VerHulst said some mentoring sessions took place in his office, but most were held in the student center in Leamy Hall.

In reference to how he developed relationships with mentees, he said there was a formal program through the Institute for Leadership that typically matched him with one or two cadets each year. He said sometimes his mentees brought friends to meetings, and he developed relationships with them, and other times, he met cadets or enlisted members at events and offered to meet for mentoring sessions.

(S) VerHulst said he used his Outlook calendar to schedule mentoring appointments, and 99.9% of the time, all mentoring appointments would be in that calendar.

(S) VerHulst said he felt like he had "pretty good relationships with people" and described himself as an "affectionate leader." He said he was not aware of any problems or conflicts anyone had with him. He said no one had ever complained to him about how much time he devoted toward cadets versus enlisted members.

(S) VerHulst said no one had ever directly told him he did something to make them feel uncomfortable, but he said (PK) (b) Leadership Development Center, pulled him aside about six months earlier and told him it could be perceived that he was being "too friendly" or "a little casual with interactions." (S) VerHulst said he was surprised by the conversation with (PK) (b). (S) VerHulst said he always went out of his way to be supportive of junior members because that was something he didn't have when he was a junior member. (S) VerHulst said it was "devastating" to hear he could have made someone uncomfortable. (S) VerHulst said it was hard to hear, but he thanked (PK) (b) for bringing it to his attention. (S) VerHulst said he told (PK) (b) to tell whoever was uncomfortable that he was sorry, and his intent was never to make anyone uncomfortable.

(S) VerHulst said after the conversation with (PK) (b) he withdrew to self-reflect.

(S) VerHulst described himself as an "affectionate leader" and said he sometimes put his hand on the side of people's shoulder or gave "side hugs" to cadets.

When asked if he ever saw any other members of the senior leadership team hug cadets, (S) VerHulst initially said "I have" and said that was a normal interaction between senior leadership team members and cadets. When asked to provide an example of a time when he saw a member of the senior leadership team hug a cadet, (S) VerHulst was unable to do so. He ultimately admitted he had not seen any other member of the senior leadership team hug a cadet.

(S) VerHulst said he understood the perception of his physical contact was that it was inappropriate. He said none of his physical contact was suggestive or sexual in nature. He said he tried to "lead in a way where people feel valued and respected" and tried to treat people like family. He said he was "devastated" that he hurt someone.

When asked if he could think of anyone who may have been unhappy with his physical contact or anyone who pulled away from him, he stated that approximately a year earlier, he met with (V) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) USCGA, in his office. (S) VerHulst said that at the conclusion of the meeting, he told (V) (b) (6) to "take care" and went to give her a hug. (S) VerHulst said (V) (b) (6) said, "that's

APPROVED COPY

UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY/LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE

UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY/LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE

Public Availability to be Determined Under 5 U.S.C. §552

Action Report #	Action Type	Action Date	Approval Status
AR2104000656	INITIAL CRIME REPORT	04/12/2021	APPROVED

alright Master Chief, we can just shake hands." (S) VerHulst said he told her he was sorry and that he didn't mean anything by it. (S) VerHulst said after that, he "knew the boundary, and it was never broached again." (S) VerHulst said he continues to meet with (V) (b) (6), (b) (7) and there have been no additional incidents with (V) (b) (6), (b) (7).

In reference to his interaction with (V) (b) (6), (b) (7), USCGA, in late February 2021, (S) VerHulst said that after a briefing given by (V) (b) (6), (b) (7) he walked her to his office because she was upset. He said (V) (b) (6), (b) (7) was "in tears" because the topic of the brief was emotional, and he wanted to make sure she was ok. He said, "I think I did give her a hug." (S) VerHulst said the hug he gave (V) (b) (6), (b) (7) was different than the typical "side hug" that we had already discussed and described. He described it as a "family hug" and when I demonstrated a frontal tight hug, he agreed that was the type of hug he gave (V) (b) (6), (b) (7). When asked if he remembered touching her face, he said he didn't remember touching her face but said he may have touched her face over her mask. He said he told (V) (b) (6), (b) (7) if she needed anything, he was there to support her.

In reference to his interaction with (V) (b) (6), (b) (7), USCGA, at the Quarters One event on or about 04/13/2021, (S) VerHulst said he remembered (V) (b) (6), (b) (7) coming through the receiving line, but he did not remember touching her, and he adamantly denied rubbing her back. He said while he routinely hugs people or touches their shoulder, he did not rub people's backs. He said he had approximately three glasses of wine during the event, which made him "buzzed" but he said he was in control of his actions, particularly because he knew he was going to be speaking at the end of the event.

In reference to his interaction with (V) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), USCGA, (S) VerHulst said he had been mentoring (V) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) since her 4/c year, and they had a good relationship. He said he had hugged (V) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) and he remembered her and her boyfriend, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) USCGC TYBEE, coming through the receiving line at last year's "Firstie Formal" but he did not remember a(n) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) unusual interaction with her. When asked why someone would have reported that he looked at her "up and down" (S) VerHulst stated he had never looked at (V) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) in a sexual manner, and if he looked at her that night, it would have been because the cadets were all dressed up in civilian formalwear.

In reference to his interaction with (V) (b) (6), (b) (7), USCGA, in either 2018 or 2019, he said he remembered hugging (V) (b) (6), (b) (7) but he did not remember kissing her. He said he thought he had a good relationship with (V) (b) (6), (b) (7) and he was surprised to hear someone reported their interaction.

When asked if he ever kissed anyone at the USCGA, (S) VerHulst admitted he had hugged and "pecked" various people on the cheek. He said he remembered hugging and "pecking" (V) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), USCGA, on her cheek on various occasions. He described their relationship as friendly, and he said he did not think (V) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) had any problem with their interactions.

(S) VerHulst acknowledged that his physical contact with Coast Guard members was perceived as inappropriate, and he understood why there was third party reporting in this investigation.

When asked how he thought his behaviors, including hugging, kissing, and touching junior members, aligned with Coast Guard policy, he stated he understood they did not. (S) VerHulst stated he thought he sometimes asked people if it was okay to give them a hug before making physical contact. After discussion, he acknowledged that due to the difference in rank and power, it is likely that not all cadets would feel like they could say no to that request from (S) VerHulst. (S) VerHulst repeatedly stated throughout the interview that he never intended to make anyone feel uncomfortable, he never touched anyone in a sexual manner, and he was trying to (b) (6), (b) (7) an environment where everyone felt like they were supported.

(S) VerHulst expressed remorse throughout the interview and said he wanted to apologize to anyone he hurt as a result of his behavior.

(S) VerHulst was photographed and fingerprinted. In addition, he was reminded of the Coast Guard Support services available to him.

At the conclusion of the interview, I briefed CAPT Richard Wester, USCGA, regarding my concern for (S) VerHulst's emotional well-being. (S) VerHulst was then released to CAPT Wester.

Interview of (S) VerHulst - https://uscgis.us.evidence.com/axon/evidence?evidence_id=06d31bfaabdb4d97b8759f0f378bd5df&partner_id=4f81ab7a38784866b553866172d24239

Supplement #: [AR2104000656-0010](#) **APPROVED** **Title:** RECORDS CHECKS - (S) VERHULST
Date/Time: 04/22/2021 10:35 **Agent:** (b) (6), (b) (7)
Narrative Title: RECORDS CHECKS - (S) VERHULST **04/22/2021 14:35**

APPROVED COPY

UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY/LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE

UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY/LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE
Public Availability to be Determined Under 5 U.S.C. §552

Action Report #	Action Type	Action Date	Approval Status
AR2104000656	INITIAL CRIME REPORT	04/12/2021	APPROVED

On 04/22/2021, I conducted the following records checks identifiable with (S) OSCM Brett VerHulst, CMC, USCGA, New London, CT, and noted the following:

Direct Access (EIPDR):

- No unfavorable military information

FACTS:

- No prior subject records

Law Enforcement Database checks:

- No relevant offense reports

TECS:

- No wants/warrants
- No identifiable record in the NCIC Interstate Identification Index (III)

State of Connecticut Judicial Branch:

- No records found

Supplement #: AR2104000656-0011 **APPROVED** **Title:** (V) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), (k) INTERVIEW
Date/Time: 04/22/2021 12:00 **Agent:** (b) (6), (b) (7)
Narrative Title: (V) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), (k) INTERVIEW 04/22/2021 21:03

On 04/22/2021, S/A (b) (6), (b) (7) and I interviewed (V) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), USCGA, at RAO New London.

(V) (b) (6), said she loved (S) CMC Brett VerHulst, USCGA, as a person. She said he was the first CMC to ever sit down and talk to her, and he was her biggest advocate. (V) (b) (6), described (S) VerHulst as a great mentor, and she said he gave a voice to junior enlisted members. She said she saw (S) VerHulst on her duty days and during Coast Guard events, but she had never spent time with him outside of work.

In reference to physical contact between her and (S) VerHulst, (V) (b) (6), said they were both affectionate people, and they frequently hugged. She stated (S) VerHulst never made her uncomfortable with his physical contact, but she noticed other people sometimes took note when they hugged. She described an occasion which took place approximately a year earlier at a Quarters One event when (S) VerHulst hugged her and kissed her on the top of her head in front of a group of cadets. She said she saw other people witness the interaction, and she knew she had to talk to him about that. She stated she was comfortable with that physical contact with him, but she did not want him to get into trouble. She said she had good rapport with (S) VerHulst, and she felt like she could talk to him if something he did made her feel uncomfortable.

(V) (b) (6), said that shortly after that incident, she had a conversation with (S) VerHulst, explaining to him that she was not offended by the hug or the kiss, but she saw other people witness the interaction, and she told (S) VerHulst that other people may have been offended by it. She said she couldn't remember if it was during the same conversation or during a different conversation, but (V) (b) (6), also talked to (S) VerHulst about the length of his hugs. She said even though she considered herself a "hugger" and (S) VerHulst's hugs did not make her uncomfortable, (S) VerHulst's hugs lingered, and she felt like they were sometimes too long.

(V) (b) (6), said she had never witnessed any physical contact between (S) VerHulst and someone who did not appear to reciprocate. She said, "I one hundred percent understand where this is coming from" because of the nature of (S) VerHulst's physical contact.

She offered she had "nothing but respect" for (S) VerHulst, and she thought he did a great job.

(V) (b) (6), was subsequently provided with the CGIS Initial Information for Victims and Witnesses of Crimes pamphlet.

APPROVED COPY

UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY/LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE
Public Availability to be Determined Under 5 U.S.C. §552

Action Report #	Action Type	Action Date	Approval Status
AR2104000656	INITIAL CRIME REPORT	04/12/2021	APPROVED

Supplement #: [AR2104000656-0013](#)

APPROVED Title: (V) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), INTERVIEW

Date/Time: 04/23/2021 08:00

Agent: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), (k)

Narrative Title: (V) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), INTERVIEW

04/26/2021 14:54

On 4/23/2021, S/A (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), and I interviewed (V) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C),, USCGA, New London, CT, at RAO New London, CT, regarding this investigation. The following was noted:

(V) (b) (6), said (S) CMC Brett VerHulst, USCGA Hamilton Hall, New London CT, arrived at the USCGA during her 3/c year and she was unsure of how she "got to know him."

(V) (b) (6), recalled numerous meetings with (S) CMC VerHulst during mentoring sessions and at various briefings in her role as CASA president.

(V) (b) (6), stated that she did not feel like (S) CMC VerHulst violated her personal space and never made her feel uncomfortable.

(V) (b) (6), indicated she can see why these allegations were coming forward. (V) (b) (6), said (S) CMC VerHulst is a "huggy" person and a "close talker." (V) (b) (6), further described this as (S) CMC VerHulst would be having a conversation with her and would take a step towards her. (V) (b) (6), would then take a step back and (S) CMC VerHulst would then take another step closer. (V) (b) (6), said these conversations were usually pretty short.

(V) (b) (6), detailed an event during the 2020 (b) (6), (b) (7) where (S) CMC VerHulst gave her a hug while in the receiving line. (V) (b) (6), stated no one else in the receiving line gave her a hug. (V) (b) (6), recalled it being "weird" but stated it wasn't a problem and she "just figured that's how he is."

(V) (b) (6), was subsequently provided with the CGIS Initial Information for Victims and Witnesses of Crime pamphlet.

Supplement #: [AR2104000656-0014](#)

APPROVED Title: CGONE DATA SEIZURE

Date/Time: 05/03/2021 14:03

Agent: (b) (6), (b) (7)

Narrative Title: CGONE DATA SEIZURE

07/19/2021 15:31

On 04/13/21, I started a CGONE data seizure at the request of S/A (b) (6), (b) (7). I seized the following data:

EV2105000371

Microsoft Exchange data for Brett.L.VerHulst@uscg.mil

Skype data for Brett.L.VerHulst@uscg.mil

User folder for from \\CGA-FP-M-002\users1\BLVerhulst\Home

Historical Comms Logs for Brett.L.VerHulst@uscg.mil

I created an Axiom Portable Case and provided the data to S/A (b) (6), via file transfer.

Supplement #: [AR2104000656-0015](#)

APPROVED Title: (PK) (b) (6), INTERVIEW

Date/Time: 05/14/2021 08:00

Agent: (b) (6), (b) (7)

Narrative Title: (PK) (b) (6), INTERVIEW

05/14/2021 18:31

On 05/14/2021, S/A (b) (6), and I interviewed (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7) USCGA, New London, CT, at CGIS RAO New London, CT, regarding this investigation.

The interview was audio-video recorded with the Axon Capture application and then uploaded to the CGIS Digital Evidence Management System under Case ID #: CS2104000617. The associated URL is linked below. The following is an interview summary; it is not intended to be a verbatim account and does not memorialize all statements made during the interview.

APPROVED COPY

UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY/LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE

Action Report #	Action Type	Action Date	Approval Status
AR2104000656	INITIAL CRIME REPORT	04/12/2021	APPROVED

Communication by all parties in the interview was electronically recorded, and the recording captured the actual words spoken. The following was noted:

- (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) has been assigned to the USCGA for approximately two years as the GSK supervisor. As part of his duties he supervises two Petty Officers and a civilian employee.
- During a recent discussion about (S) CMC Brett VerHulst, USCGA, New London, CT, with (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (USCG Ret.), GS-9, USCGA, New London, CT, (V) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) USCGA, New London, CT, reportedly told (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) that during a meeting with (S) VerHulst, he kissed (V) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) on the cheek. (V) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) also told (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) that during another meeting, (S) VerHulst discussed his personal marital issues with (V) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C).
- (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) relayed this information to (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) on 05/07/2021, because he felt (S) VerHulst's actions were inappropriate. (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) subsequently reported the matter to (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), SARC, USCGA, New London, CT.
- (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) then told (V) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) that he reported the matter. (V) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) felt the interactions were completely innocent in her mind. (V) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) indicated she felt guilty and regretted mentioning these interactions to (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) because they could get (S) VerHulst in trouble. (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) recalled that one of the things (V) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) told him about her interactions with (S) VerHulst, was that (S) VerHulst said they could be friends and she could call him "Brett" during their meetings.
- (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) said he was friends with (S) VerHulst. (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) was planning (S) VerHulst's retirement. (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) described (S) VerHulst as compassionate and kind hearted. (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) denied observing any inappropriate conduct involving (S) VerHulst.

Interview of (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) [https://uscgis.us.evidence.com/axon/evidence?](https://uscgis.us.evidence.com/axon/evidence?evidence_id=1c0c548f98b24180ada77233a41a133b&partner_id=4f81ab7a38784866b553866172d24239)

evidence_id=1c0c548f98b24180ada77233a41a133b&partner_id=4f81ab7a38784866b553866172d24239

Supplement #: [AR2104000656-0016](#) APPROVED Title: INTERVIEW OF (V) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), (k)
 Date/Time: 05/17/2021 09:01 Agent: (b) (6), (b) (7)
 Narrative Title: INTERVIEW OF (V) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), (k) 05/17/2021 16:52

On 05/17/2021, S/A (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) and I interviewed (V) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), USCGA, New London, CT, at CGIS RAO New London, CT.

The interview was audio-video recorded with the Axon Capture application and then uploaded to the CGIS Digital Evidence Management System under Case ID #: CS2104000617. The associated URL is linked below. The following is an interview summary; it is not intended to be a verbatim account and does not memorialize all statements made during the interview. Communication by all parties in the interview was electronically recorded, and the recording captured the actual words spoken. The following was noted:

- (V) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) has been assigned to the USCGA shipping and receiving office (GSK) for approximately two years.
- (V) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) characterized (S) CMC Brett VerHulst, USCGA, New London, CT, as a friend and she indicated she wasn't happy with her involvement in the investigation.
- (V) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) advised she first met (S) VerHulst in June, 2019, shortly after reporting aboard.
- (V) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) advised that (S) VerHulst generally invited people to coffee through Microsoft Outlook calendar invitations. (V) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) indicated the invitee's supervisor would also be included on the calendar invitation.
- (V) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) recalled going to coffee with (S) VerHulst on three occasions. They had coffee together at either the Dry Dock Café or the Consolidated Club on board the USCGA.
- (V) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) said she thought highly of (S) VerHulst. She explained that (S) VerHulst was easy to talk to and he genuinely cared about people.
- (V) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) denied having any social contact with (S) VerHulst. (V) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) explained that she considered (S) VerHulst a work friend, but they never saw each other outside of the workday.
- When asked if (S) VerHulst ever kissed her, (V) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) said on one occasion he kissed her on the cheek. (V) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) said it was the last time she saw him. (V) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) explained that she was a driver for a panel of Junior Officers associated with a recent USCG Commandant visit. After the visit, (S) VerHulst invited her to his office to present her with a coin. When (S) VerHulst opened the door, he kissed her on the

APPROVED COPY

UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY/LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE

Public Availability to be Determined Under 5 U.S.C. §552

Action Report #	Action Type	Action Date	Approval Status
AR2104000656	INITIAL CRIME REPORT	04/12/2021	APPROVED

cheek as he greeted her. (V) (b) said the kiss did not make her feel uncomfortable, indicating that type of kiss was socially acceptable among her friends and family. (V) (b) denied any other contact aside from the kiss. (V) (b) did not think (S) VerHulst was trying to make a sexual advance by kissing her. (V) (b) said this was the only time he touched her in an affectionate way.

- (V) (b) said she recognized the difference between someone acting inappropriate and someone who was just saying hi. (V) (b) explained she had experienced inappropriate behavior from (b) (6), (b) at a previous USCG unit. (V) (b) said that matter was also investigated by CGIS. (CGIS Case Management ID: CS1902000239 pertains).

- (V) (b) said one occasion during the Fall of 2020, she requested a meeting with (S) VerHulst to discuss an issue at the USCG Child Development Center, New London, CT. When they met, (S) VerHulst appeared down, so she asked him if he was okay. (S) VerHulst then disclosed that he was having issues (b) (6), (b) regarding his upcoming retirement.

- (V) (b) denied that (S) VerHulst ever asked her to call him Brett.

- (V) (b) said there were no interactions with (S) VerHulst that made her feel uncomfortable.

- When asked how her experiences with (S) VerHulst were reported, (V) (b) said (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7) USCGA, New London, CT, who worked at Quarters One, told her of a discussion (PK) (b) had with Admiral William Kelly's, Superintendent, USCGA, New London, CT, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), civilian, New London, CT, regarding (S) VerHulst and interactions he had with other people. (PK) (b) relayed that (b) (6), was sad about the investigation and said she thought (S) VerHulst was a good guy, and that he was "just more of a touchy feely person." (PK) (b) said she was going to send (S) VerHulst an email "and tell him that we support him." (PK) (b) also said Admiral Kelly advised, "everyone should be supportive of Master Chief" and, "they genuinely believe he's a good guy." When (PK) (b) left, (V) (b) told (PK) (b) (6), (b) USCGA, New London, CT, about her experiences with (S) VerHulst and how he kissed her on the cheek. (PK) (b) found (V) (b) (6), experiences with (S) VerHulst inappropriate and he brought them to the attention of (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7), USCGA, New London, CT.

- At the conclusion of the interview, (V) (b) was provided a CGIS Initial Information for Victims and Witnesses of Crime pamphlet.

Interview of (V) (b) (6), https://uscgis.us.evidence.com/axon/evidence?evidence_id=4bbd6ca2090240c18d7beea0aed5f392&partner_id=4f81ab7a38784866b553866172d24239

Arrests

Arrest Number	Arrest Date/Time	Tracking Number	Case
AY2104000121	04/21/2021 13:30		

Action Related Evidence / Custody Items

BarCode #	Property Type	Category	Status	Receipt #
EV2105000371		OTHER	CHECKED IN	

Action Related Cases

Case Management ID	Case Type	Date/Time Logged	Investigation Status	Primary	Comments
CS2104000617	CRIMINAL INVESTIGATION	04/20/2021 12:22	CLOSED	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Initial CGIS Notification

Supplemental Attachments

File Name	Attachment Type	Linked By / On
Supplement # AR2104000656-0001 (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7) STATEMENT.PDF	AFFIDAVIT FORM (PK) (b) (6), (b) (7) STATEMENT	(b) (6), (b) (7) 04/21/2021
Supplement # AR2104000656-0009 (S) VERHULST RIGHTS WAIVER.PDF	RIGHTS WARNING PROCEDURE/WAIVER N/A	(b) (6), (b) (7)(C), 04/26/2021

APPROVED COPY

UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY/LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE

Print Date : 07/28/2021

Public Availability to be Determined Under 5 U.S.C. §552

Page 17 of 17

FORENSIC LABORATORY EXAMINATION REQUEST

1. TO: Director USACIL <input type="checkbox"/> 4930 N. 31st Street Forest Park, GA 30297-5205 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other (Specify): CGIS ECS	2. FROM: S/A (b) (6), CGIS RAO New London, CT 3. RETURN EVIDENCE TO: S/A (b) (6), CGIS RAO New London, CT	4. EXAM PRIORITY <input checked="" type="radio"/> ROUTINE <input type="radio"/> EXPEDITE <input type="checkbox"/> Trial/Article 32/39A (*) <input type="checkbox"/> Subject in pre-trial confinement <input type="checkbox"/> Subject pending PCS/ Separation/Reenlist (*) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Specify in Block 13) *Date _____	5. LAB USE ONLY a. LAB CASE # b. METHOD OF RECEIPT c. RECEIVED BY/DATE
6. SUBMITTING AGENCY CASE NUMBER CS2104000617		7. TYPE OF OFFENSE Assault, Cruelty & Maltreatment, and Sexual Harassment	
8. PREVIOUS EVIDENCE SUBMITTED DATE: _____ MAIL METHOD: _____ LAB CASE #: _____ SUSPECT(S): _____ <div style="text-align: center;">N/A</div>			
9. SUSPECT(S) [Last, first and middle name(s)] VerHulst, Brett, Laverne		10. VICTIM(S) [Last, first and middle name(s)] 	
11. BRIEF DESCRIPTION (SYNOPSIS) OF CASE FACTS THAT MIGHT ASSIST THE LABORATORY IN EXAMINING OR EVALUATING THE EVIDENCE OR ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTATION ATTACHED (e.g., Summary of investigation, crime scene sketches/photographs, statements) On 04/12/2021, RAO New London participated in a SAPR CIT regarding an allegation that (S) OSCM Brett Verhulst, Command Master Chief, USCGA, inappropriately hugged (V) (b) (6), (b) (6), USCGA, in his Hamilton Hall office. Investigative efforts to date revealed several other alleged incidents of inappropriate physical contact involving (S) VerHulst and USCGA Cadets, CG Enlisted personnel and CG Officers.			
12. EVIDENCE SUBMITTED			
a. EXHIBIT	b. DESCRIPTION OF EXHIBIT		

12. EVIDENCE SUBMITTED <i>(Continued)</i>			
a. EXHIBIT	b. DESCRIPTION OF EXHIBIT		
13. EXAMINATION(S) REQUESTED <i>(Briefly furnish any information or instructions that might assist the laboratory in examining the evidence)</i> 1) Request seizure of any/all Outlook email, Skype communicator logs, browser history and Internet search history associated with CGOne user: (S) CMC Brett L. VerHulst (Brett.L.VerHulst@uscg.mil) from 01 August 2017 to present. No further analysis requested.			
14 a. INVESTIGATOR AND ALTERNATE POC <i>(Typed or Printed) (Mandatory Information)</i> S/A (b) (6), RAC (b) (6),		b. TELEPHONE <i>(Primary/Alt):</i> (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), (k) c. DSN <i>(Primary/Alt):</i> _____ d. Fax: _____ e. E-Mail: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C),	
15. I CERTIFY EVIDENCE HAS NOT BEEN SUBMITTED TO ANOTHER LABORATORY FOR THE SAME EXAMINATION			
a. DATE 2021-04-22	b. TYPED/PRINTED NAME OF REQUESTOR (b) (6), c. SIGNATURE (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), (k)(2)		d. TELEPHONE <i>(Primary/Alt):</i> (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), (k) e. DSN <i>(Primary/Alt):</i> _____ f. Fax: _____ g. E-Mail: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C),
			16. LAB USE ONLY LAB CASE #

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY
U.S. Coast Guard
**COAST GUARD INVESTIGATIVE SERVICE
REPORT OF ADJUDICATION**

1. CGIS Use Only – Region NER	2. CGIS Case Control Number CS2104000617	3. Date ROI Forwarded for Review 04/29/2021
4. Full Name of Accused MCPO Brett Verhulst	5. Duty Station/Residence of Accused CG Academy	
6. USCG: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Active Duty <input type="checkbox"/> Reserve <input type="checkbox"/> Auxiliary <input type="checkbox"/> Civilian Employee or <input type="checkbox"/> Other Civilian _____		
7. Criminal Proceeding Referral: <input type="checkbox"/> Federal <input type="checkbox"/> State <input type="checkbox"/> Local <input type="checkbox"/> Tribal <input type="checkbox"/> Not Referred <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____		8. Uniform Code of Military Justice Proceeding <input type="checkbox"/> GCM <input type="checkbox"/> SPCM <input type="checkbox"/> SCM <input type="checkbox"/> NJP <input type="checkbox"/> No Punitive Action Taken
9. Details of the Offense (<i>Recite Article of UCMJ or Federal Statute; Generally describe actions of accused or allegations</i>) Art. 128-Assault Art. 93-Cruelty or maltreatment.		
10. Disposition On 09 Jul 2021, RADM Kelly determined to take no military justice action in this matter.		
a. Confinement (<i>days/months</i>) N/A	b. Fines (<i>dollars</i>) N/A	c. Forfeitures N/A
d. Rate/Rank Reduction N/A	e. Restriction (<i>days</i>) N/A	f. Extra Duty (<i>days</i>) N/A
g. Discharge <input type="checkbox"/> BCD <input type="checkbox"/> DD <input type="checkbox"/> OFFICER DISMISSAL	h. Admin Sep N/A	i. Characterization <input type="checkbox"/> OTH <input type="checkbox"/> GEN <input type="checkbox"/> HON
11. Other Administrative Action (<i>i.e. Reassignment, EAPC Referral, MPO, CG-3307, Letters of Reprimand/Censure</i>) On 26 Apr 2021, RADM Kelly temporarily relieved OSCM B. L. VerHulst from his position as the Command Master Chief (CMC) of the U.S. Coast Guard Academy (CGA). On 09 Jun 2021, RADM Kelly requested his permanent relief from the CG PSC EPM, and CG PSC approved that request on 09 Jul 2021.		
12. Reporting Command Use (<i>To be completed by the Command; or Special Agent-in-Charge for all Non-UCMJ Investigations</i>)		
(b) (6), (b) (7)(C), (k)(2)		Rank (b) (6),
Printed Name of Reporting Official (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), (k)		Unit ACADEMY (sja)
Date of Adjudication/Action 09 Jul 2021		Date ROI/Report of Adjudication Returned to CGIS
Remarks None		
Attachments: (<i>Report of Results of Trial, CG-4910, Additional Documentation</i>)		