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Foreword

It is my great pleasure to present another issue of *The Wright Flyer Papers*. Through this series, Air Command and Staff College presents a sampling of exemplary research produced by our residence and distance-learning students. This series has long showcased the kind of visionary thinking that drove the aspirations and activities of the earliest aviation pioneers. This year’s selection of essays admirably extends that tradition. As the series title indicates, these papers aim to present cutting-edge, actionable knowledge—research that addresses some of the most complex security and defense challenges facing us today.

Recently, *The Wright Flyer Papers* transitioned to an exclusively electronic publication format. It is our hope that our migration from print editions to an electronic-only format will fire even greater intellectual debate among Airmen and fellow members of the profession of arms as the series reaches a growing global audience. By publishing these papers via the Air University Press website, ACSC hopes not only to reach more readers, but also to support Air Force–wide efforts to conserve resources. In this spirit, we invite you to peruse past and current issues of *The Wright Flyer Papers* at http://aupress.maxwell.af.mil/papers_all.asp?cat=wright.

Thank you for supporting *The Wright Flyer Papers* and our efforts to disseminate outstanding ACSC student research for the benefit of our Air Force and war fighters everywhere. We trust that what follows will stimulate thinking, invite debate, and further encourage today’s air, space, and cyber war fighters in their continuing search for innovative and improved ways to defend our nation and way of life.

BRIAN HASTINGS
Colonel, USAF
Commandant
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Maj Matthew Strickler received his commission and entered the Air Force in 2003 as a graduate of the Pennsylvania State University. He began his career as a civil engineer officer and served in various roles at base, institute, and Headquarters Air Force level over the next 13 years. At Charleston AFB, South Carolina, and Kunsan AB, Republic of Korea, jobs in construction management, readiness, and community planning provided the foundation of technical skills as an engineering officer. He attended the University of Illinois, Urbana–Champaign and received a master's degree in civil engineering, leading to a follow-on assignment teaching initial skills training and continuing education for civil engineer officers. While deployed to Afghanistan, his experience with the Army Corps of Engineers constructing facilities for the Afghan army and police forces' counterterrorism mission sparked an interest in studying other counterterrorism campaigns.

After a three-year tour on Air Staff, Major Strickler attended Air Command and Staff College at Maxwell AFB, Alabama, where he obtained a qualification as a political affairs strategist. The political affairs strategist curriculum provided the opportunity to study counterterrorism efforts elsewhere in the world and to seek strategies that offer success against radicalized religious groups. Currently Major Strickler commands the 17th Civil Engineer Squadron, Goodfellow AFB, Texas. Upon completion of his command assignment, he looks forward to serving a tour as a political affairs strategist.
Abstract

Indonesia practices a unique form of syncretic Islam that embraces tolerance and pluralism which provides a degree of resistance to radicalization. This version of Islam contrasts with the dominant policy of Saudi Arabia and some neighboring states which impose strict, conservative, and puritanical social practices. Religious doctrines of intolerance toward western values, mysticism, and variations in local practice have spread to Islamic communities in Indonesia, where terrorist groups like Jemaah Islamiyah (JI) have used these doctrines as a base of justification for a radicalized ideology that seeks to overthrow the state. JI seeks to create an Islamic government based on sharia in Indonesia.

Despite the severity of the challenge from this radical ideology promoted through violence, Indonesia has experienced relative success in conducting a counterterrorism campaign against JI. The country employs both counterterrorism police work and effective messaging to exploit the key differences between Indonesian and Middle Eastern radical Islam. The Indonesian government’s fight against JI provides a model for a successful campaign to combat a terrorist group using police tactics, intelligence, and reeducation. However, the Indonesian government faces challenges exporting this resistance to radicalism model to the Middle East and North Africa because it requires supportive political conditions that remain absent in many other countries.
Lessons from Combatting Islamist Terrorism in Indonesia

Terrorists targeted a major shopping district in Jakarta, Indonesia, in January 2016. This attack recalls memories of Jemaah Islamiyah’s (JI) attacks, ranging from the Bali bombings in 2002 through the bombing of the Ritz-Carlton and Marriott hotels in 2009. However, this new attack lacked the sophistication and deadliness of JI’s attacks, and the Islamic State (IS) claimed responsibility. Although Islamist terrorism has deep roots in Indonesia, JI does have ties with al-Qaeda. More recently, JI’s former leaders have pledged allegiance to IS. Indonesia’s struggle against extremism potentially offers insight for other states seeking effective strategies in their counter–radicalism campaigns.

Historically, Muslims in Indonesia have practiced a unique form of Islam that embraces tolerance and pluralism. Outside observers call this a “moderate” form of Islam and note that it provides a degree of resistance to radicalization. Indonesian Islam, therefore, differs distinctly from the strict puritanical practices promoted by missionaries sent from Saudi Arabia over the last 70 years, commonly known as Wahhabism and Salafism. The current government of Saudi Arabia took power and conquered Mecca and Medina as a result of an alliance between the al Saud family and the followers of Mohammad Ibn Abdul Wahhab (1703–92). Wahhab preached a literalist form of Islam purified from practices he called innovations and polytheism. Outside observers call the Saudi state ideology Wahhabism. It shares many characteristics with a similarly regressive doctrine developed in Egypt by groups such as the Muslim Brotherhood and intellectuals such as Sayyid Qutb. This doctrine, often called Salafi, advocates that Muslims imitate the way of life of the first three generations of Muslims, known as the Salaf (noble ancestors). Because both the Wahhabi and Salafi doctrines reject the traditional practices and common beliefs of large sections of the global Islamic community, other Muslims call them “intolerant.” As these doctrines spread to Islamic communities in Indonesia, groups such as JI adopted these ideas to justify attempts to take over the state through violence. Hereafter, such justifications represent “radicalism” whether individuals act on these beliefs or not.1 JI seeks to create an Islamic government based on sharia (Islamic law) in Indonesia.2 However, moderate Indonesian Muslim organizations, like Nahdlatul Ulama and Muhammadiyah, preach tolerance and provide a counter message to the narrowly construed teachings of Wahhabi and Salafi ideologies.

Indonesia has experienced some success with a counterterrorism campaign against JI using counterterrorism police work and effective
messaging. This campaign exploits the key differences in cultural values and the forms of Islamic practice promoted by missionaries from Saudi Arabia and the Gulf. The Indonesian government faces challenges exporting this model of resistance to radicalism back to the Middle East and North Africa because it requires supportive political conditions that remain absent in many other countries.

**Indonesian Muslims**

More than 200 million Muslims reside in Indonesia, representing 13 percent of the world’s Muslim population. A large majority of this population accepts secular government but an extreme faction does exist. JI represents one extremist group, seeking to create an Islamic state in Indonesia and to implement *sharia*. The group advocates the use of violence and terrorism to achieve their goals. The doctrine of intolerance that JI has adopted coupled with their desire to overthrow the Indonesian government through violence contrast starkly with the version of Islam that most Indonesian Muslims observe.

Indonesian Muslims practice a unique, syncretic version of Islam, which creates a different and challenging political environment for groups such as JI. The history of the spread of Islam throughout Indonesia provides context for understanding how Indonesian Islam formed within the existing cultures and religions. Islam spread in the sixteenth century on Java; Muslim city-states conquered the old Buddhist and Hindu kingdoms. However, indigenous Javanese opposed them and sought common ground between Islam and the old religions based on tolerance and mysticism. Sufism, a form of Islamic mysticism, also played heavily in the formation of Indonesia’s version of Islam. Sufism reveres the nine saints—*Wali Songo*—who are credited with spreading Islam throughout the archipelago. The resulting Indonesian version of Islam draws on Hindu and Buddhist influences and Javanese culture, in addition to principles of the Islamic faith. This syncretistic approach leads to the tolerant characteristics found in Indonesian government and society toward other faiths and the separation of religion from politics.

Wahhabi ideology and doctrine first arrived in Indonesia in the 1800s, brought by *hajjis* returning from their pilgrimage to Mecca. The practice of *hajj* (pilgrimage) creates a place for Indonesian pilgrims to mix with and gain ideas from Muslims all over the world. Scholars returning to Indonesia from Mecca and Medina share their knowledge and experiences with their community. These cities once represented places of scholarly debate and exchange on religious activity, *Sufism*, and Islamic jurisprudence. However, the al Saud family, after conquering Mecca and
Medina, promoted a narrow, exclusive version of Islamism. Three hajjis returning to Indonesia with a belief in Wahhabi doctrine triggered the so-called Padri War, a civil war among Muslims in West Sumatra. These men—Haji Miskin, Haji Abdurrahman, and Haji Muhammad Arif—were determined to purify Indonesian Islam and condemn the Sufis, using violence where necessary. Their efforts ultimately failed because the ideology these men promoted contradicted the ideology, traditions, and culture of the Indonesian people.

Wahhabis continue to seek ways to export their ideology abroad. How the Wahhabi influence spread throughout Indonesia provides insight into the methods used by religious activists to spread the radical ideology at the expense of Indonesian culture and tolerant forms of Islam. Wahhabis use various means to promote their version of strict Islam, including special schools in Indonesia, offering opportunity to study in Saudi Arabia, sending Islamist imams, and by providing direct funding support to radical groups. They engage in infiltration of mosques, schools, government ministries, and moderate Muslim organizations to spread their brand of Islam and transform society.

Wahhabism appears as the more prevalent doctrine spreading in Indonesia. It promotes a narrowly construed version of Islam with a claim to exclusive authority and superiority. The attraction of extremist ideology often results from a shallow understanding of religion. For example, Abu-Zayd describes Wahhabis as characterized by “restrictive, legalistic, monolithic, compulsory, and supremacist views.” Radical Islamists employ this ideology in their construction of Islamism to suit their political purposes; they justify their seizure of power by appropriating the mantle of religion. The ideology of Islamism formed within its narrow bounds of politics and beliefs seeks to eliminate opposition and justify political power. This Wahhabi doctrine, which influenced the founders of JI, remains a threat to Indonesia.

**Wahhabi and Salafi Ideologies**

Extremists, especially Wahhabis, seek to suppress or supplant local culture and tradition with a foreign Arab culture. Extremists claim superiority over other Muslims and label them as less Islamic or even apostates. The primary strategy of transnational Islamist movements involves establishing, molding, and supporting local groups to serve as their accomplices in spreading the Wahhabi and Salafi ideologies while simultaneously working to destroy more tolerant forms of Islam. Indonesian extremists and terror groups like JI employ and adapt Wahhabi and Salafi
ideologies to justify violence and create a rift in the Muslim community based on their specific understanding of Islam.

Although the origins of JI remain clouded in a degree of secrecy, its roots likely extend to the Indonesian group Darul Islam (DI). One author said that there are four possible explanations of JI's formation but the leading theory is that the group formed as an offshoot of DI. In 1945 the radical Muslim community joined DI, which sought to establish an Islamic state in Indonesia. This movement originally opposed Dutch rule in the 1940s then moved underground in the early 1960s because of oppression under the Suharto government. By the mid-1990s JI had developed an international presence in Indonesia, Malaysia, and elsewhere in Southeast Asia. When the Suharto government fell in the late 1990's, the exiled leaders of JI returned to continue to pursue their goal of creating an Islamic state in Indonesia.

JI's leaders, Abu Bakar Bashir and Abdullah Sungkar, promoted a version of the Islamic faith influenced by groups like al-Qaeda. Bashir preached that Muslims could not treat Islam as merely a religion; they must apply Islamic principles and values to all areas of human existence including government. He also argued that countries with Muslim majorities should be governed by sharia. Both principles represent common, mainstream Islamic doctrine, but JI advocated for the forcible imposition of these doctrines through violence, making the group both radical and militant. In the radicalization process, JI leaders spread their message and recruited using a mixture of Islamic preaching, education, and social and economic outreach. Bashir preached that Muslims have a duty to engage in a violent struggle whenever governments blocked the implementation of sharia. JI's leaders realized that spreading their ideology through da'wa (proselytizing) without resorting to the use of force would most likely fail. Therefore, Bashir's ideology and preaching repeatedly emphasized the use of force to advance the goals of Islam, including sacrificing oneself to promote or defend Islam.

These early leaders and members of JI served in Afghanistan fighting the Soviets, exposing them to Muslims with differing ideologies, including Wahhabism and Salafism. The ideology adopted from the war constituted a reinterpretation of Islam for political gain, often through terrorism and political violence. The influence of Wahhabi and Salafist ideologies created conditions that linked JI to Islamist movements throughout the broader Middle East. The early leaders in Indonesia created JI as a carbon copy of al-Qaeda and followed Osama bin Laden's guidance to seek an Islamic caliphate for Southeast Asia. The leaders adopted violence as a means to achieve their goals through interactions with radicals and militants from throughout the Middle East and North
Africa and through exposure to Salafist teachings. Extreme violence later became a hallmark of JI’s operations.

Followers of Wahhabi and Salafi doctrines become Islamist ideologists when they seek political power in the name of Islam. Islamist ideologies contend that Islam and sharia provide the solution to the world’s problems. Several authors argue that Islamist ideologies are not Islam because Islam lacks the characteristics of self-interest or politics. The authors contend that violence in the name of Islam in the Middle East derives from the ideology of Islamism, not Islam the religion. Thus, JI’s desire implement sharia through violence in Indonesia results not from Islam itself but from the ideology of Islamism.

**Indonesian Counterterrorism Campaign**

The Indonesian government has conducted a successful counterterrorism campaign against JI since the mid-2000s. A report claims that the group has been weakened after the government killed or captured most of their skilled planners and operatives. Indonesian government efforts have marginalized the influence of JI, which has splintered into other groups. This fracture has led to groups like Jemaah Ansharut Daulah (JAT) and Mujahidin Indonesia Timur, which have links to JI leadership.

Nevertheless, radical Islamism remains a risk because former JI leaders have declared their loyalty to the Islamic State. A small fraction of Indonesia’s population represents a large group of supporters and potential terrorists. A recent Pew poll claims that over 10 million Indonesians favor the IS cause. Approximately 800 Indonesians have joined IS in Syria, according to Siktus Harson. As IS seeks to establish a caliphate in Indonesia, a small fraction of the Indonesian Muslim community supports its radical ideology. The Islamic State may provide new life to former JI operatives. Returning radicalized fighters now present a growing threat to Indonesia. Additionally, Indonesia’s former antiterrorism agency director claims that 16 different local radical groups have declared loyalty to IS and Abu Bakar Al-Baghdadi.

Indonesia’s philosophy of governance—Pancasila—makes it unique among countries with a Muslim majority population because it advocates a pluralistic government, respectful of religion but not overtaken by it. Pancasila establishes the officially accepted political culture, embracing the principles of social justice; just and civilized humanity; belief in one God; Indonesian unity; and government by the will and consent of the people. The Indonesian constitution and concept of Pancasila guarantee freedom of worship and reject the formation of a caliphate. Islam fits
within the structure of the Indonesian state but does not seek to dominate as the political system.

The majority of Indonesia’s Muslim population practices a moderate form of Islam, and approximately 70 million belong to the moderate Muslim organizations Nahdlatul Ulama or Muhammadiyah. These organizations encourage practicing Islam within the confines of the secular Indonesian state. Many Indonesians value protecting their culture and traditions and celebrating diversity. Religion remains a spiritual matter instead of a political matter because of Pancasila.

The constitution and the mainstream majority of the population indicate that Indonesians do not desire government-imposed sharia. In a 2010 Pew study only 33 percent of Indonesians identified with strict, literalist religious views, and only 14 percent of Muslims fit the label Islamist. Islamic terror, however, requires support for sharia. JI has tried to gain support to implement sharia through da’wa, but their attempts at peaceful conversion have failed. As a result, the JI leaders resorted to violent methods, contrary to Qur’anic instructions concerning proselytizing. Islam demands that proselytizing occur in a manner consistent with the culture of the society, which explains why Indonesian Islam influenced by Pancasila can remain tolerant and pluralistic. Indonesia’s culture provides a strong counter identity, and not just a counter narrative to curb extremism. Even before JI, previous attempts at creating an Islamic state and implementing sharia failed in Indonesia because those attempts ran counter to the culture.

The moderate belief system of many Indonesian Muslims enables them to reject the need for an Islamic state or caliphate. This belief places them at odds with the radical factions present in their society. The influence of radical groups has created political pressure against the tradition of tolerance. Therefore, as JI uses violence to create an Islamic state, its ideology and methodology of violence contradict traditional Indonesian beliefs. Their use of violent tactics, such as high profile bombings including the Bali and the Ritz-Carton/Marriott events, prove counterproductive because of high civilian casualty rates. Civilian casualties alienate the population rather than galvanizing support for JI’s cause, leading to a population that cooperates with the security forces by providing intelligence and tips.

Although the Muhammadiyah and Nahdlatul Ulama organizations create pillars of support for Indonesia’s government and reject an Islamic state, radicals attempt to infiltrate these organizations to gain power, influence, and a platform to spread their ideology. Muhammadiyah predominantly represents urban, modernist oriented Indonesians, while Nahdlatul Ulama appeals more to the rural population with a tra-
ditional and Sufi orientation. Radical groups such as JI that adhere to Wahhabi beliefs reject Sufism as an innovation, or bid’a. Wahhabism forbids practices that Indonesian Muslims regard as basic parts of Islam including Sufism, veneration the saints, and prayers for the dead. Wahhabis also regard the pilgrimage to the tombs of the Wali Songo as being shirk (associating other beings or powers with God).39 So, extremists face greater difficulty infiltrating Nahdlatul Ulama due to its Sufi orientation.40 While Islamists have found limited success in infiltrating the moderate Islamic groups, such groups remain one means of resisting the spread of militant Islamism. These groups believe that education leads to a better approach to breaking the cycle of violence than do security forces and military action.

Moderate Muslims also provide a platform for resisting the spread of extremist ideologies that seek to create Islamic states and implement sharia by providing alternative teachings about what it means to be Muslim. Nahdlatul Ulama produced a video denouncing the extremist ideology of the Islamic State, promoting instead the notion that Sunni Islam is a religion of love and compassion and seeks the perfection of human nature.41 Sufism forms an important component in the practice of Islam in Indonesia, and the Sufi mystics in Indonesia hold distinctly different views on sharia than radicalized extremists. Most Sufis believe sharia is not a goal but rather a path with multiple branches—all of which bring the worshipper closer to God.42 Extremists reject Sufism and declare that Sufis are apostates for their beliefs. Extremists reject strategies of reform or contextualizing Islam and sharia as deceptions employed by the enemies of Islam to prevent them from practicing sharia.43 Moderates contend that individuals should strive to practice sharia but governments need not enforce it. While the majority of Indonesia’s Muslim population holds moderate beliefs, the radicalized fraction still categorically rejects these moderate views in favor of a more puritanical approach usually deriving from Wahhabi or Salafi doctrine.

To reinforce Indonesian values in their conflict with JI’s ideology, the Indonesian government has created an effective, integrated police response and deradicalization program to undermine JI’s political goals. The Indonesian government’s approach to dealing with the radical Islamist terrorist organization owes its success to a combination of efforts. The Indonesian government has used both hard and soft approaches. The hard approach identifies, arrests, and prosecutes terrorists, while the soft approach involves changing mind-sets.44 This dual campaign has largely marginalized JI by removing its key leadership. As of 2008 there were 170 jihadist prisoners in Indonesian jails, comprised of Afghan veterans, JI members, and individuals from smaller organizations.45 By 2010 Indone-
sian authorities had captured over 300 terrorists and pursued legal action against them. Additionally from the soft perspective, the government developed a deradicalization program to allow former radical militants to cooperate with officials. Another element of soft power involved the police working with the people and local governments to identify Islamist preachers and “encouraging the local people to kick them out.” They also closed JI boarding schools until they could replace Islamist teachers with moderates. The Indonesian government operates under the belief that effective counterterrorism efforts require more than simply treating terrorism like a cancer that can be excised through the arrest or killing of individual terrorists.

The Indonesian government’s counterterrorism strategy also couples sound intelligence techniques with criminal legal procedures. The Indonesian government formed Densus 88 (Den88) as a special counterterrorism squad, and their operations have shown success in weakening the JI network. Den88 fights counterterrorism through law enforcement rather than military means, relying on intelligence, investigation, and interrogation. Their counterterrorism strategy shows that the government does not have to resort to violating state sovereignty and deploying military personnel.

Indonesia’s antiterror program operates on a largely self-sufficient basis. Indonesia collaborated with the United States and Australia for counterterrorism training, which has led to the death or capture of many of JI’s leaders. The United States has not put boots on the ground, nor has Indonesia asked the United States for direct support. The emphasis on capturing and trying terrorists as criminals provides the benefits of counter messaging through a deradicalization program and intelligence gathering about the terror networks themselves.

Counterradicalization and deradicalization form one of the unique elements of the Indonesian government’s approach to stopping terrorism, with roles for both moderate Muslims and former radicals to play. In Indonesia, the government exploited a rift in JI’s leadership concerning suicide bombing tactics, leading to the opportunity for reformed radicals to provide a counter argument to radicals. Dr. Schulze provides a description of how the rehabilitation process seeks to achieve deradicalization in Indonesia. She writes that the Indonesian rehabilitation program rests on two key premises: the belief that radicals will only listen to other radicals and, that through kindness, the police can alter the jihadist assumption that government officials are by definition anti-Islamic. She continues that JI sees the Indonesian government to be kafir (unbelievers). However, the police believed they can overcome inherent distrust, leading to prisoners accepting police assistance. The police believe that
when a bond of trust forms, they may get prisoners to question other deeply held jihadist tenets.\textsuperscript{55}

Indonesia’s arguably highest profile reformed radical was Nasir Abbas, a former leader of JI who helped the police find militants willing to cooperate with the police. Abbas disagreed with other JI leaders over the practices of suicide bombings and attacking civilians. Dr. Schulze highlights how the Indonesian government used him to provide the deradicalization message. The government’s deradicalization program focused on the terrorism and civilian bombings as a deviation from defensive jihad, attempting to change the jihadist mind-set about killing of civilians and the “need” for the Islamic state.\textsuperscript{56} Abbas, a reformed Islamist, seeks to show the radicals that the \textit{ulama} (Islamic scholars) do not desire an Islamic State because the Prophet Muhammad never established a state. He argues that Jemaah Islamiyah’s bombing of civilians has corrupted their struggle.\textsuperscript{57} Abbas says that politics and power, not religion, drive the struggle for an Islamic state.\textsuperscript{58} A blended solution to combating Islamist terrorism works within Indonesia’s unique culture because the people distinguish Islam the religion from Islamism as a system of governance. This distinction allows the Indonesian people to reject extremist views and sometimes moderate a radical’s view on violent jihad or on the need to establish an Islamic state.

Reforming radicals helps the government to spread a new message; however, the Indonesian government’s program does contain a few gaps. The successes of the Indonesian counterterrorism approach includes the imprisonment of Abu Bakar Bashir, JI’s spiritual leader and later the founder of JAT, and the intelligence gained from capturing rather than killing suspected terrorists. However, the program still lacks elements designed to prevent recidivism. Dr. Schulze believes that the challenges with this deradicalization system come from radical efforts to discredit those who cooperate with the police.\textsuperscript{59} The lack of a structured rehabilitation program remains disconcerting. Without a program, released prisoners will likely return to the jihadist communities from whence they came. The lack of money and skills leave them few options but to return to the familiar, exposing them once again to radical and militant ideas. If the police fail to deliver on promises made for post-release assistance, then the militants face pressure to return to the terrorist organization and lack incentives to continue to share information with the police.\textsuperscript{60}

\textbf{Prospects for Using Lessons Learned}

The Indonesian government would face challenges exporting its model for combating JI and terrorism to the Middle East and North Af-
rica due to the differences in culture and interpretation of Islam. Exporting any model to combat terrorism would likely meet resistance on three distinct levels: at the government level, between religious scholars, and at a grass-roots level for the mass populace. First, many areas in Iraq and Syria currently lack sufficient governance with the ability to deliver common goods and services or provide effective protection and services to their people. This inability to effectively govern and provide security within the state borders precludes governments from instituting the necessary form of police and intelligence campaign. Such campaigns would provide an effective means to arrest, try, convict, and incarcerate terrorists as criminals.

In countries with stronger governance, like Saudi Arabia with a capable police force, the prevalence of Wahhabi doctrine promoted by the royal family precludes change. Wahhabis in Saudi Arabia have effectively silenced all opposition to their teaching; therefore, any repudiation of Wahhabi ideology occurs only in locations free of Wahhabi control. Any attempt to send Indonesian Islamic scholars to the Middle East to preach a tolerant version of Islam would face the similar problems. The Saudi imams fiercely reject any teaching contrary to their own doctrine because of Wahhabism’s narrow interpretation. The elitism and superiority claims of the Saudi doctrine renders it almost impervious to change or outside influence from moderate Muslims. Proselytizing also becomes unlikely in this environment. “Ideologies are embedded into social systems, and research shows that the introduction of information contrary to foundational ideological tenants will trigger a hardening of thinking rather than transformation,” Abbas Barzegar and Shawn Powers stated.

Finally, the mass populace in some Middle Eastern nations lacks the tolerance and pluralistic view of Indonesians that would allow a countering viewpoint to Islamist doctrines to emerge. The way Islam spread in Indonesia and the way people incorporated Islam into Indonesian culture created unique conditions not found in most Middle Eastern countries. Indonesia’s unique culture allowed the government to challenge JI with a successful counterterrorism plan.

Cultures and the practice of Islam do vary throughout North Africa and the Middle East even though doctrines of intolerance exist. Not all Muslims in these regions follow Wahhabi or Salafi doctrines nor do they all possess radical beliefs. Elements of the Indonesian government’s approach to counterterrorism and JI may influence possible courses of action in Middle Eastern states such as Jordan where Wahhabi and Salafi doctrines are not as entrenched as in Saudi Arabia. Certain elements could also prove useful in parts of North Africa, including Algeria, Tunisia, and Morocco. After the military coup in Egypt, Pres. Abdel Fattah
el-Sisi’s government adopted an antagonistic position toward the Muslim Brotherhood, which may lead to opportunities for influence and outreach to moderate Muslim governments.

In cases where the Indonesian government cannot conduct direct outreach, Muslim nongovernmental organizations (NGO) may offer an alternative means to promote tolerance and support moderate factions of societies. NGOs also can act in humanitarian crises, spreading their message concurrently as the physical needs of those affected by the disaster are met. Finally, the Indonesian government could sponsor exchanges of moderate Islamic teachers and scholars, promoting the spread of tolerant principles when scholars return to their community. Supportive political conditions in parts of North Africa and the Middle East remain a key barrier to export the Indonesian model of resistance to radicalism. However, political conditions can change, leading to opportunities to promote tolerance and societal change.

Notes

Notes will appear in full form only in their first iteration. Thereafter, they will appear in shortened form. For full details, see the appropriate entry in the bibliography.

1. This essay uses the term “radical” to mean “committed to the overthrow of the state.”
2. Dr. Jonathan Zartman, advisor, Air Command and Staff College, conversations with the author, n.d.
5. Ibid.
6. As one of the pillars of Islam, Muslims accept the duty of making a pilgrimage, or hajj, to the holy city of Mecca, if they have the means to do so.
8. Ibid., 93.
10. Ariev, Lukito, and Taylor, Illusion of an Islamic State, 115.
13. Ibid., 14.
14. Ibid., 39.
18. Ibid., 76.
19. Ibid., 77.
25. Ibid.
29. Ibid.
30. Ibid.
36. Thompson, “Is Indonesia Winning?”
37. Stratfor, “Failure of Jihadism.”
41. Joe Cochran, “From Indonesia.”
43. Ibid., 135.
45. Ibid.
48. Ibid.
49. Dudak, “Islam in Indonesia.”
51. Stratfor, "Failure of Jihadism."
52. Hughes, *Islamic Extremism and the War of Ideas.*
53. Schulze, “Indonesia’s Approach.”
54. Ibid.
55. Ibid.
56. Ibid.
57. Ibid.
58. Ibid.
59. Ibid.
60. Ibid.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Full Form</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DI</td>
<td>Darul Islam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IS</td>
<td>Islamic State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JAT</td>
<td>Jemaah Ansharut Touhid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JI</td>
<td>Jemaah Islamiyah</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGO</td>
<td>nongovernmental organization</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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