

10 10th Street, Suite 1400
Atlanta, GA 30309
404-751-5672
F +1.404.978.7660
www.jacobs.com

Subject	Kickoff Meeting – Meeting Minutes		
Project	Sioux City ANGB CIP Development and RAB Assessment		
Project No.	D3298400.11.01	File	Draft
Prepared by	Johannah Phelan	Phone No.	404-751-5672
Location	Teleconference	Date/Time	May 12, 2020, 1000
Participants	Keith Freihofer/NGB/A4VR Marissa Ihrke/185 th ARW Col. Wunn/185 th ARW SMSgt. Degroot/185 th ARW	Naila Hosein/BB&E Amy Brand/Jacobs Johannah Phelan/Jacobs	

Notes

- 1 Meeting attendees introduced themselves and Jacobs presented the meeting agenda which included: introductions, general contract information, project team, Sioux City ANGB scope overview, and open discussion. A copy of the presentation is attached.
- 2 The period of performance for the work is from 30 September 2019 through 29 July 2024 (58 months). The task for Sioux City ANGB is developing a Community Involvement Plan (CIP) and completing a Restoration Advisory Board (RAB) assessment. Optional tasks include RAB Establishment and RAB Facilitation.
- 3 The Community Relations Plan was last updated in 2002. The Site Investigation (SI) is complete, and a Remedial Investigation (RI) is planned as the next step for PFOS/PFOA investigation.
- 4 Jacobs presented the originally-planned approach for conducting community interviews to support the CIP. However, because there are travel restrictions and uncertainties related to COVID-19, Jacobs discussed alternatives to conducting in-person interviews as currently scoped. Alternatives include an online questionnaire, fillable pdf, phone interviews, or a combination of approaches.
- 5 Marissa Ihrke and SMSgt. Degroot will discuss with Kevin Jacobson, base EM, to determine their preferred approach.
- 6 Public interest has generally been low during the last several years. A media story about PFOS/PFOA contamination at Sioux City ANGB circulated in 2019 but originated from an eastern Iowa National Public Radio (NPR) reporter. There was limited local interest after the story aired.
- 7 In 2019, Iowa Department of Natural Resources (DNR) sampled 15 drinking water wells in the area; all were well below current health advisory standards for PFOS/PFOA.
- 8 In 2019, a forum for local stakeholders was held to discuss the private well sampling. Attendees included State officials, Base representatives, various water works representatives, and local City officials. The stakeholders appreciated the process.
- 9 Col. Wunn reiterated that there has been very limited organic/local interest in the current PFOS/PFOA investigations. The sampling of 15 drinking water wells provided targeted

testing and direct communication and involvement. Communications clarified that the local water supply was not going to be disrupted or impacted. The base has a history of strong support with community and good communication.

- 10 In general, PFOS/PFOA does not seem to be in the forefront of the community's mind. Local residents understand it is on the base and that ANG is working through the investigation process.

13 May 2020 Update

- 1 Kevin Jacobson spoke with Amy Brand and provided the information below.
- 2 Local residents are not overly concerned about PFOS/PFOA since Sioux City is the "hotspot" of Covid-19 in Iowa. There are meat-packing plants in Sioux City, South Sioux City and across the river in Dakota City.
- 3 On 11 September 2019, there was a meeting at the Community College that included State HQ (Brigadier General Ford), State PAO (Col. Dunn), base commander, base PAO, Kevin, DNR, City reps, County Emergency Manager (the forum described above). Not long after that, at the urging of the DNR representative, a "technical team meeting" was held at City waterworks that included DNR, base EM and PAO, and City water staff. The sampling results and maps were shared and concentrations of PFOS/PFOA were noted to be much higher on base than off base.
- 4 Kevin indicated there are no tribes in the area. He has not reached out to businesses or the Chamber of Commerce. He reiterated that the community does not tend to be an "activist" type of community.
- 5 Kevin and Amy discussed the typical approach for soliciting and collecting information for the CIP. The agreed path forward would include letters to potential stakeholders with options for an online Survey Monkey or a telephone interview. The letters to new versus previously engaged stakeholders could be varied.
- 6 Kevin would like to include the following question: *Are you interested in discussing this further and do you want to talk to someone about it?* If no, then they would be removed from the stakeholder list.

Action Items

- 1 Jacobs will request the list of stakeholders compiled for the September 2019 community meeting.
- 2 Jacobs will review and update the stakeholder list, draft the letters of introduction, and draft the questions to be used in Survey Monkey and/or telephone interviews.