SECRET UMBRA

NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY CENTRAL SECURITY SERVICE

FORT GEORGE G MEADE MARYLAND 20755.000 Serial: N5P/017/98 01 April 1998

Mr. T. Jeremy Gumn Executive Director Assassination Records Review Board 600 E Street. N.W. Washington. DC 20530

Dear Mr. Gunn:

(U) In response to your letter of November 28.-1997, we tasked appropriate elements of the NSA Operations and the NSA Archives elements to search for information responsive to your questions. In addition, a member of my staff reviewed our United States Intelligence Board (USIB) and USIB SIGINT Subcommittee record holdings. The following represents the composite response of these elements.

Ouestion #1: What were NSA targeting priorities and capabilities EXEMPT buring the period 1963-1964? Including acainst Cuba records of the U.S. Communications Intelligence (COMINT) Board, what records does NSA possess on tasking and results from these capabilities? In particular, did NSA target communications between (During the meeting, Messrs. Hatch and Havana and EXEMPT Fogarty suggested that the Army Security Agency had a facility EXEMPT EXEMPT although its EXEMPT in 1963 taraeting operations did not meet with areat success.) Mr. Hatch stated that EXEMPT a former NSA employee, might have more specific knowledge of such an operation and that you

could contact him.

(TS-CCO), ANSWER: A record of the SIGINT Subcommittee of the USIB from August 1993 provides a recap of the USIB SIGINT Subcommittee's Priority National Intelligence Objectives. Included in this recap are objectives [requirements] to obtain information on 1) Soviet and Cuban military EXEMPT 2) Soviet and Cuban EXEMPT

EXEMPT

DRV FM: NSA/CSS Manual 123-2 Dated: 24 February 1998 DECL ON: X1,X3,X5,X5,X7,X8 TOP SECRET UMBRA

(TSC) An NSA COMINT Technical Report (A5/A6 COMINT TECHNICAL REPORT #1-66- A Survey of Soviet Communications in Cuba) regarding SIGINT results of the targeting of Cuba was reviewed by Dr. Hall. This appears to be the most comprehensive record of our canabilities against Cuba for the 1963-1964 timeframe. Numerous Cuban EXEMPT during the early 1960s, including some Cuban military communications EXEMPT NSA had an excellent capability through EXEMPT to meet the SIGINT challenges of the 1960's. We had field sites in position to copy the signals of interest in Cuba. and those communication exchanges EXEMPT

(FSC)Product reports based on the targeting ofEXEMPTcommunications were reviewed by two members of my staff. Some of thesereports were the result of targeting of communications between Havana andEXEMPTHowever. no records responsive to the John F. KennedyAssassination Records Act of 1992 were located other than those already passedto the ARRB. There were no reflections in any of these products of any activitythat might have been related to the assassination. only post-assassinationreflections of U.S. political and protocol developments. NSA has approximately12 shin boxes [4 linear feet] ofEXEMPTrecords.

-(S) in early December 1997 the ARRB staff was provided with the name and phone number of the U.S. Army Intelligence and Security Command (INSCOM) historian who has information regarding an Army Security Agency ' (ASA) collection site in EXEMPT during the 1963-1964 timeframe. The INSCOM historian is who can be reached on 703-706-2630. EXEMPT EXEMPT dvised us of information contained in an ASA unit history report EXEMPT that was written by In addition. I understand that members of your staff met in December 1997 with Mr. Frederick Berghoff, former Chairman of the National SIGINT Committee (previously known as the USIB SIGINT Subcommittee), who provided the ARRR staff with his recollections of NSA activities regarding the EXEMPT Regions in the early-1960s.

(U) Question #2. What, if any, additional NSA capabilities were added to target communications sources within Cuba between November 1962 and the end of 1964? What information does NSA have on the targeting of communications sources within Cuba by CIA? Was there any liaison between NSA and CIA on this issue? NSA stated that it may have between six and twelve boxes of Cubarelated material. Of what do these records consist? May the ARRB staff examine these records?

ノコンテフ

<u>FTS-SCO</u>) ANSWER: The records of the USIB SIGINT Subcommittee for the period November 1962 through 1964 were recently reviewed. No SIGINT Objectives were included in the SIGINT Subcommittee's objectives regarding <u>EXEMPT</u> for the 1962-1964 timeframe. The August 1963 SIGINT Subcommittee record recaps SIGINT Objectives and the 5 and 18 November 1963 and the 10 January 1964 records indicate that requirements to target Soviet forces in Cuba and <u>EXEMPT</u> were already receiving priority SIGINT coverage. The 2 December 1963 record appears to show a change in priorities because it presents "pertinent examples of actions underway or planned": 1) increase in intercept and more timely consolidated reporting on Cuban military problems. 2) intercept and analysis of communications related to <u>EXEMPT</u> activityseeking information on Cuban <u>EXEMPT</u> in particular., 3) A new attack on the problem	
of	EXEMPT
EXEMPT	4) Additional ship and airborne
	is is planned. and 5) Increased efforts to
	al characteristics of the internal military EXEMPT
EXEMPT COMMUNICATIONS	
communications	EXEMPT COUNTRIES.

• (S-CCO) A review of the United States Intelligence Board (USIB) records held by NSA shows CIA and NSA top-level Agency cooperation/coordination on intelligence targeting via the USIB. However, according to recollections of individuals working at NSA'in the mid 1960s, liaison between CIA and NSA for the 1962-1964 timeframe was limited and no record of CIA/NSA cooperation/ coordination at the working levels of the agencies was located. We searched for records of CIA targeting of Cuban communications. but no records were located. However, the USIB records make repeated reference to Committee on Overhead Reconnaissance (COMOR) Biweekly Report on High-Level Coverage of Cuba with the caveat that the information was distributed through restricted channels. Because we do not have copies of the COMOR reports, we are unable to determine if the tasking of these missions was limited to PHOTINT, or if SIGINT was also performed from the overhead vehicles. COMOR was the predecessor of the SIGINT Overhead Reconnaissance Subcommittee (SORS). The SORS committee is a subcommittee of the current U.S. SIGINT Committee. The Chairman of the SORS is Mr. EXEMPT (phone number: 202-496-9828).

З

-OP-SECRET LIMBRA CO

70257

Regarding the "six to twelve boxes" discussed during our meeting with Dr. Hall and members of the ARRB Staff in November 1997. these boxes referred to are records regarding EXEMPT As mentioned in response to Question #1, a recent review of these records did not result in locating any records not already identified as responsive to the JFK Assassination Records Act. The ARRB staff is welcome to examine these records as well as any other of the records mentioned in this letter. The records pertaining to Cuba and EXEMPT for this timeframe held by NSA have been researched and those believed to be responsive to the John F. Kennedy Records Act of 1992 have been provided to the ARRB.

(Secon) Question #3. What canabilities and targeting priorities did NSA have against Cuba outside of exempt and Cuba itself during the time period 1960-1964? What records of this targeting and any results might still exist?

TSC)-ANSWER: For the timeframe 1960-1964 NSA targeted and was

EXEMPT We maintain copies of SIGINT product reports. which are NSA reports based on the intercept of communications. for this period. Those reports deemed responsive to the John F. Kennedy Assassination Records Act of 1992 have been forwarded to the ARRB.

EXEMPT

 TS-CCO
 Question #4. What policy recommendations from the time period 1960-64

 might still exist which reflect NSA policy making or tasking on Cuban

 EXEMPT
 military communications outside of Cuba?

(U) ANSWER: As stated previously, the USIB SIGINT Subcommittee records show the objectives (requirements for targeting) and are available for review by ARRB members or staffers.

(S-CCO) Question #5. Are there any COMINT Board recommendations or tasking on the targeting of EXEMPT communications during the period 1963-1964? Does NSA have any other independent knowledge of these targets?

(S-CCO) ANSWER: No recommendation specific to EXEMPT was located. however, the USIB SIGINT subcommittee record of 2 December 1963 presents as one of the "pertinent examples of actions underway or planned...:" "Increased efforts to develop information on the technical characteristics of the internal military EXEMPT communications EXEMPT (T3-CCO) Question #6. Including all records of the COMINT Board, what records does NSA have that refer to the targeting of any Soviet EXEMPT hat were in-place during the period 1963-1964? What were NSA capabilities and targeting priorities against Soviet targets in EXEMPT from 1963-1964?

D.SECDET.IMD

1-257

EXEMPTwere located.Our interest inEXEMPTand our capabilityagainst any targets inEXEMPTwas very limited.

(3-000) Question #7. Did NSA produce a Technical SIGINT Report (TSR) on EXEMPT capabilities in 1963?

(S-CCO) ANSWER: A search for any Technical SIGINT Reports or similar documents was performed in January 1998 but no records documenting NSA's capabilities against EXEMPT target for the period 1960-1964 were located.

(S-CCO) The ARRE staff was provided with the name and phone number of an INSCOM historian EXEMPT Phone Nr. 703-706-2630). who has information regarding an Army Security Agency collection site in EXEMPT during the 1963-1964 timeframe. In addition ARRB staff members met with Frederick Berghoff, the former Chairman of the National SIGINT Committee, to obtain some "recollections" from Mr. Berghoff regarding NSA's interest and capabilities regarding EXEMPT in 1963.

(U) If you have any questions or need additional clarification regarding the information provided, please contact either Lee Schroyer or Claudia Collins of my staff on (301)688-6798.

Sincerely,

AOANN H. GRUBE

Deputy Director of Policy

5

TOP SECRET UMBRA